

**RECOVERY STRATEGY  
FOR  
FURBISH'S LOUSEWORT  
(*PEDICULARIS FURBISHIAE*)  
IN  
NEW BRUNSWICK  
2006**



(Disponible en français)

New  Nouveau  
**Brunswick**  
C A N A D A

**NATURAL RESOURCES**



## Context

Furbish's lousewort, a shoreline plant, has been listed as Endangered under the New Brunswick *Endangered Species Act* since 1982. The Committee on the Status of Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC) assessed this species as nationally Endangered in 1980, and with the coming into force of the federal *Species at Risk Act* in 2003, Furbish's lousewort was listed federally. In 2002, the New Brunswick Minister of Natural Resources appointed a recovery team comprised of scientific experts, government biologists and stakeholders to develop a recovery strategy in order to identify the steps necessary to protect and maintain the species in New Brunswick. In 2006, the recovery team completed its mandate by submitting this recovery strategy to the Director of the Fish and Wildlife Branch, New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources.

## Recovery Strategy Acceptance

The *Recovery Strategy for Furbish's Lousewort (Pedicularis furbishiae) in New Brunswick* has been accepted as the best current advice on the measures needed to recover this species in New Brunswick.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike Sullivan". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "M".

Mr. Mike Sullivan  
Director, Fish and Wildlife Branch  
Department of Natural Resources  
Government of New Brunswick

Date: August 4, 2006

## **Disclaimer**

This recovery strategy was prepared by members of the New Brunswick Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Team. It defines the recovery goals, objectives and general approaches that are deemed necessary to protect and recover the species. It does not necessarily represent the views of all individual members of the recovery team, or the official positions of the organizations with which the individual team members are associated. The goals, objectives and recovery approaches identified in the strategy are based on the best existing knowledge and are subject to modifications resulting from new findings and revised objectives. Implementation of the strategy is subject to appropriations, priorities, and budgetary constraints of the participating jurisdictions and organizations.

**RECOVERY STRATEGY FOR FURBISH'S LOUSEWORT (*PEDICULARIS FURBISHIAE*) IN NEW BRUNSWICK**



**Submitted to the Director of Fish and Wildlife Branch,  
New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources**

**June 27, 2006**

**by**

**New Brunswick Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Team**

(disponible en français)



**Recommended Citation**

Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Team. 2006. Recovery strategy for Furbish's lousewort (*Pedicularis furbishiae*) in New Brunswick. New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources. Fredericton, New Brunswick.

**Team Members**

Stephen Clayden, Curator of Botany, New Brunswick Museum  
Susan Gawler, Botanical Resource Person  
Jim Goltz, Botanical Resource Person  
Poul Jorgensen, Sentiers NB Trails  
Ed LeBlanc, New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources  
Jim Samms, NBPower  
Margo Sheppard, Nature Trust of New Brunswick  
Maureen Toner, New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources

**Past Members**

Heather Arnold, Nature Trust of New Brunswick (2004-05)  
Brian McCluskey, Sentiers NB Trails (2004-05)  
Jacques Poirier, Sentiers NB Trails (2002-04)

**Disclaimer**

This Recovery Strategy was prepared for the New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources as advice on a comprehensive approach to the conservation of Furbish's lousewort. It does not necessarily represent the views of individual members of the recovery team or the official positions of the organizations with which the individual members are associated. It is recognized that implementation of the recommendations will depend on availability of resources and expertise.

### **Acknowledgements**

The Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Team wishes to acknowledge the contributions of Mr. Fred Tribe and the late Dr. George Stirrett to the conservation of the lousewort. Mr. Tribe and Dr. Stirrett initiated the first conservation efforts for the species in New Brunswick and were the driving force behind the recognition of its precarious status in Canada.

We wish also to acknowledge the many other individuals who have contributed to the conservation of Furbish's lousewort. We are aware of and would like to acknowledge the contributions of Hal Hinds (deceased), Patricia O'Brien, Graham O'Brien (deceased), and Bill McCue. There are most certainly people, unknown to us, who have also contributed to the conservation of this species - we wish to acknowledge and thank them.

We would like to underline the role of the Nature Trust of New Brunswick, which has been at the forefront in the conservation of Furbish's lousewort in Canada. The Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre and the Maine Natural Heritage Program have also been active contributors in field surveys. Financial support for projects has come from: World Wildlife Fund, Environment Canada Habitat Stewardship Program, Maine Outdoor Heritage Fund, George Cedric Metcalf Foundation, New Brunswick Environmental Trust Fund, New Brunswick Wildlife Trust Fund, McCain Foundation, Shell Environmental Fund, and Environment Canada's Environmental Damages Fund.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Furbish's lousewort is a shoreline plant species that is globally restricted to the shores of the Saint John River in northwestern New Brunswick, Canada and in northern Maine, USA. The species has legal protection under the New Brunswick Endangered Species Act and the Canadian Species at Risk Act, as well as the Endangered Species Act of the United States.

While historic data are lacking, it is believed that population size and habitat availability have declined over time. The current Canadian population is estimated to be less than 1000 individuals and likely comprises between 5 and 15 % of the global population.

The dynamic nature of the habitat and the lack of data on long-term trends make it difficult to determine the population size and the number of sites that would constitute a self-sustaining population. In light of this uncertainty, the recovery goal is to conserve and monitor the existing sites, to increase the population size and the number of occurrences, and to maintain quality habitat within its range in New Brunswick over the long term.

It is recommended that the immediate focus be on the conservation of existing sites, by pursuing conservation options with landowners and through the collaborative development of site management plans. The establishment of a monitoring program will be essential to track the status of the population and the success of recovery efforts. An action plan should be developed to investigate the potential of propagation as a means of augmenting populations or of establishing new occurrences. A second action plan would identify and prioritize research questions related to the management and protection of the species.

Programs to promote awareness of the vulnerability of rare plants along the Upper Saint John River and to encourage wise land use practices will continue to be particularly important in the conservation of potential habitat. Education should also be provided to government staff where appropriate, and a protection policy should be drafted to ensure communication and to promote consistency among regulatory agencies.

The recovery initiatives proposed under this strategy should be developed in the context of the considerable work accomplished to date, particularly in the area of stewardship of the existing sites. In addition, collaboration with American conservation programs should be pursued, given the success of the research and monitoring programs that have been established in Maine.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Executive Summary ..... iii**

**Part I: Background ..... 1**

Species status ..... 1

Description of the species ..... 1

Current distribution ..... 1

**Part II: Recovery ..... 3**

Recovery feasibility ..... 3

Recovery goal, objectives, and corresponding approaches ..... 4

    Recovery goal ..... 4

    Ten-year objectives ..... 4

    Rationale for goal and objectives ..... 4

Broad strategy and short-term objectives ..... 5

    1. Population and site management/stewardship ..... 5

    2. Protection ..... 6

    3. Monitoring and surveys ..... 7

    4. Research ..... 7

    5. Establishment of new sites ..... 8

    6. Stewardship and education for the general public:

        planning for the long-term ..... 9

Recovery priorities and general steps ..... 10

    Priorities, general steps and measures of success ..... 10

    Costs and additional impacts ..... 10

    Effects on other species ..... 10

Accomplishments to date ..... 11

**Literature cited ..... 14**

**Appendices**

Appendix A - Assessment of threats and other barriers to recovery ..... 15

Appendix B - Current and historical distribution and abundance ..... 21

Appendix C - Biology and habitat requirements ..... 25

**List of Figures**

    Global distribution of Furbish’s lousewort ..... 2

**List of Tables**

    Recovery planning table ..... 12

## Part I: Background

### Species status

<b>Scientific name:</b>	<i>Pedicularis furbishiae</i> Watson.
<b>Common name:</b>	Furbish's lousewort
<b>New Brunswick status:</b>	Designated Endangered (1982) Status re-examined and confirmed as Endangered (1996)
<b>COSEWIC status:</b>	Designated Endangered (1980). Status re-examined and confirmed as Endangered (1998, 2000)
<b>Status in the United States:</b>	Designated Endangered (1978)
<b>Global range:</b>	Northern Maine and Northwestern New Brunswick
<b>Range in Canada:</b>	Northwestern New Brunswick
<b>Rationale for COSEWIC status:</b>	<i>Highly restricted range with natural and human-induced habitat loss and significant population decline at the three remaining sites. (Note: Since the COSEWIC designation, two new occurrences have been documented.)</i>

