| | |
|---|---|
| **From:** | DeBerry, Drue |
| **To:** | Thabault, Michael |
| **Cc:** | Pat Deibert |
| **Subject:** | Re: GSG Mitigation, net conservation |
| **Date:** | Friday, November 21, 2014 3:34:25 PM |

---

Focusing on the paragraph Terry called our attention to:

I would add "selected and" - we need to right kinds of actions in the right places

Furthermore, compensatory mitigation actions will be selected and sited in a strategic manner, via a WAFWA Management Zone Regional Mitigation Strategy, that ensures that the benefits of compensatory mitigation actions leverage each other and produce additive, cumulative benefits.

I would add "project selection and" and also change "ensure" to "enhance the likelihood"

Strategic project selection and siting and the resultant cumulative benefits will ~~ensure~~ – "enhance the likelihood" that compensatory mitigation achieves net conservation gain for the species across WAFWA zones.

Drue DeBerry
Sage-Grouse Energy Coordinator
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
134 Union Blvd.
Lakewood, CO 80228
(303) 236-4264

drue_deberry@fws.gov

On Fri, Nov 21, 2014 at 2:57 PM, Thabault, Michael <michael_thabault@fws.gov> wrote:

> Are you good with this?
>
> Michael Thabault
> Assistant Regional Director
> Ecological Services
> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
> Mountain Prairie Region
> 303-236-4210
> michael_thabault@fws.gov
>
> ---------- Forwarded message ----------
> From: **Theresa Rabot** <theresa_rabot@fws.gov>
> Date: Fri, Nov 21, 2014 at 1:18 PM

Subject: Fwd: GSG Mitigation, net conservation
To: noreen walsh <noreen_walsh@fws.gov>, Michael Thabault <Michael_Thabault@fws.gov>, michael fris <michael_fris@fws.gov>, Richard Hannan <richard_hannan@fws.gov>, Ren Lohoefener <Ren_Lohoefener@fws.gov>

all -

please see the attached, since this is an issue that we hope to resolve on monday, we should go with a yea or nay on the language. Critical language is on page 2 at the top - Sentence beginning with "Furthermore..... " and the language in the following paragraph. Please let me know today if you have any concerns.

thanks

Terry Rabot
Theresa_Rabot@fws.gov
work: 503.872-2719
cell: 503.804.1762

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** "Preston, Matthew" <mpreston@blm.gov>
> **Date:** October 15, 2014 at 2:10:51 PM EDT
> **To:** Kathy Hollar <kathy_hollar@fws.gov>, Matt Kales <matt_kales@fws.gov>, Paul Henson <paul_henson@fws.gov>, Shauna Ginger <shauna_ginger@fws.gov>, Ted Koch <ted_koch@fws.gov>, Theresa Rabot <theresa_rabot@fws.gov>
> **Cc:** Gordon Toevs <gtoevs@blm.gov>, Kit Muller <kmuller@blm.gov>, Vicki Herren <vherren@blm.gov>, Sally Butts <sbutts@blm.gov>, Stephen Small <ssmall@blm.gov>, James Perry <jperry@blm.gov>
> **Subject: GSG Mitigation, net conservation**
>
> Hi all,
>
> Thanks for the call last Friday on mitigation standards for GSG.
>
> The BLM has drafted new language in accord with our conversation, which describes how the strategic siting of compensatory mitigation, even if mitigated to a no net loss standard, can achieve additive/cumulative benefits and help to achieve net conservation (i.e. the sum is greater than the parts). We've also adding language to be more clear about needing to increase mitigation requirements to address risk and timeliness. The new language is in track-changes on top of the template mitigation language that BLM distributed in April (attached).
>
> Could you please review this language and provide any commentary?

We would like to brief the BLM State Directors on this language on Monday, therefore I would appreciate FWS' feedback by Friday morning (Oct. 15), please.

Thank you!

All the best, Matt

--

Matt Preston, PhD
Chair, Regional Mitigation Team
Science Advisor, National Conservation Lands
Bureau of Land Management
202-912-7175; mpreston@blm.gov