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1 Native Range, and Status in the United States

Native Range
From Fuller et al. (2015):

“Slightly brackish to freshwater. Eurasia, primarily in rivers and estuaries of the Black Sea basin; also in rivers of northern Aegean (Miller 1986, Freyhof and Naseka 2007; Neilson and Stepien 2009).”

Status in the United States
From Fuller et al. (2015):

“The species was introduced into the St. Clair River, Michigan. It was taken in several 1990 collection samples from the cove next to the Detroit Edison Company's Belle River Power Plant and near the intake structures (Jude et al. 1992; Jude 1993; Jude, personal communication; G. Smith, personal communication). As of 1994, it also had been found at the north end of Lake St. Clair at Anchor Bay (Cavender, personal communication). In July 1997, a single tubenose goby was captured in Lake Erie at Port Glasgow, Canada (ROM 70904) (A. Dextrase, personal...
communication). Since then, additional specimens have been found in the area (Kingsville Marsh) and the species is believed to be established on the northwestern shore of Lake Erie. Tubenose gobies have been collected in the waters of western Lake Erie around Catawba, Kelly's, and the Bass Islands, Ohio (Kocovsky et al. 2011), and in eastern Lake Erie in a small embayment (Marina Lake) adjacent to Presque Isle Bay, Erie, Pennsylvania (Grant et al. 2012). In 2001, a specimen was found in Duluth Harbor of western Lake Superior on the Minnesota-Wisconsin border (Vanderploeg et al. 2002). This species is also reported in Lake Huron (Cudmore-Vokey and Crossman 2000). Collected in Swan Creek, Monroe County in 2001 (Bowen, personal communication).”

“This species is believed to be established but rare in the St. Clair River, and in Lake St. Clair, Michigan (Jude 1993; Cavender, personal communication). Eggs attached to vegetation brought up during a trawl in 1994 were bought into a laboratory and hatched (Cavender, personal communication). This species is not spreading rapidly (Vanderploeg et al. 2002), but has undergone some recent expansion (Kocovsky et al. 2011).”

Means of Introductions in the United States
From Fuller et al. (2015):

“Introduced via ballast water.”

Remarks
From Fuller et al. (2015):

“May be able to occupy all shallow waters of all five Great Lakes (U.S. EPA 2008) It is predicted by the GARP model to become established in Lake Erie and the shorelines areas of the other Great Lakes. Predictions could not be made for most of the rest of the region. Their distribution around the inshore areas of the Black and Caspian Seas indicates their potential for widespread occupation of inshore habitats where cover, especially plants, occurs in the lower Great Lakes (Jude et al 1992). Recently, tubenose gobies have expanded into the western and eastern basins of Lake Erie (Kocovsky et al. 2011; Grant et al. 2012).”

“Although P. semilunaris is widely dispersed among drainages within the Black Sea basin, it is threatened in certain locale. The tubenose goby is considered endangered in Greece in the Ayannis spring near the town of Seres due to pollution and human-induced habitat change (Economidis 1995). In the Greek State, the tubenose goby is protected by law No. 67/1981 (Economidis 1995). This goby may live as long as five years (Jude 1993).”

2 Biology and Ecology

Taxonomic Hierarchy and Taxonomic Standing
From CABI (2015):

“Kingdom: Metazoa
Phylum: Chordata
Subphylum: Vertebrata  
Class: Actinopterygii  
Order: Perciformes  
Suborder: Gobioidei  
Family: Gobiidae  
Genus: Proterorhinus  
Species: Proterorhinus semilunaris”

From Fuller et al. (2015):

“All tubenose gobies were previously included in a single species, P. marmoratus. Recently, P. marmoratus was restricted to marine/brackish populations in the Black Sea, and several names were resurrected/created for freshwater populations of tubenose gobies in different regions: P. nasalis and P. semipellucidus for populations inhabiting the Caspian Sea and Volga River basins (Freyhof and Naseka 2007; Neilson and Stepien 2009); P. tataricus endemic to several rivers on the Crimean Peninsula, Ukraine (Freyhof and Naseka 2007); and P. semilunaris for tubenose gobies in rivers and estuaries in the Black, Azov, and Aegean Sea basins (Freyhof and Naseka 2007; Neilson and Stepien 2009). Proterorhinus semilunaris is the only species of tubenose goby that has been introduced to North America (Stepien and Tumeo 2006; Neilson and Stepien 2009), and has also been introduced into several areas of central and western Europe (e.g., Manné and Poulet 2008; Cammaerts et al. 2011).”

