From: Wiechman, Lief
To: Nicole Alt
Subject: Re: NV measures comments
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 10:00:48 AM

Short answer is 'Yes' with the caveat that I think I'm getting at Jesse's concept/suggestions.

I've tried to keep everything listed by threat: **AGRICULTURE CONVERSION** (for example)...
- followed by the "goal": **Protect existing habitats on private lands through conservation agreements**...
-- followed by the "what to do": **Enroll private landowners in conservation easements**...
--- followed lastly by the associated metric that we would want reported: **Report the number of easements and the associated acres protected as a result of the agreement**...

Maybe not the best example to illustrate, but it was one of the shorter ones. I'm also trying to be redundant between threats (example - conservation agreements is also listed under habitat protection).

I've also tried to keep the mitigation hierarchy in mind as I [re]organize the list. I'm trying to incorporate NVs comments, but there are some we should discuss (I've noted those). I'm thinking I can get this to the group (you, Pat, Drue, Jesse, Jeff... anyone else?) by the end of the day. How's that sound?

Lief Wiechman
Sage-Grouse Energy Biologist
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Mountain-Prairie Region
5353 Yellowstone Road
Cheyenne, WY 82009
307.772.2374
lief_wiechman@fws.gov

On Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 9:29 AM, Nicole Alt <nicole_alt@fws.gov> wrote:

> Let's talk Monday morning and I'll send it out then. Were you able to rearrange and nest the actions as Jesse was describing?
>
> Nicole Alt
> Deputy ARD Ecological Services
> Mountain-Prairie Region
> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
> nicole_alt@fws.gov
>
> On Sep 27, 2013, at 8:39 AM, "Wiechman, Lief" <lief_wiechman@fws.gov> wrote:
>
> Nicole,
>
> I'll incorporate most of Tim's edits and make note of the one's I don't (I don't feel as though we should remove mitigation for noise - provided that is can be captured as a metric that can be reported to the Service). I'm working on this most of today, trying to tighten it up and describe the associated metric(s) to our list of measures, etc.
>
> Are we still planning on sending this out to the group today or are you wanting us to review

it internally and send it out on Monday?

Lief Wiechman
Sage-Grouse Energy Biologist
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Mountain-Prairie Region
5353 Yellowstone Road
Cheyenne, WY 82009
307.772.2374
lief_wiechman@fws.gov

On Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 8:24 AM, Nicole Alt <nicole_alt@fws.gov> wrote:

I'll send you any others I see. I'll try to call you this afternoon to see where we are on pulling something together for Tuesday's call. Thanks!

Nicole Alt
Deputy ARD Ecological Services
Mountain-Prairie Region
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
nicole_alt@fws.gov

Begin forwarded message:

From: Tim Rubald <timrubald@sagebrusheco.nv.gov>
Date: September 26, 2013, 5:56:24 PM MDT
To: "'nicole_alt@fws.gov'" <nicole_alt@fws.gov>
Cc: Tony Wasley <twasley@ndow.org>, Jim Lawrence <lawrence@lands.nv.gov>, Melissa Faigeles <mfaigeles@sagebrusheco.nv.gov>
Subject: Please replace previous document

Ms. Alt,

We would greatly appreciate it if you could possibly replace the document that was sent yesterday afternoon by Nevada, with the attached document. If yesterday's document was passed on to others on the team, it would be much appreciated if they were provided with this replacement.

My apologies for any inconvenience this may cause. If you have any questions whatsoever you are welcome to give me a call or drop a note. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Tim Rubald, Program Manager

Sagebrush Ecosystem Program

201 S Roop St., Ste 101

Carson City, Nevada 89701

timrubald@sagebrusheco.nv.gov

t: 775-684-8600

c: 775-790-0035

f: 775-684-8604