From: Katie Kalinowski
To: Matt Kales
Subject: RE: GrSG: FWS recommended revisions to March 2015 SGTF notes
Date: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 11:24:50 AM

Matt,

Thanks for agreeing to keep that statement more general.

I'm good with your alternate language on grazing permits.

I'll send the summary out to the task force shortly with my reminder about Rockies tickets and the room block.

Regards,
Katie

From: Matt Kales [mailto:matt_kales@fws.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 11:19 AM
To: Katie Kalinowski
Subject: RE: GrSG: FWS recommended revisions to March 2015 SGTF notes

Thanks, Katie.

I think your approach on the first item makes sense and it's fine to keep the statement more general, especially since attribution is different in our respective notes.

On the second item, this gets to the long-term fate of permits in GrSG range and whether there are some instances when it makes the most sense – for a variety of reasons – to retire the grazing permit. I don't know these notes are the best venue to hash out that policy issue so recommend you simply capture it along these lines: "Some members raised questions about the long-term status of grazing leases that permitees voluntarily relinquish and what process the federal land management agencies will use to determine that long-term status."

Let me know if that works, and thanks again.

Matt

From: Katie Kalinowski [mailto:kkalinowski@westgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 10:58 AM
To: Matt Kales
Subject: RE: GrSG: FWS recommended revisions to March 2015 SGTF notes

Thanks, Matt. Most of these edits look straightforward and I did add some content form Dan's notes.

I do have a question about page 3 where FWS added Colorado as the state that supported a

consultation process. In my notes it's Wyoming but either way, my preference is to leave that statement more general unless that creates heartburn.

On that same page in the section about permits, my understanding is that states are concerned grazing permits will be relinquished but then not reissued to another rancher, just taken away. Is there another way I can say this that is clearer?

Everything else looks good.

Regards,
Katie

From: Matt Kales [mailto:matt_kales@fws.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 9:04 AM
To: Katie Kalinowski
Cc: Noreen Walsh; Michael Thabault; Nicole Alt; Mary Grim
Subject: GrSG: FWS recommended revisions to March 2015 SGTF notes

Hi, Katie.

I hope you are doing well. As requested, please see attached the subject item. The second document, which contains the FWS updates Dan shared at the March meeting, is referenced in one of our comments to the meeting notes.

Thanks, as always, for the opportunity to review, and please let us know if you have any questions on the enclosed.

I'll give you a call shortly to discuss another, related item.

Regards,

Matt

Matt Kales, Senior Advisor for Greater Sage-Grouse Conservation
Office of the Regional Director
US Fish and Wildlife Service, Mountain-Prairie Region
Office: (303) 236-4576
Mobile: (720) 234-0257