### Description of the species


Furbish's lousewort is a perennial herb that occurs on the intermittently flooded, ice-scoured shores of the Saint John River. A member of the snapdragon family, it is recognizable early in the growing season by its basal rosette of deeply cleft or fern-like leaves. Toward mid-summer, mature plants produce one or more flowering stems. These stems have widely spaced leaves along their length and are topped by a tight cluster of small, yellow, tube-like flowers, which bloom only a few at a time.

### Current distribution

Furbish's lousewort is globally restricted to the Saint John River Valley in northern Maine and northwestern New Brunswick. Its known distribution consists of a 225 km section of the Saint John River extending from above the confluence with the Big Black River in Maine, United States (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991) to the confluence with the Aroostook River, approximately 10 km north of Perth-Andover, New Brunswick (see map on page 2). In Canada, its range extends over the last 30 km of this section, beginning at the International border (approximately 5 km above the dam at Grand Falls).

It is difficult to delineate subpopulations or sites of this riparian species and its Canadian distribution might best be described as occurring along four segments of the Saint John River: one within the five-kilometer portion above the dam at Grand Falls and the remaining three within the 25 kilometers between the dam and the confluence with the Aroostook River. In addition, there is a unique occurrence of the species along an abandoned railway near the mouth of the Aroostook River. This is the only occurrence of Furbish's lousewort, in either the United States or Canada, which is not on a river shore.

## New Brunswick Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Strategy



**Global range of Furbish's lousewort**

Although there are no data that would generate an estimate of the historic abundance of Furbish's lousewort in New Brunswick, early accounts indicate that it was once more common than at present (Fowler 1885). The alteration of shoreline along the Upper Saint John River has almost certainly resulted in habitat loss and an associated population decline. The Canadian population is currently believed to be less than 1000 individuals (Nature Trust of New Brunswick 2003). This likely represents between 5 and 15 % of the global population, based on the estimates of the American population size, which have varied from 18,000 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991) to a more recent estimate of less than 6000 (Gawler and Cameron 2003). The low population size, few occurrences, and continued pressures on its restricted habitat are the basis for recovery planning for the species in its Canadian range.

In developing the recovery strategy for Furbish's lousewort, the recovery team considered the available information on the nature and imminence of threats, the distribution and abundance of the species, the species biology and its habitat requirements. This information is summarized in Appendices A-C.

## **Part II: Recovery**

### **Recovery feasibility**

The long-term survival of Furbish's lousewort in New Brunswick, and therefore in Canada, is likely biologically feasible. However, the dynamic nature of the habitat and the lack of data on long-term trends make it difficult to determine the population size and the number of sites that would constitute a self-sustaining population. For the present, it is recommended that the focus be on the monitoring and protection of existing sites and of potential habitat, and that consideration be given to establishing a propagation program. If it is possible to increase the number of sites, and the numbers of individuals per site, then the probability of extirpation would be greatly reduced. Over time, it will be important to verify the adequacy of these efforts and to adjust accordingly.

## **Recovery goal, objectives and corresponding approaches**

### **Recovery goal**

*To monitor the existing sites, to increase the population size and the number of occurrences of Furbish's lousewort, and to maintain quality habitat within its range in New Brunswick over the long term.*

### **Ten-year objectives**

*To maintain the existing populations at a minimum of 200 individuals (mature and young) per segment in each of the three river segments where it is known to occur between Grand Falls and Perth Andover.*

*To maintain the number of Furbish's lousewort at a minimum of 250 individuals (mature and young) in the river segment between the International border and Grand Falls.*

*To maintain the population at a minimum of 250 individuals (mature and young) at the upland site near Aroostook.*

*To establish self-sustaining populations of Furbish's lousewort in additional river segments within its range.*

*To identify and conserve high quality potential sites for Furbish's lousewort.*

### **Rationale for goal and objectives**

The goal and objectives proposed in this strategy are intended as a first approximation of what would be required to ensure the long-term survival of Furbish's lousewort in Canada. The starting point is the protection of the existing populations. The minimum population size that is proposed for each site reflects the maximum or near maximum numbers recorded in recent surveys (see Appendix B). While it is preferable, in theory, to generate target numbers from population viability analyses, research on this species suggests a complex scenario that is not easily addressed by this application. Results from work in Maine (Menges 1990) indicate that viability varies among individual populations of Furbish's lousewort and varies within the same population over time. The overriding factors are catastrophic disturbance and the dynamic nature of the habitat. It is therefore recommended that steps be taken to protect current sites and that a propagation program be investigated as a means of increasing the number of sites and of responding to catastrophic loss at current sites. It is also recommended that measures be taken to ensure the long term viability of quality potential sites, as habitat degradation continues to be a concern for the species throughout its range (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1991, Nature Trust of New Brunswick 2005.)

## **Broad strategies and short-term objectives**

### ***1. Population and site management/ stewardship***

An obvious starting point for the conservation of Furbish's lousewort is the protection of existing populations. Respect for the role and rights of landowners is key to the successful management of the sites where Furbish's lousewort occurs. It is essential that landowners be provided the opportunity to consider the array of conservation options that are available to them. In some cases, land purchase for protection purposes may make the most sense; in others, continued stewardship by the owner may be the preferred option. Purchase for these purposes has generally been undertaken by conservation agencies. However, consideration should be given to purchase by government, in the event that sufficient resources are not available to a conservation organization. Further, it is important to take steps to avoid missing purchase opportunities in the long term. This could be accomplished by inviting landowners to consider sale to a conservation agency should they decide to put their land on the market, and by ensuring that they are provided with the relevant contact information for a potential purchase for conservation purposes.

Site management plans, prepared in collaboration with the property owners, are proposed as appropriate tools for addressing the specific challenges anticipated for each site. Potential threats include anthropogenic factors (*e.g.* illegal dumping, removal/alteration of shoreline and bank vegetation) and natural factors (*e.g.* vegetative succession, catastrophic ice-scour). Please refer to Appendix A for additional detail on threats to Furbish's lousewort.

The three sites of Furbish's lousewort that were documented before 2002 are owned or managed by agencies or organizations. (A fourth site has since been discovered on land owned by one of these agencies and a fifth site has been discovered on privately owned land.) These agencies have participated on the recovery team, and have undertaken or contributed to several conservation activities, including the preparation of site management plans. It is important that the Department of Natural Resources acknowledge their cooperation in a formal manner. Consideration should also be given to ensuring recognition of stewardship activity in the longer term.

