From: Deibert, Pat
To: DeBerry, Drue
Subject: Re: GRSG: Please Review for Endorsement on Nov 13 FMT Call
Date: Thursday, October 30, 2014 5:13:15 PM

Drue - I don't see any problem with the matrix - nice work! My concern is what happens next? If a project fails do we have a consistent recommendation? I would assume so - if its red we recommend the project be re-located, re-designed, or otherwise. But what about yellow?

Perhaps I'm just over-concerned and I definitely want to defer to local project details and ecology in developing a response (where one is appropriate and needed). I will defer to your judgement if there is value in creating a framework for a response....

I like the matrix - thanks for pulling it together!

p

On Tue, Oct 28, 2014 at 1:36 PM, DeBerry, Drue <drue_deberry@fws.gov> wrote:

> FMT members,
>
> On the next call, **we will seek FMT endorsement** of the attached Rangewide Energy Project Checklist for Consistency with the COT Report and the GRSG Rangewide Mitigation Framework.
>
> FMT endorsement is being sought so that the Checklist will be used by Service staff to determine if energy and infrastructure projects are consistent with the COT and Mitigation Framework, where such projects and activities occur in sage-grouse PACs, PPH, PGH, and/or state-designated sage-grouse habitat. Use of the Checklist will improve the consistency of the Service's assessments across jurisdictional boundaries and sage-grouse conservation across the range.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Drue DeBerry
> Sage-Grouse Energy Coordinator
> U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
> 134 Union Blvd.
> Lakewood, CO 80228
> (303) 236-4264
>
> drue_deberry@fws.gov

--
Pat Deibert, PhD
Certified Wildlife Biologist®
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A

Cheyenne, WY 82009
307-772-2374, ext. 226

got leks?