From:	Juliusson, Lara
To:	Lindstrom, James
Cc:	Ed Turner; Rich Young; Pat Deibert; Lief Wiechman
Subject:	Re: GRSG: GIS Team, denominator acreages for MZs and portion of MZ I in U.S. vs. Canada
Date:	Tuesday, March 24, 2015 12:36:59 PM

Thanks Jim,

If the MZ I polygon were divided into a U.S. and Canada polygons and named something like "MZ I - U.S." and "MZ I - Canada", we could split them when necessary, for example I will need to do so for the O&G model, and lump them when needed.

Lara

Lara Juliusson, Geographer/Ecologist
Sage-grouse Energy Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
Region 6, Lakewood, CO
Lara_Juliusson@fws.gov
303-236-9876
http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/

Join me on LinkedIn
http://www.linkedin.com/pub/lara-juliusson/5/918/7a4

On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 1:25 PM, Lindstrom, James <james_lindstrom@fws.gov> wrote:

> Good question Lara, I've been thinking about that as well. I guess the question is, should we ignore Canada for analysis where we don't have any data for Canada? Which would mean acreages and percent of (layer x) for MZ1 would only be for the US portion.
>
> I can't make that call but I do think we need that answered before moving on. Definitely need input from the Bio's.
>
> Jim
>
> _____
> Jim Lindstrom
> *james_lindstrom@fws.gov*
> Cartographer
> U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
> Wyoming Ecological Services Field Office
> (307) 772-2374 (Ext 240)
>
> On Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 1:13 PM, Juliusson, Lara <lara_juliusson@fws.gov> wrote:
>
>> Hi all:
>>
>> Ed, I remember a while back you sent out a table you were using with standard acreage totals for current range within each MZ. This was useful as the denominator when creating area percents.

A couple of questions on that. First, did you create that based on totals by MZ from the "Acres" field in the "GRSG_2015_StatusReview_WORKING_CurrentRangeMZ.shp" file?

If so, did you subtract the acres in MZ I that are not in the U.S., since our threat data is going to be for the U.S. only (maybe with rare exceptions)?

Finally, Jim, I know you are working on updates to the range/MZ file now based on Kevin's distribution data, and I am wondering if it would make sense to have MZ I broken into a U.S. portion and a Canada portion, for the benefit of teasing out U.S. calculations.

Food for thought for tomorrow's meeting.

Thanks,
Lara

Lara Juliusson, Geographer/Ecologist
Sage-grouse Energy Team
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
Region 6, Lakewood, CO
Lara_Juliusson@fws.gov
303-236-9876
http://www.fws.gov/mountain-prairie/

Join me on LinkedIn
http://www.linkedin.com/pub/lara-juliusson/5/918/7a4