### **Objectives for population and site management/stewardship**

- 1.1. Ensure that landowners are contacted, have access to information on the species and its significance and on relevant legislation, and have an opportunity to discuss land management issues.
- 1.2. Provide landowners the opportunity to participate in conservation through any of a number of options, such as:
  - acquisition of the property by a conservation organization or government agency
  - participation in a site management plan or a conservation easement
- 1.3. Establish management plans for known sites
- 1.4. Establish and implement mechanisms to track changes in land ownership immediately as these occur.
- 1.5. Invite landowners to contact conservation oriented agencies in the event that they decide to sell their land.
- 1.6. Establish a program to formally recognize stewardship and volunteer efforts.

### ***2. Protection***

#### *Species*

Furbish's lousewort is protected under Regulation 96-26 of the New Brunswick Endangered Species Act. This legislation protects individual members of the species, as well as the habitat that is critical to survival of any member of the species. Thus, no individual or organization may possess, sell, harm or attempt to harm any individual plant or its habitat. Exceptions for research or education require a permit, which may be issued by the Minister of the New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources.

#### *Habitat*

As of 2006, one of the five known occurrences of Furbish's lousewort in New Brunswick was in a nature preserve and three others were protected through active stewardship by the property owners. Environmental regulations and planning requirements, particularly as related to water courses, potentially offer additional protection to the habitat. It is therefore important that the appropriate regulatory agencies have access to current information in order to provide habitat protection in a consistent and fair manner.

All known sites of Furbish's lousewort should be regarded as important to the long-term survival of the species in New Brunswick. The specific locations of individuals or of small groupings of plants are obviously essential to the survival of established populations. Similar habitat in the immediate vicinity of the plants (on a scale of metres) is the portion of potential habitat that is most likely to be colonized.

Activities at the scale of general sites should be limited to light foot traffic, preferably on the sparsely vegetated lower portion of the shore, and to the activities required for monitoring or targeted education. The existence of a treed buffer along the upper bank appears to contribute to the establishment or persistence of Furbish's lousewort. Planning should therefore address the maintenance of this buffer. Site management plans offer a vehicle for discussions between NB DNR and landowners regarding activities that are likely to occur at a site, stewardship practices that will favour persistence of the plant, and the application of habitat protection provisions of the Endangered Species Act to the property in question.

#### Protection objectives:

- 2.1. Record location of plants and of similar habitat in the immediate vicinity of the plants at known sites.
- 2.2. Foster habitat protection by working with landowners to development site management plans.
- 2.3. Develop protection policy that includes the Department of Natural Resources and other appropriate government departments and regulatory agencies in order to promote consistent implementation of regulations.
- 2.4. Ensure appropriate level of staff training/education within regulatory agencies.
- 2.5. Establish/support education measures to raise awareness of existing protection through the Endangered Species Act, Clean Water Act, Water Course alteration regulations or other measures.

### ***3. Monitoring and surveys***

A consistent population monitoring system is required in order to track changes in the populations of Furbish's lousewort and to assess the success of recovery activities. While surveys in New Brunswick have varied in timing and completeness, a formal monitoring program has been in place in Maine since the 1980's (Gawler 1987). A Canadian monitoring program would be compatible with the American methodology, with adjustments to accommodate tracking of the much smaller population of the species in this country.

The monitoring program should not be restricted to censuses of the known occurrences of the species, but should also include periodic surveys that are designed to detect newly established occurrences or occurrences that have been missed in previous searches. The dynamic nature of the river shore environment and the success of recent surveys underline the importance of monitoring on a broad scale.

Monitoring objectives:

- 3.1 Establish monitoring protocols and program for known sites
- 3.2 Establish protocols, guidelines and program for searches for new sites
- 3.3 Foster local and/or landowner involvement in monitoring of known sites

### ***4. Research***

Conservation work in New Brunswick has benefited from research conducted in Maine on the habitat requirements, population dynamics and reproductive ecology of Furbish's lousewort. However, a number of data gaps and potential research questions have emerged over the course of recovery planning for the species in the province. An action plan is required to provide context for the questions and to establish priorities for research. Highest priority should be given to questions that are most likely to have an impact on management decisions.

Objective for research requirements: Develop action plan to prioritize research requirements in light of management issues. Potential questions are as follows.

- Accuracy or consistency of monitoring protocols
- Questions on life history as required for site management plans (*e.g.* longevity of individual plants)
- Adequacy of current genetic information
- Questions related to propagation techniques in green house
- Variation in bryophytes at known habitats and applicability as habitat indicator
- Effect of control measures for shrub encroachment at sites
- Question regarding life span of existing populations in Canada and evidence of recent colonization events.

### ***5. Establishment of new sites***

It is important to explore the potential costs and benefits of augmenting existing populations or establishing new populations of Furbish's lousewort, given our inability to define the numeric thresholds required for long-term survival of the species in the province. This would most appropriately take the form of an action plan designed to identify and address relevant issues.

The central question is whether or not transplantation (of seed or plant) would reduce the risk of extirpation of the species. Development of clear, measurable targets and identification of the long-term resource requirements are also basic issues. Technical aspects would include genetic considerations (particularly with reference to Waller *et al.* 1987), propagation techniques (see Macior 1980 and notes from Tribe in NB DNR database), identification of candidate sites (see Gawler 1999), risk of introducing pathogens and monitoring requirements. It is likely possible to generate a list of criteria for selection of potential sites, based on research conducted to date. Landowner cooperation would be a key criterion. The potential impact on other species should also be evaluated, though the low competitive ability of Furbish's lousewort (Menges 1990) and the required habitat protection both suggest that the native flora would benefit from these activities.

The national policy on *Ex situ conservation and translocation in species recovery*, currently in draft form, provides a more thorough treatment of the issues around transplantation and introductions. The national policy should guide the development of the action plan.

Objective for the establishment of new sites: develop action plan to investigate the benefits and challenges of a propagation program. The action plan should address the following elements:

- Biological feasibility, benefits and risks
- Resources required over the long-term
- Goal setting and measures of success
- Questions of genetics and source material
- Habitat selection and protection
- Long-term monitoring
- Additional issues arising from national guidelines on translocation

***6. Stewardship and Education for the General Public: planning for the long-term***

Stewardship and education should continue to be significant components in the conservation of Furbish's lousewort. The Saint John River shoreline between Grand Falls and the mouth of the Aroostook River appears to be the area that has the highest potential for additional lousewort habitat. This same shoreline is also host to a unique assemblage of plants, many of which are rare or of limited distribution within New Brunswick. Stewardship efforts should therefore be focused on this area with the goal of fostering or reinforcing sound land use practices, particularly in the immediate vicinity of the riverbank.

Objectives for stewardship and education for the general public:

- 6.1 Encourage/facilitate participation and partnerships among community and conservation organizations in order to establish long-term stewardship programs in the area.
- 6.2 Establish/support education measures to raise awareness regarding rare and endangered plants
- 6.3 Establish/support education measures to promote good land use practices along river shores.

## **Recovery priorities and general steps**

### **Priorities, general steps and measures of success**

The specific actions required to attain the goal and objectives of the recovery strategy, with their associated priority and measures of success, are outlined in Table 1. It might be argued that all actions are of high priority given that Furbish's lousewort is an endangered species. However, we have attempted to provide a relative priority that reflects the urgency of the required action in light of three time frames (*immediate, short-term, long-term*). Long-term refers to those activities which should nonetheless be addressed within 10 years. In addition, measures of success have been identified to track the implementation of the recovery strategy.