Size, Weight, and Age Range
From Eakins (2015):

“Adult Length (cm): 4.5-7.7 TL  
Adult Weight (kg): 0.001-0.006  
Age at Maturity (yrs): 1-2  
Maximum Length (cm): 9.0 SL  
Maximum Weight (kg): no data  
Lifespan (yrs): 3-4”

Environment
From Froese and Pauly (2015):

“Freshwater; brackish; benthopelagic.”

Climate/Range
From Froese and Pauly (2015):

“Temperate”

Distribution Outside the United States
Native
From Freyhof and Kottelat (2008):
“Austria; Bosnia and Herzegovina; Bulgaria; Croatia; Greece; Hungary; Moldova; Netherlands; Romania; Serbia (Serbia); Slovakia; Turkey; Ukraine”

Introduced
From Freyhof and Kottelat (2008):

“In Danube, historically present up to about Vienna, invasive since 1970s, now reaching upstream to southern Germany. Recorded in 1999 from Rhine where it arrived from Danube through a canal and spread as far as the Netherlands (2002).”

Means of Introduction Outside the United States
From Freyhof and Kottelat (2008):

“It is increasing its range in the Danube and Rhine, reportedly facilitated by canalization of main river and reservoirs or slow-flowing waters created by hydroelectric plants.”

Short Description
From Froese and Pauly (2015):

“This species (along with the round goby Neogobius melanostomus) can be distinguished from all other fishes in the Great Lakes by the presence of fused pelvic fins. Tubenose goby can be distinguished from the round goby by its long anterior nostrils and lack of black spot on posterior base of dorsal fin (Miller 1986; Jude 1993).”

Biology
From Froese and Pauly (2015):

“Inhabits a variety of slow-flowing or still waters from estuarine to small, slow flowing premontane streams; usually in dense vegetation or coarse rocks, and often very abundant in backwaters and lakes, breeds in reservoirs and channels. Preys on benthic invertebrates. Spawns for the first time at 1-2 years, usually for 1-2 seasons only, in April to August. Females may spawn more than once during the season and males guard the eggs that are deposited in cavities. Larvae and juveniles are benthic [Kottelat and Freyhof 2007]”

From Fuller et al. (2015):

“The tubenose goby is a benthic omnivores, consuming a wide variety of benthic invertebrates (chironomids, crustaceans, copepods, dipterans, ephemeropterans, ostracods, and trichopterans) and occasionally larval fishes (French and Jude 2001; Adamek et al. 2007).”

“Generally inhabits shallow (less than 5 m depth), slow-moving, nearshore environments. Prefers areas with abundant aquatic macrophytes, but can also be found in sandy areas (Jude and Deboe 1996).”
**Human uses**
None reported.

**Diseases**
From Huyse et al. (2015):

“We morphologically and genetically document the co-introduction of the Ponto-Caspian *Gyrodactylus proterorhini* Ergens, 1967, originally described on tubenose goby in southern Slovakia. Because of their direct life cycle and extraordinary reproductive capacities, gyrodactyloid monogenean parasites can readily invade new areas together with the host. Moreover, *G. proterorhini* has a wide host range and might therefore represent a threat to other gobiid fishes.”

**Threat to humans**
From Froese and Pauly (2015):

“Harmless”

**3 Impacts of Introductions**
From Fuller et al. (2015):

“The tubenose goby does not feed on zebra mussels, as do round gobies (Vanderploeg et al. 2002). However, it has been shown to have a significant overlap in diet preference with rainbow darters (*Etheostoma caeruleum*) and northern madtoms (*Noturus stigmosus*) and may compete with these native fish for food (French and Jude 2001).”

