From: Dan Ashe
To: Noreen Walsh
Cc: Bob Dreher; Gary Frazer
Subject: Fwd: Mineral Wdrawal
Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2015 1:14:08 AM

FYI.

Dan Ashe
Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** Dan Ashe <d_m_ashe@fws.gov>
> **Date:** May 12, 2015 at 11:13:28 PM MDT
> **To:** "Lyons, James" <james_lyons@ios.doi.gov>
> **Cc:** "Greenberger, Sarah" <sarah_greenberger@ios.doi.gov>, Karen Kelleher <kkelleh@blm.gov>, Amy Lueders <alueders@blm.gov>, Gary D Frazer <gary_frazer@fws.gov>, Robert Dreher <robert_dreher@fws.gov>, Kate P Kelly <Kate_Kelly@ios.doi.gov>, Ted Boling <Ted.Boling@sol.doi.gov>, Bret Birdsong <bret.birdsong@sol.doi.gov>, Neil G Kornze <nkornze@blm.gov>, Steven Ellis <sellis@blm.gov>, Tommy Beaudreau <tommy_beaudreau@ios.doi.gov>, Michael Connor <michael_connor@ios.doi.gov>
> **Subject: Re: Mineral Wdrawal**
>
> Here are my thoughts. If we are trying to get to a "not warranted" determination, then my team needs to have confidence that the Secretary actually intends to follow through on a BLM recommendation. Therefore, I suggest we remove some of the language that they will see as equivocal. I completely support Jim's recommended language, and suggest inserting it in this text.
>
> Dan.
>
> (b) (5) [redacted]
>
> [redacted]
>
> [redacted]
>
> [redacted]

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Dan Ashe
Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

On May 12, 2015, at 1:44 PM, Lyons, James <james_lyons@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

> My thoughts attached.
>
> In sum: I would **only consider a revocation for minerals of national significance** (e.g., rare earth) AND either development would have no impact on GRSG or effects are minimal and can be mitigated to a net conservation gain.
>
> Jim
>
> On Tue, May 12, 2015 at 1:22 PM, Greenberger, Sarah <sarah_greenberger@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
>
> > Here are updated TPs to serve as a starting point for discussion tomorrow. They don't discuss a global review at any point. They still don't talk about the relative absence of development interest in these areas. We can work on that piece but I don't think it's central to the policy discussion at this point.
> >
> > On Thu, May 7, 2015 at 5:17 PM, Greenberger, Sarah <sarah_greenberger@ios.doi.gov> wrote:
> >
> > > Here are the bullets we discussed today. Kate and I will work on phrasing the absence of interest/potential. Please get me

> edits/thoughts and I will compile. Thanks! Sarah

--

Jim Lyons
Deputy Assistant Secretary
Land and Minerals Management
Jim_Lyons@ios.doi.gov
202-208-4318 (direct)
202-815-4412 (mobile)

<Locatable Mineral Talking Points_v5_JRLcomments.docx>