### **Costs and additional impacts**

Socio-economic concerns have been identified where possible. Their inclusion is not intended as an in-depth cost/benefit study, but rather as an outline of significant considerations for planning purposes. The most obvious concerns are related to the potential implications for the individuals or organizations that have Furbish's lousewort on their property, particularly if they have specific plans for activity in the areas where the plants are located. In the case of residential landowners, river access and habitat alteration in various forms (*e.g.* landscaping near shore) are likely to be the major stewardship issues. Organizations or corporate landowners could anticipate additional light costs in the form of the extra measures and staff time required to protect sites and to participate in discussions on the conservation of the species.

The costs of implementing the recommendations in this strategy have not been identified. However, a number of sources of funding at the provincial and national level have been accessible for initial work by the Nature Trust of New Brunswick (see Acknowledgements). Continued funding will be required for priority stewardship or research activities. New, and probably higher, costs would be associated with a long-term propagation program or further genetic work.

### **Effects on other species**

A number of plant species that are rare in New Brunswick or the Maritimes occur along the shores of the upper Saint John River, and are frequently found in proximity to the lousewort. Thus, measures that protect current and potential habitat for the lousewort will likely contribute to the conservation of other rare species.

## **Accomplishments to date**

The basic biology and ecology of Furbish's lousewort has been described, largely through studies conducted in Maine. These findings are summarized in Appendix C. In New Brunswick, significant progress has been made in the conservation of sites and in addressing threats, as outlined below.

- Purchase of the land now known as Stirrett Reserve by the Nature Trust of New Brunswick, resulting in the protection of roughly 15% of the Canadian population of Furbish's lousewort, as well as several additional rare plant species.
- Clear identification of ownership of additional properties that harbour roughly 65% of the Canadian population of Furbish's lousewort and commitment of several owners to cost-effective conservation through site management plans.
- Stewardship program for Upper Saint John River Valley conducted by Nature Trust of New Brunswick (2000-2005).
- Rare plant surveys of St. John River from international border to Perth-Andover (2001 – 2002) by Nature Trust of New Brunswick and Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre.
- Assessment of threats to Furbish's lousewort habitat along the Saint John River from international border to Perth-Andover (2004-2005) by Nature Trust of New Brunswick.

**New Brunswick Furbish’s Lousewort Recovery Strategy**

**Recovery Planning Table.** Recovery objectives and priorities (in bold) for Furbish’s lousewort (**FL**). Immediate priorities should be addressed within six months to a year; short-term priorities within one to three years; and long-term priorities between three to ten years.

Broad approach	Short-term objectives or general steps	Priority, potential benefit	Progress to date	Measure(s) of success	Potential socio-economic issues
<b>1. Population and site management/ stewardship</b>	<p>1.1 Notify landowners (and adjacent landowners) of presence of FL on their property</p> <p>1.2 Provide information to landowners (and adjacent landowners) on range of conservation options; offer opportunities to discuss site management and conservation options</p> <p>1.3 Develop management plans for known sites in collaboration with landowners</p> <p>1.4 Establish and implement mechanisms to track changes in land ownership</p> <p>1.5 Establish program to recognize stewardship and volunteer efforts</p>	<b>Immediate</b> Protection of known populations	<p>Landowner notification completed</p> <p>Discussion with landowners ongoing</p> <p>Site management plans have been drafted for 4 of 5 sites</p>	<p>Population size and habitat quality maintained at each site</p> <p>Approval of site management plans by landowners</p> <p>Incorporation of appropriate information in provincial database</p> <p>Positive response of recipients through continued participation in conservation</p>	<p>Potential conflict between goals of landowners and requirements for habitat protection</p> <p>Additional costs to landowners to protect or avoid areas in question</p> <p>Resource requirements for land purchase where appropriate</p>
<b>2. Protection</b>	<p>2.1 Map location of plants and of similar habitat in immediate vicinity</p> <p>2.2 Incorporate habitat protection into Site Management Plans</p> <p>2.3 Develop protection policy</p> <p>2.4 Offer training to staff of regulatory agencies covering biology, threats and locations of FL</p> <p>2.5 Promote initiatives to raise awareness of existing laws and regulations</p>	<b>Immediate</b> Protection of known populations		Reduction in potential threats to habitat and plants	

**Recovery Planning Table** (continued)

<b>Broad approach</b>	<b>Short-term objectives or general steps</b>	<b>Priority, potential benefit</b>	<b>Progress to date</b>	<b>Measure(s) of success</b>	<b>Potential socio-economic issues</b>
<b>3. Monitoring &amp; Surveys</b>	<p>3.1 Establish monitoring protocols and program for known sites</p> <p>3.2 Establish monitoring protocols, guidelines and program for searches for new sites</p> <p>3.3 Foster stakeholder/ local involvement in monitoring</p>	<p><b>Immediate - Short term</b> Essential data on population trends and on success of site management</p> <p>Detection of colonization events or of previously undetected sites</p> <p>Consistency in monitoring and increased stewardship</p>	<p>Protocols established in Maine (USA)</p> <p>Surveys of Canadian range completed (2002-03)</p> <p>Stakeholders engaged in recovery planning; stewardship work by Nature Trust</p>	Timely and accurate counts and surveys as per protocols	<p>Landowner notification and approval</p> <p>Annual costs for field work, data management</p>
<b>4. Research (Action Plan Required)</b>	Develop action plan to prioritize and address research questions	<b>Immediate</b> Accuracy of monitoring	Research results from Maine; NB bryophyte survey completed	Research proposals based on research action plan priorities	Research costs and allocation of resources
<b>5. Establishment of new sites (Action Plan Required)</b>	Develop Action Plan to address points outlined in National Policy	<b>Short term</b> Potential mitigation of catastrophic events or of loss of individual sites	Research results from Maine; habitat mapping (Nature Trust)	Establishment of new sites or population increases at currently known sites	To be determined
<b>6. Stewardship: General Public</b>	<p>6.1 Foster partnerships to establish long-term stewardship programs in the area</p> <p>6.2 Promote initiatives to raise awareness regarding rare and endangered plants</p> <p>6.3 Quantify threats to riparian habitat within New Brunswick range of FL</p> <p>6.4 Promote initiatives that raise awareness of human land use impacts and that foster best practices along river shores</p> <p>6.5 Establish communication mechanism within government to ensure awareness of recovery priorities &amp; requirements during decision making processes</p>	<b>Immediate – Long term</b> Protection of potential sites	<p>2001-04. Work initiated by Nature Trust – (landowner contact, web site, poster, meetings); Long-term effort required</p> <p>Survey completed by Nature Trust, 2005</p> <p>Education initiatives - ongoing; long- term effort required</p>	<p>Identifiable stewardship/education programs</p> <p>Improved land use practices compared with 2004 survey of riparian habitat (6.3)</p> <p>Reference to recovery activities by other provincial Departments</p>	

## **Literature cited**

Canadian Wildlife Service. 2004. Ex situ conservation and translocation in species recovery: toward a national policy and guidelines for Canada [Draft]. Environment Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. 76 pp.

Fowler, James. 1885. Preliminary list of the plants of New Brunswick. Bulletin of the Natural History Society of New Brunswick 4: 8-84.

Gawler, S.C. 1987. Monitoring of *Pedicularis furbishiae* in Maine: Past approaches and future recommendations: Draft. Maine State Planning Office. Augusta, Maine. 9 pp.

Gawler, Susan C. 1999. The role of residual plants and added seed in recolonization by Furbish's lousewort, *Pedicularis furbishiae*. A report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Maine Natural Areas Program. Augusta, Maine. 12pp.

