

## FWS Instream Flow Reports

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1995. Identification of instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report fiscal year 1995. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996a. Identification of the instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report fiscal year 1996. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996b. Identification of the instream flow requirements for steelhead and fall-run chinook salmon spawning in the Lower American River. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996c. Sacramento River white sturgeon spawning criteria. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1997a. Identification of the instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report fiscal year 1997. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1997b. Supplemental report on the instream flow requirements for fall-run chinook salmon spawning in the Lower American River. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1997c. Identification of the instream flow requirements for fall-run chinook salmon spawning in the Merced River. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1998. Identification of the instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report fiscal year 1998. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999a. Identification of the instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report fiscal year 1999. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999b. Hydraulic modeling of chinook salmon spawning sites in the Sacramento River between Keswick Dam and Battle Creek. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000a. Identification of the instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report

- fiscal year 2000. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000b. Effects of the January 1997 flood on flow-habitat relationships for steelhead and fall-run chinook salmon spawning in the Lower American River. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002a. Identification of the instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2001. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003a. Identification of the instream flow requirements for anadromous fish in the streams within the Central Valley of California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2002. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003b. Flow-habitat relationships for steelhead and fall, late-fall, and winter-run chinook salmon spawning in the Sacramento River between Keswick Dam and Battle Creek. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003c. Response-to-comments document for the January 2002 peer-review draft of the Sacramento River spawning flow study report. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003d. Comparison of PHABSIM and 2-D modeling of habitat for steelhead and fall-run chinook salmon spawning in the Lower American River. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003e. Response-to-comments document for the February 2002 peer-review draft of the American River PHABSIM/2-D flow study report. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

## FWS Restoration Project Monitoring Reports

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999c. Monitoring of restoration projects in Clear Creek, California. Annual progress report fiscal year 1999. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000c. Monitoring of restoration projects in Clear Creek, California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2000. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000d. Monitoring of restoration projects in the Merced River, California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2000. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002b. Monitoring of restoration projects in Clear Creek, California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2001. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2002c. Monitoring of restoration projects in the Merced River, California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2001. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003f. Monitoring of restoration projects in Clear Creek, California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2002. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003g. Monitoring of restoration projects in the Merced River, California. Annual progress report fiscal year 2002. Sacramento, CA: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.