From Vanderploeg et al. (2002):

“It is too small to efficiently feed on zebra mussels (French and Jude 2001). Ecological impacts, therefore, are expected to be minimal.”

From Van Kessel et al. (2011):

“Various habitat choice experiments were conducted between two common native benthic fish species (*Cottus perifretum* and *Barbatula barbatula*) and four invasive non-native gobiid species (*Proterorhinus semilunaris*, *Neogobius melanostomus*, *N. kessleri* and *N. fluviatilis*). The first series of single specimen experiments determined the habitat choice of each individual fish species. In a second series of competition experiments, shifts in habitat choice in comparison with the previously observed habitat choice, were determined when a native benthic fish species co-occurred with non-native gobiid species. Native *C. perifretum* displayed a significant shift in habitat choice in co-occurrence with the gobiids *N. kessleri* or *P. semilunaris*. *C. perifretum* was outcompeted and moved from the available shelter place to less preferred habitat types. During the competition experiments no change in habitat choice of *B. barbatula* was shown. Our study therefore suggests that competition for shelter is likely to occur in rivers invaded by *N. kessleri* and *P. semilunaris* at sites where shelter is limiting”
From Vašek et al. (2014):

“One of the potential impacts of invasive gobies on native fish fauna is predation on eggs and fry. Therefore, the diet composition of two invading gobiid species, the tubenose goby Proterorhinus semilunaris and round goby Neogobius melanostomus, was examined in the Dyje river system (Danube basin, Central Europe) during the 2011 reproductive season to ascertain the extent of gobiid predation on heterospecific and conspecific eggs and juveniles. Consumption of fish eggs and juveniles by invading gobies was very low. The diets of both species consisted largely of benthic macroinvertebrates, and particularly insect larvae. These results indicate that invading gobies in the Dyje river system are likely to impact native fish fauna more through competitive effects than through direct predation on eggs and juveniles.”

4 Global Distribution

Figure 1. Global distribution of *P. semilunaris*. Map from GBIF (2013).
5 Distribution within the United States

Figure 2. Distribution of *P. semilunaris* in the US. Map from Fuller et al. (2015).

6 Climate Match

**Summary of Climate Matching Analysis**

The climate match (Sanders et al. 2014; 16 climate variables; Euclidean Distance) was high throughout the entire Great Lakes basin and much of the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions. Climate matching was also high in parts of the West. The climate match was low in the South. Climate 6 score indicated that the US has a high climate match. The range for a high climate match is 0.103 and greater, climate match of *P. semilunaris* is 0.432.
Figure 3. RAMP (Sanders et al. 2014) source map showing weather stations selected as source locations (red) and non-source locations (gray) for *P. semilunaris* climate matching. Source locations from GBIF (2013).
Figure 4. Map of RAMP (Sanders et al. 2014) climate matches for *P. semilunaris* in the continental United States based on source locations reported by GBIF (2013). 0= Lowest match, 10=Highest match.

7 Certainty of Assessment

Detailed information is lacking on the biology and impacts of *P. semilunaris*. It is expanding outside its native range, but no major ecological impacts have been documented in the places to which the species has spread. The certainty of this assessment is low.

7 Risk Assessment

Summary of Risk to the Continental United States

*P. semilunaris* has expanded its range in recent decades, becoming established in the Great Lakes and in new locations in Europe. There is some potential for competition with native species, however, ecological impacts are not likely to be as great as those caused by other gobiids. Most impacts are predicted to be the result of competition for resources with native fish species. Climate match with the contiguous US is high, especially within the Great Lakes basin. The lack of information on impacts makes the overall risk for this species uncertain.
Assessment Elements

- History of Invasiveness (Sec. 3): Uncertain
- Climate Match (Sec. 6): High
- Certainty of Assessment (Sec. 7): Low
- Overall Risk Assessment Category: Uncertain
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