Gawler, Susan C., Waller, D. M., and Menges, E. S. 1987. Environmental factors affecting the establishment and growth of *Pedicularis furbishiae*, a rare endemic of the Saint John River Valley, Maine. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club. 114: 280-292.

Gawler, S. C. and D. S. Cameron. 2003. Population sizes of Furbish's lousewort (*Pedicularis furbishiae*) along the St. John River, Maine: 2003 Census Results. Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Maine Natural Areas Program, Department of Conservation. 14 pp.

Macior, L. W. 1978. The pollination ecology and endemic adaptation of *Pedicularis furbishiae*. S. Wats. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 105: No.4, 268-277.

Macior, L. W. 1980. The population ecology (population biology) of Furbish's lousewort, *Pedicularis furbishiae*. S. Wats. Rhodora 82:105-111.

Menges, Eric S., 1990. Population viability analysis for an endangered plant. Conservation Biology. Volume 4, No. 1: 52-62.

Nature Trust of New Brunswick. 2003. A floristic survey of known and potential sites of Furbish's lousewort (*Pedicularis furbishiae*). Publication of the Nature Trust of New Brunswick, Inc., Fredericton, New Brunswick.

Nature Trust of New Brunswick. 2005. Assessing threats to the riparian flora of the Upper St. John River. Publication of the Nature Trust of New Brunswick, Inc., Fredericton, New Brunswick. 16 pp.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. Revised Furbish lousewort recovery plan. Newton Corner, Massachusetts. 62pp. Prepared by Susanna L.Oettingen.

Waller, D. M., O'Malley, D. M., and Gawler, S. C. 1987. Genetic variation in the extreme endemic *Pedicularis furbishiae* (*Scrophulariaceae*). Conservation Biology 1: 335-340.

## **Appendix A**

### **Assessment of threats and barriers to recovery**

An important aspect to the development of this recovery strategy has been the assessment of threats to the species and its habitat, whether human-induced or natural forces. An initial scoring of the imminence and potential impact of identified threats was generated by recovery team members, based on their experience in the field and their familiarity with activities in the river valley (See Tables A and B, below). Our understanding of these threats has since been enhanced through work conducted by the Nature Trust and presented in *Assessing threats to the riparian flora of the Upper St. John River* (Nature Trust of New Brunswick 2005).

One of the most frequently cited threats to Furbish's lousewort is the alteration of river dynamics through construction of hydroelectric dams along the Saint John River, particularly at Grand Falls (1928). While there is little information on the extent of occurrence or the size of the Furbish's lousewort population previous to dam construction, it is likely that both have been reduced as a result of these projects. However, the threat of hydroelectric development is better described as historical, as the most recent project in the region was completed at Beechwood in 1958 and no changes in either the number of structures or the operation of dams in the Upper Saint John River are being pursued at present. Nonetheless, any future projects (e.g. increase in dam height or new construction) could potentially have an impact on the lousewort and this potential impact should clearly assessed in the project review.

Despite the creation of a head pond above Grand Falls, Furbish's lousewort persists as small pockets of one to sixty plants at intervals between the dam and the international border. Below the dam, the river dynamics often mimic pre-dam events through ice jams and extended periods of run-of-the-river flows. It is along these stretches of shoreline that the larger populations and potential habitat are found.

While river dynamics and regulation may be the most conspicuous concern, land use practices and relatively small events may have a significant impact on the habitat of Furbish's lousewort. In the summer of 2004, the Nature Trust of New Brunswick documented changes that had occurred along the Saint John River shoreline from the international border to Perth-Andover, a distance of roughly forty-five kilometers that covers the known Canadian range of Furbish's lousewort. Land use and habitat alteration within 30 meters of the high water mark were compared through a time series of aerial photographs (1944-45, 1974-77, 1996). In addition, a field inventory of habitat alteration provided detailed information not discernable from aerial photos.

The results, presented in *Assessing threats to the riparian flora of the Upper St. John River* (Nature Trust of New Brunswick 2005), underline a number of threats to the potential habitat of Furbish's lousewort and other rare plants. The absence of a treed buffer along over 40 % of the shoreline is of particular concern, as moderate shade appears to play a role in the establishment or survival of Furbish's lousewort (Gawler and Cameron 2001). Roads and old railroads (now recreational trails) were the activities most frequently linked to tree removal, with residential development, forestry operations, fields, gravel pits and commercial

development noted as additional sources, respectively. However, the report notes that the potential for additional road and trail development appears to be low and that the amount of buffer affected by agricultural activities has declined by roughly 50 %. By contrast, the extent of shoreline affected by residential development and gravel pits has increased by two-fold or more since the mid 1970's, suggesting that these two activities represent more current threats.

The field inventory was particularly effective in quantifying threats and habitat alteration at the finer scales. Recreational activities (footpaths, boat docks, marinas, picnic or other access areas) were documented for a combined shore length of over 13 km. The total amount of bank or shoreline altered by dumping incidents exceeded two and a half kilometers. The combination of filling, bank stabilization and slumping attributable to human activity covered close to 6 km or 5 % of the study area. Significant establishment of invasive species (patches > 5 m in length) were recorded over 6 km of shoreline, most often in association with disturbances such as shade removal.

The probability or frequency of incidents of dumping or other disturbances at the known lousewort sites is unpredictable, but the potential impact on the population at any given site would be significant. Education initiatives and stewardship programs would be an appropriate response to the increased demand for residential and recreational access to the river. Adoption of sound shoreline stewardship practices, in combination with site management plans at known locations, would be valuable in protecting Furbish's lousewort. Regulatory agencies are in a position to have an impact on these practices, through enforcement or through assistance to landowners in selecting less disruptive options in land use planning, and there is a particular need for regulatory agencies to address the problem in a concerted effort.

In addition to these human activities, there are a number of natural factors that reduce population levels or alter habitat. While the effects of ice scour and bank erosion may be unpredictable, and at times beneficial, encroachment by shrubs has been noted at more than one site and remains a question to be addressed for each sub population through site management plans. Additional natural factors, such as herbivory (Macior 1978, Menges *et al.* 1986) or seed parasitism (Macior 1978, Macior 1979 in Stirrett 1980) are known to occur, though the long-term effects on population are not quantifiable at this point and there is no obvious mitigation for these problems. Finally, a natural factor that may become problematic by its decline is the potential overall decline in pollinating bees in general (Allen-Wardell *et al.* 1998), though this impact would not be limited to Furbish's lousewort.

In short, the main recommendations to address threats are the elaboration of a protection plan (by the regulatory agencies), development of site management plans, and both targeted and general stewardship or educational programs.

**Table A. Threat assessment: human-induced impact.** Potential impact on current and potential habitat of Furbish's lousewort, based on observations during site visits and on analysis conducted by Nature Trust of New Brunswick (2005), as indicated by an asterisk.

