From:	Burgess, Angela
To:	Norman, Kate
Cc:	Jennifer Sturdivant
Subject:	Re: New version of trend report
Date:	Monday, October 05, 2015 7:25:46 AM

Hi Kate,
Through working with Kevin, we were able to do a citation for the final report instead of "in press," so I'll update the file to this updated one.

Thanks,
Angela

Angela Burgess
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
Mountain Prairie Region - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
134 Union Blvd., Lakewood, Colorado 80228
303-236-4263
angela_burgess@fws.gov

On Fri, Oct 2, 2015 at 8:01 PM, Norman, Kate <kate_norman@fws.gov> wrote:

> Hi ladies-
>
> I just wanted to clear this up. I don't think these are the versions we want to save for the status review admin record because they're not what we cited. We can certainly keep them for reference, but if we cited in press, I think that's what we need to keep in the record.
>
> Angela or Jennifer - did you have a different take on this?
>
> Thanks,
> K
>
> ---------- Forwarded message ----------
> From: **Doherty, Kevin** <kevin_doherty@fws.gov>
> Date: Fri, Oct 2, 2015 at 1:27 PM
> Subject: Fwd: New version of trend report
> To: Kate Norman <kate_norman@fws.gov>, Jennifer Sturdivant <jennifer_sturdivant@fws.gov>, Michael Thabault <michael_thabault@fws.gov>
>
> Hi all,
>
> None of the results or bullet points change from what was written about the work in the federal register. The authors however did fix a numeric technicality. If the report is being cataloged please use this version.
>
> Cheers
> Kevin

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Jeffrey Evans** <jeffrey_evans@tnc.org>
Date: Fri, Oct 2, 2015 at 11:40 AM
Subject: New version of trend report
To: "kevin_doherty@fws.gov" <kevin_doherty@fws.gov>

Kevin,

I forgot, Darryl sent a new version of the report that has some small numeric corrections in the interpretation of spatial correlation term. The original calculation was done in a spreadsheet using the log function, but it should have been natural log to match R's log function. The end result is that the distances in the original report need to be multiplied by ~2.3 to be correctly interpreted. This does not affect the results, just a numeric technicality that has been corrected in the new version of the report.

Here is the link, if you can make sure that folks have the correct version it would be much appreciated.

http://s3.amazonaws.com/sagegrouse/sage+grouse+trend+report+.pdf

-Jeff

--

Kevin Doherty, PhD
Spatial Ecologist
USFWS Region 6 --Science Applications
134 Union Blvd, Lakewood, CO 80228
Phone: (303) 921-0524
Email: kevin_doherty@fws.gov

--

Kate Norman, Sage-Grouse Project Manager
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 6
134 Union Blvd, Lakewood CO 80228
PO Box 25486, DFC, Mailstop 60120, Denver CO 80225
Office: 303-236-4214

Mobile: 703-927-2445
kate_norman@fws.gov