From: Powell, Katie
To: Kathleen Hendricks
Subject: Re: Idaho Sage-Grouse Plan
Date: Monday, March 16, 2015 11:05:01 AM

Can we discuss this tomorrow morning or can I call in sometime this afternoon to discuss with you and Dennis? I'm heading to the doctor at 2:30 today.

Thanks,
Katie

On Mon, Mar 16, 2015 at 11:00 AM, Mackey, Dennis <dennis_mackey@fws.gov> wrote:

> FYI we need to discuss ASAP and will need to do a summary along with a map. See me and we can discuss. Thanks.
>
> Dennis
> ---------- Forwarded message ----------
> From: **Carrier, Michael** <michael_carrier@fws.gov>
> Date: Fri, Mar 13, 2015 at 4:43 PM
> Subject: Idaho Sage-Grouse Plan
> To: Gary Frazer <gary_frazer@fws.gov>
> Cc: Theresa Rabot <theresa_rabot@fws.gov>, Noreen Walsh <noreen_walsh@fws.gov>, Richard Hannan <richard_hannan@fws.gov>, Dennis Mackey <dennis_mackey@fws.gov>
>
> Gary,
>
> Terry Rabot asked that I provide you with a summary of the elements of the Idaho BLM plan. A summary is attached.
>
> Terry also asked that I give you an idea of what loss of support from the Governor would mean in Idaho should he withhold his support or oppose the plan. First, I will briefly list the four issues about the BLM plan over which the Governor's Office has expressed concern. They are:
>
> - **SFAs:** The overlay of Sagebrush Focal Area designation to include: the FWS map not aligning with what Idaho, working with federal agencies and others, had previously identified as the best habitat; the potential for SFA restrictions to complicate the adaptive management component of the plan; the recommendation for mineral withdrawals; and concerns that some lands identified as SFA are not priority habitat or are non-habitat for grouse.
> - **Important Habitat Zone**: Idaho's concern is that merging the Important Habitat with Core Habitat and General Habitat Zones with across-the-board restrictions negates the value of the Important Habitat Zone as a habitat "bank" (which would be added to Core Habitat as losses to habitat or populations occur) thereby undermining Idaho's adaptive management strategy.
> - **Additional Restrictions on General Habitat**: Application of the 3.1 mile lek buffer to this relatively small percentage of habitat and population (roughly 5% of GRSG) that would severely restrict activities combined with an exception mechanism that cannot practically be employed.
> - **Grazing**: Concerns about the standards as they are implemented.

Absence of support from the Governor for the Plan could translate to:

- Idaho stalling or dropping its continued investment of state funds into creating and supporting Rural Fire Protection Associations which are a key component of BLM's wildland fire protection strategy for grouse habitat;
- As chair of the State Land Board, loss of the Governor's support for adoption of a sage-grouse conservation plan for the Department of State Lands (6% of GRSG habitat);
- Failure to issue planned executive orders to state agencies assigning priority to sage-grouse conservation;
- Loss of the Governor's leadership of private landowners and local governments to cooperate with the federal government on GRSG conservation;
- Potential for the State of Idaho to appeal, litigate or intervene in litigation over agency actions to protect GRSG (as has been experienced with Lepidium).
- Lessened or loss of cooperation from the State of Idaho for federal efforts to conserve other imperiled species and their habitat.

If you have any questions about the above or the attachment, do not hesitate to ask.

Mike

--

Michael Carrier, State Supervisor
Idaho Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1387 S. Vinnell Way, Suite 368
Boise, Idaho 83709
(208) 685-6953
(503) 551-6340 (cell)

--

Dennis Mackey
Deputy State Supervisor
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Boise, Idaho
Office: 208-378-5267
Cell: 208-860-1970

--

Katie Powell
Wildlife Biologist - Conservation Partnerships
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1387 S. Vinnell Way
Boise, ID 83709

Office: 208-378-5293
Fax: 208-378-5262