<b>Potential Threat</b>	<b>Current frequency or extent of occurrence</b>	<b>Probability of future occurrence</b>	<b>Potential impact</b>	<b>Potential Mitigation</b>
<b>Change in river dynamics through dam construction</b>	Historical: Grand Falls Dam (1928) Beechwood Dam (1958) Tobique Dam (1952)	Low - no anticipated projects	Past impact not quantifiable. Future projects could have high impact	None proposed
<b>Change in river dynamics through localized projects ( docks, etc.)</b>	Low at current sites High at potential sites*	High	Low	Protection, Stewardship
<b>Loss of buffer of trees along bank (attributable to various activities)</b>	Low at current sites High at potential sites*	Low at current sites High at potential sites	High Moderate shade is important habitat attribute	Stewardship, Protection
<b>Dumping over bank or along shore</b>	High at current and potential sites*	High at current and potential sites	Small to medium - often restricted to small area	Targeted stewardship Protection
<b>Development of shoreline: residential</b>	Intermediate at current sites High at potential sites*	Intermediate at current sites High at potential sites - documented increase*	High - permanent alteration of habitat	Project review/ protection plan. Targeted stewardship for current and potential sites
<b>Development of shoreline: roads, trails, railway</b>	High at current and potential sites*	Intermediate at current and potential sites	High - permanent alteration of habitat	Project review/ protection plan
<b>Recreational activities</b>	Intermediate at current sites High at potential sites*	Intermediate at current sites High at potential sites - documented increase*	Intermediate to High, varies with nature and intensity of activity	Project review/ protection plan
<b>Bank stabilization/ bank slumping</b>	Intermediate	Low at current sites Intermediate at potential sites		Stewardship, Protection
<b>Gravel extraction</b>	Low at current sites High at potential sites*	Low at current sites High at potential sites - documented increase *	High - permanent alteration of habitat	Project review/ protection plan
<b>Use of pesticides/ herbicides</b>	Low at current sites, potential factor at scale of landscape	Low at current sites, potential factor at level of landscape	Low	Stewardship

***New Brunswick Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Strategy***

---

**Table B. Threat assessment: impact from natural events.** Potential impact on current and potential habitat of Furbish's lousewort, based on observations during site visits and on analysis conducted by Nature Trust of New Brunswick (2005), as indicated by an asterisk.

<b>Potential Threat</b>	<b>Current frequency or extent of occurrence</b>	<b>Probability of future occurrence</b>	<b>Potential impact</b>	<b>Potential Mitigation</b>
<b>Bank erosion</b>	High at current and potential sites*	High at current and potential sites *	Varies with site and event - potentially beneficial or destructive	None proposed
<b>Ice scour/ flood waters</b>	Not quantifiable	High	Potentially beneficial or destructive	Not applicable
<b>Succession: encroachment by shrubs</b>	Intermediate to high at current sites Unknown at potential sites	Intermediate to high at current sites Unknown for potential sites	Suppression of establishment and reproduction	Site management
<b>Herbivory by insects</b>	Unknown	Unknown	Undefined in short-term	None proposed
<b>Seed parasitism</b>	Unknown	High	Reduced seed crop	None proposed
<b>Browsing by mammals</b>	Low at current sites Unknown at potential sites	High	Reduced seed crop, low probability of occurrence at multiple sites in a single year	None proposed

**Literature cited**

Allen-Wardell, G., P. Bernhardt, R. Bitner, A. Burquez, S. Buchmann, J. Cane, P.A. Cox, V. Dalton, P. Feinsinger, M. Ingram, D. Inouye, C.E. Jones, K. Kennedy, P. Kevan, H. Koopowitz, R. Medellin, S. Medellin-Morales, G.P. Nabhan, B. Pavlik, V. Tepedino, P. Torchio, S. Walker. 1998. The potential consequences of pollinator declines on the conservation of biodiversity and stability of food crop yields. *Conservation Biology*, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 8-17.

Gawler, Susan C., Waller, D. M., and Menges, E. S. 1987. Environmental factors affecting the establishment and growth of *Pedicularis furbishiae*, a rare endemic of the Saint John River Valley, Maine. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club*. 114: 280-292.

Gawler, S. C. and D. S. Cameron. 2001. Population sizes of Furbish's lousewort (*Pedicularis furbishiae*) along the St. John River, Maine: 2001. Census Results. Report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Maine Natural Areas Program, Department of Conservation. 15 pp.

Macior, L. W. 1978. *The pollination ecology and endemic adaptation of Pedicularis furbishiae*. S. Wats. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 105: No.4, 268-277.

Menges, E. S., D. M. Waller, and S.C. Gawler. 1986. Seed set and seed predation in *Pedicularis furbishiae*, a rare endemic of the Saint John River, Maine. *American Journal of Botany*. 73:1168-1177.

Nature Trust of New Brunswick. 2005. Assessing threats to the riparian flora of the Upper St. John River. Publication of the Nature Trust of New Brunswick, Inc., Fredericton, New Brunswick. 16 pp.

Stirrett, Geo. M. 1980. The status of Furbish's lousewort, *Pedicularis furbishiae* S. Wats., in Canada and the United States. Second Edition. COSEWIC unpublished report. 78 pp.



## **Appendix B**

### **Current and historic distribution and abundance**

#### **Historic records**

Our understanding of the historic distribution and abundance of Furbish's lousewort is incomplete at best. It is based on the brief notes accompanying specimen vouchers and on accounts of earlier botanical excursions from various, and sometimes scattered, sources. The strongest evidence that the plant was once more abundant than at present comes from James Fowler's *Preliminary list of the plants of New Brunswick* (1885). Referring to early collections, he provides the following entry for *Pedicularis furbishiae*: "Rather common on both sides St. John River between Grand Falls and Andover, *Hay* and *Wetmore*; abundant at mouth of Aroostook, *Vroom*."

Fowler is most likely referring to specimens that were later also included in Stirrett's (1977) review of botanical collections related to Furbish's lousewort. Stirrett traced the extent of botanical investigations in northern Maine and northern New Brunswick, beginning with the work of Goodale in the early 1860's and ending with a description of his systematic search (with Fred Tribe and Hal Hinds) for the species along the Canadian portion of the Saint John River in 1977. In addition to summaries of field expeditions, he compiled a list of sixty-seven herbarium vouchers of Furbish's lousewort, including the type specimens collected by Kate Furbish, located in nineteen different collections across several countries.

These early records not only suggest that the lousewort was once more abundant, they also provide some of the very scarce indications that lousewort sites were not restricted to the Saint John River proper, though the location information for most vouchers is less than precise. There are two mentions of Furbish's lousewort on the Aroostook River in New Brunswick: one indicating "Aroostook River" collected by J. Vroom in 1884 (#1709, British Museum of Natural History, in Stirrett 1977) and one specifically indicating the mouth of the Aroostook River, collected by Churchill in 1901 (Harvard University, Gray Herbarium, in Stirrett 1977). In addition, a voucher note by Wetmore in 1882 (#2643, New Brunswick Museum, Saint John) cites the Upper Saint John River as a location, but in the details refers to "banks of streams." Furbish's lousewort is not currently known from any tributaries or streams of the Saint John River. Portions of the Aroostook, particularly near the river mouth, were searched in 1977 by Stirrett and companions, and again in 2003 as part of the surveys by the Nature Trust of New Brunswick and the Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre. No lousewort were found, though it should be noted that the habitat has been altered; the Tinker Dam was built roughly five kilometers from the mouth of the Aroostook during the early 1900's. The 2003 survey did detect the lousewort on the Saint John River, a short distance upstream and on the shore opposite the mouth of the Aroostook River. An additional historic occurrence opposite Little River (near the Stirrett Reserve) has not been relocated.

Also of interest in the list of museum vouchers are the reports of Furbish's lousewort from Aroostook by Vroom in 1884 (# 97558, National Museum of Canada, in Stirrett 1977) and from Andover in 1882 by Hay (Queens University, Fowler Herbarium, in Stirrett 1977). Though the precision of these data is problematic, they suggest that the species may have

## ***New Brunswick Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Strategy***

---

occurred further downstream, by perhaps 5 km, from the most southerly occurrence known at present.

