From: Laura Romin
To: Jay Martini
Subject: Fwd: Draft Biological Assessment for Utah GRSG FEIS for staff review; response requested by 3/9
Date: Tuesday, March 31, 2015 2:26:45 PM

Fyi.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

> **From:** "Colt, Chris J -FS" <ccolt@fs.fed.us>
> **Date:** March 31, 2015 at 12:02:06 PM MDT
> **To:** Laura Romin <laura_romin@fws.gov>
> **Subject: RE: Draft Biological Assessment for Utah GRSG FEIS for staff review; response requested by 3/9**
>
> Ooops, sorry, Laura. How about the 14th?
> Either is fine. We are really on your staff's schedule now. Let us know how we can help.
>
> Chris
> 208-881-1975
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Laura Romin [mailto:laura_romin@fws.gov]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, March 24, 2015 6:07 PM
> **To:** Colt, Chris J -FS
> **Subject:** Re: Draft Biological Assessment for Utah GRSG FEIS for staff review; response requested by 3/9
>
> Chris - April 9 is not 3 weeks?
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Mar 24, 2015, at 5:40 PM, Colt, Chris J -FS <ccolt@fs.fed.us> wrote:
>
>> Laura,
>>
>> Thanks for the ongoing close coordination of your staff on the sage-grouse Land Use Plan Amendment FEIS section 7 consultation. We have appreciated meeting with them on a regular (usually weekly) basis during this process. Attached is a draft version of the Biological Assessment for the Utah Greater Sage-grouse Land Use Plan Amendment FEIS for the BLM and US Forest Service. We are submitting this informally at the staff to staff level for review prior to our finalizing the assessment and proceeding with formal section 7 consultation. We would appreciate if

you would circulate this document to the appropriate staff for their review and provide us comments back by April 9th. We are available to meet with your staff in person or on a conference call to answer any questions. We will take comments in any format, but “track changes” and comment bubbles in Microsoft Word are often the most efficient. Note that there are two documents attached, the biological assessment and an appendix, which contains both the BLM and the Forest Service proposed amendments.

Sincerely,

Chris Colt