Unfortunately, the voucher information provides limited information on the occurrence of the lousewort in the vicinity of Grand Falls. An 1879 collection by Hay at Grand Falls (#2644, New Brunswick Museum) includes the description “copses and banks.” A 1943 specimen collected by Stirrett (Agriculture Canada in Stirrett 1977) suggests an occurrence immediately downstream of Grand Falls, a site that has not been relocated. Finally, a voucher collected by Moser in 1878 (Queens University, Fowler Herbarium) was originally misidentified as *P. canadensis*, suggesting perhaps an additional confounding variable in our efforts to understand its historic abundance and distribution.

### **Current distribution and abundance**

Much of our understanding of the current distribution and abundance of Furbish's lousewort stems from the interest generated following its rediscovery in 1976, during preparatory studies related to a proposed hydro-electric project. Systematic surveys (Stirrett 1977) re-confirmed historic accounts of the species above the dam at Grand Falls and below the dam at what is now the Stirrett Reserve. They also resulted in the discovery of the unique occurrence of Furbish's lousewort along the railway embankment near the mouth of the Aroostook River (Stirrett 1980).

Subsequent surveys for Furbish's lousewort were patchy until 2001, when the Nature Trust of New Brunswick and the Atlantic Canada Conservation Data Centre covered the Canadian portion of the shoreline from the mouth of the St. François River to Perth-Andover. Several stretches of shoreline were examined on foot, and two previously unknown pockets of Furbish's lousewort were discovered (Nature Trust of New Brunswick, 2003).

The Table below summarizes the data available from surveys of the Canadian population of Furbish's lousewort, beginning with the extensive efforts of the late 1970's as documented by Stirrett (1977). Inconsistencies in survey techniques and search effort make it difficult to compare results both within and between years. Estimates of the Canadian population of Furbish's lousewort have varied from the low of 220 reported by Hinds in 1997 to estimates of 800 to 900 plants resulting from the increased search effort and discovery of new populations of Furbish's lousewort in 2002 (Nature Trust of New Brunswick 2003).

***New Brunswick Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Strategy***

**Table A. Summary of survey results for Furbish's lousewort.** Counts of Furbish's lousewort from complete and partial surveys (1977-2002). Numbers in parenthesis represent the proportion of plants in flower/plants not in flower. **DNR** refers to the Department of Natural Resources, New Brunswick.

<b>Year and reference</b>	<b>Aroostook</b>	<b>Above Grand Falls</b>	<b>Stirrett Reserve</b>
<b>1977 Hinds (1998)</b>	178 (63/115)	254	70 (44/26)
<b>1979 Stirrett (1980)</b>	33	254 (154/100)	115 (69/46)
<b>1981 Brown (1982)</b>	80	102	212
<b>1982 Brown (1982)</b>	125	117	213
<b>1983 Drummond (1987)</b>	231	125	175
<b>1984 Brown in Hinds (1988)</b>	234		225
<b>1987 Drummond (1987)</b>	171 (50/121)	120 (41/79)	165
<b>1991 O'Brien (1991)</b>	50+ (12/38)		313+ (112/201)
<b>1996 O'Brien (1997)</b>			136 (90/37)
<b>1997 Hinds (1998)</b>	22 (18/4)	62 (12/50)	
<b>1998 DNR database</b>		67	50
<b>1999 DNR database <sup>1</sup>O'Brien (1999)</b>	42 (42/0)	171** (31/3)	65 <sup>1</sup>
<b>2000 DNR database</b>	84*		62
<b>2001 DNR database</b>	314 (163/151)	298 (115/183)	146 (73/73)
<b>2002 Nature Trust (2003)</b>	224 (97/127)	243 (105/138)	126 (66/15)

**Note:** In 2002, two additional occurrences were discovered where counts were 187 (61/126) and 124 (99/25).

\* Count on July 21/00 by DNR, 28 stems damaged.

\*\* Note: the 1999 total for above Grand Falls was obtained from a survey in July, while the numbers in parenthesis represent the plants that were resurveyed in a more limited August visit.

**Literature cited**

Brown, Donald, C. 1982. Summer study of potential ecological reserves (Furbish's lousewort, Shea Lake, Miscou Island). Report to the Environmental Council of New Brunswick. New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources, Fish and Wildlife Branch, Fredericton, New Brunswick. 5 pp. plus appendices.

Drummond. 1987. The 1987 Furbish's lousewort count. New Brunswick Department of Natural Resources and Energy. Fredericton, New Brunswick. 7 pp.

Fowler, James. 1885. Preliminary list of the plants of New Brunswick. Bulletin of the Natural History Society of New Brunswick 4: 8-84

Hinds, Hal. 1997. Update status report for Furbish's lousewort (*Pedicularis furbishiae*). Prepared for the Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada. Ottawa, Ontario.

Nature Trust of New Brunswick. 2003. Rare plant surveys of the Upper St. John River with focus on Furbish's lousewort. Publication of the Nature Trust of New Brunswick, Inc. Fredericton, New Brunswick. 61 pp.

O'Brien, Patricia. 1991, 1997, 1999. Correspondence in regards to George Stirrett Reserve.

Stirrett, Geo. M. 1977. Report on the investigations of the flora of Northern Maine and Northern New Brunswick with particular reference to *Pedicularis furbishiae* and other rare plants. Contract number DACW 33-77-M-0885 with the Army Corps of Engineers. pp61.

Stirrett, Geo. M. 1980. The status of Furbish's lousewort, *Pedicularis furbishiae* S. Wats., in Canada and the United States. Second Edition. COSEWIC unpublished report. 78 pp.

## **Appendix C**

### **Biology and habitat requirements**

#### **Biology**

Furbish's lousewort is a perennial herb, of apparently poor competitive ability (Menges 1990), such that it depends on periodic scouring or erosion events to create new habitat or reduce encroachment by shrub or other vegetation. Reproduction appears to occur by seed only (Macior 1978, Menges 1990) and there appears to be little in the way of seed dormancy or a seed bank (Menges 1990).

The establishment and phenology of Furbish's lousewort has been described primarily from research by Gawler *et al.* (1987) in Maine. Seedlings may emerge from mid- June through August, with seedlings occurring more frequently on moss than on soil, litter or gravel. High summer survival rate is enhanced by moisture and increases with later germination. Seedlings are obligate root hemiparasites, though they are apparently not host specific (Macior 1980).

In nature, Furbish's lousewort reaches reproductive maturity only during its third summer (Gawler *et al.* 1987). Early in the growing season it consists of a basal rosette of deeply cleft leaves. One or more flowering stems appear in mid-July through August (Macior 1978), and capsules mature in August through September (Menges *et al.* 1986). The only pollinator identified to date is *Bombus vagans* (bumblebee), noted in studies that also indicated that Furbish's lousewort is an obligate outcrosser (Macior 1978). However, the lack of genetic variation within the species raises the possibility that it may also self-pollinate (Waller *et al.* 1988).

Flower initiation is predicted by size, and reproductive output is strongly affected by shade (Gawler *et al.* 1987). Plants under dense cover produce fewer flowers than would be expected for their size (Gawler *et al.* 1987) or remain in a vegetative state (Day 1983). The effect of shade is particularly important in relation to succession and encroachment by shrubs. Gawler (1988 in US Fish and Wildlife Service 1991) observed a decrease in the seed production of individual plants at sites where the largest shrub stems were older than 5 or 6 years.

In addition, seed output has been shown to be significantly affected by inflorescence herbivory by spittlebugs (Macior 1978; Menges *et al.* 1986); browsing by mammals (Menges *et al.* 1986; Hoyt, *pers. comm.*); seed predation by plume moth (*Amblyptilia picta*) (Menges *et al.* 1986) and seed parasitism by parasitoid wasps (Menges *et al.* 1986).

While dispersal ability is difficult to measure, studies conducted in Maine suggest that regeneration generally occurs not far from the parent plant, rather than through long-distance dispersal (Gawler 1999). Seeds float, but they lack mechanisms for wind or animal dispersal (Menges 1990).

Genetic analysis (electrophoretic patterns at 22 loci in 28 individuals from four sites) failed to detect variation among individuals or sites (Waller *et al.* 1988). The methods were

considered by the researcher to be sufficient in that they routinely detect variability in other species (Waller *et al.* 1988).

### **Habitat requirements**

Furbish's lousewort is restricted to the main stem of the Saint John River, above its confluence with the Aroostook River. Its distribution is therefore shared between Maine and New Brunswick. Most of our understanding of the ecology and habitat requirements of this species comes from work in Maine, where extinction and colonization events, on the scale of populations or sites, have been linked to the dynamics of ice scour and high energy spring floods and, to a lesser extent, bank slumpage (Menges 1990, Gawler *et al.* 1987). These events damage or remove vegetation, potentially leading to reductions or even complete loss of some colonies of Furbish's lousewort. Conversely, they may prove to be beneficial by creating new habitat or by enhancing the persistence at a site by reducing encroachment by shrubs or other competing vegetation. The net effect of these events at a given site is not likely to be predictable.

The role of additional environmental variables, while not independent of disturbance events, has also been the subject of studies in Maine. Moisture, substrate and cover have been described by Gawler *et al.* (1987) as factors of varying importance in the establishment, survival and reproduction of Furbish's lousewort. Their work identified the significance of soil moisture, relative to other site variables. Survival of seedlings and growth of established plants were higher on saturated soils than on moist soils, and were lowest on dry soils. This is particularly important given that, in the same study, plant size was found to be the most accurate predictor of onset of flowering.

Substrate appears to play a role in germination. Gawler *et al.* (1987) found that seedlings occurred more often on moss than on gravel, bare soil or litter. This pattern was reinforced in a later study on recolonization (Gawler 1999). At a larger scale, the degree of bank consolidation or cohesiveness is a determinant of the vulnerability of a given site to catastrophic disturbance, as described by Gawler *et al.* (1987). The latter note that the conditions that favour lousewort growth (*i.e.* steep slopes, groundwater seepage) are also linked to bank instability and increased vulnerability to slumping or erosion.

Gawler *et al.* (1987) found plant cover to also be a significant variable, though the nature of the impact varied with the life stage of the plant and was confounded by suspected interaction with other variables (Gawler *et al.* 1987). However, they noted that dense cover consistently depressed flowering. This suppression of reproductive output may nonetheless contribute to the persistence of the species at a site. Gawler *et al.* (1987) noted that most of the lousewort plants at a given site occurred within a narrow elevational range. However, a small number of larger than average plants were to be found within the forest edge at the upper margins of this narrow band, providing perhaps a potential source of seed should plants on the more exposed shore be lost to scour. In a later study on recolonization, Gawler (1999) underlined the significance of residual plants in the re-establishment of lousewort following catastrophic disturbance.

While the effect of cover and canopy on Furbish's lousewort appears to be less than straightforward, shade or solar radiance is nonetheless believed to be a significant factor at the scale of site characterization. Furbish's lousewort occurs, for the most part, on north- or west- facing shores (Macior 1978, Stirrett 1980, US Fish and Wildlife Service 1991). It is not clear whether this near consistency in aspect is related to a requirement for light or for moderate shade. Macior (1980) suggested that the species could grow in full sunlight, though Gawler and Cameron (2001) underline the importance of the treed buffer at the top of the bank in maintaining an appropriate microhabitat.

The interaction of disturbance events and other environmental factors suggests a limited window for establishment of Furbish's lousewort (Gawler *et al.* 1987). The presence of even a small number of residual plants may enhance the probability of re-establishment of a subpopulation. Regeneration is favoured on a moss substrate, which generally requires three years post-disturbance to form. Given that plants in the wild do not produce seed until their third summer (Gawler *et al.* 1987), a minimum of six years would be required for establishment and reproduction following disturbance. An interval of ten years between disturbances is likely a more accurate estimate of the time required for a sub-population to achieve significant reproduction and to contribute to the overall survival of the species (Menges 1990).

### **Habitat in New Brunswick**

New Brunswick faces some unique scenarios and challenges in the conservation of Furbish's lousewort. The typical habitat as described from Maine's larger populations occurs along free-flowing river where the dynamics that are believed to be responsible for the survival of the species are essentially intact. However, the dynamics of the Saint John River as it flows through New Brunswick have been altered by dam construction and the lousewort occurs in less than typical habitat: along a headpond, along a stretch of river with minimally altered dynamics, and at a site completely away from the river. The effects of these various regimes are not clear and this lack of clarity underlines the importance of protecting and maintaining the population at all currently- known sites. Questions that merit attention are related to site management issues, such as control of shrub cover at the upland sites, and the potential for or evidence of recent colonization events.

## ***New Brunswick Furbish's Lousewort Recovery Strategy***

---

### **Literature cited**

- Day, Robin. 1983. A survey and census of the endangered Furbish's lousewort in New Brunswick. *Canadian Field Naturalist* 97:325-327.
- Gawler, Susan C. 1999. The role of residual plants and added seed in recolonization by Furbish's lousewort, *Pedicularis furbishiae*. A report to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Maine Natural Areas Program. Augusta, Maine. 12pp.
- Gawler, Susan C. and Donald S. Cameron. 1991. Population sizes of *Pedicularis furbishiae*, Furbish's lousewort, along the St. John River, Maine: 2001 census results. Maine Natural Areas Program. Augusta, Maine. 17 pp.
- Gawler, Susan C., Waller, D. M., and Menges, E. S. 1987. Environmental factors affecting the establishment and growth of *Pedicularis furbishiae*, a rare endemic of the Saint John River Valley, Maine. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 114: 280-292.
- Macior, L. W. 1978. The pollination ecology and endemic adaptation of *Pedicularis furbishiae*. S. Wats. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 105: No.4, 268-277.
- Macior, L. W. 1979. Seedling development in *Pedicularis furbishiae*. Final report to Committee on Faculty Research. University of Akron, Akron, Ohio, January 11. 4pp.
- Macior, L. W. 1980. The population ecology (population biology) of Furbish's lousewort, *Pedicularis furbishiae*. S. Wats. *Rhodora* 82:105-111.
- Menges, Eric S., 1990. Population viability analysis for an endangered plant. *Conservation Biology* Volume 4, No. 1: 52-62
- Menges, E. S., D. M. Waller, and S.C. Gawler. 1986. Seed set and seed predation in *Pedicularis furbishiae*, a rare endemic of the Saint John River, Maine. *American Journal of Botany* 73:1168-1177.
- Stirrett, Geo. M. 1980. The status of Furbish's lousewort, *Pedicularis furbishiae* S. Wats., in Canada and the United States. Second Edition. COSEWIC unpublished report. 78 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. Revised Furbish's lousewort recovery plan. Newton Corner, Massachusetts. 62pp.
- Waller, D. M., O'Malley, D. M., and Gawler, S. C. 1987. Genetic variation in the extreme endemic *Pedicularis furbishiae* (*Scrophulariaceae*). *Conservation Biology* 1: 335-340.