From: Mackey, Dennis
To: Carrier, Michael
Cc: Jason Pyron; Kathleen Hendricks
Subject: Re: FW: GRSG habitat numbers you requested.
Date: Friday, March 27, 2015 9:35:55 AM

Hopefully someone explained to Rich Young what to compare re: Alts D, E, and "G2"????
The part about "rolling Important into General doesn't make sense.

Dennis

On Friday, March 27, 2015, Carrier, Michael <michael_carrier@fws.gov> wrote:

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: **Theresa Rabot** <theresa_rabot@fws.gov>
Date: Fri, Mar 27, 2015 at 8:38 AM
Subject: FW: GRSG habitat numbers you requested.
To: Richard Hannan <richard_hannan@fws.gov>, Mike Carrier <michael_carrier@fws.gov>

From: Young, Rich [mailto:rich_young@fws.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 3:55 PM
To: Theresa Rabot
Cc: Dawn Davis
Subject: Re: GRSG habitat numbers you requested.

Hi Terry:

Attached is a version 2 of the spreadsheet I sent earlier. I did the best I could to tease out the "Important" classification in Idaho. I had to go back to the October 2014 version of the BLM dataset to find the 3-tier classes. It's not as straightforward as the metadata file I sent would lead you to believe. For the most part they rolled "Important" into "General", but they also changed the boundaries in some instances (eg. some areas that were habitat in 2014 are no longer considered habitat in the March 2015 version, and vice versa. As explained in the footnote in the attached spreadsheet, I overlaid the "Important" habitat from 2014 on to the 2015 data layer. Anything that was called "Important" in 2014 but "General" in 2015 was removed from the previous "General" total acres and reported in the "Important" column. The "% General of total" then dropped significantly for Idaho (from 60% to 29%).

If you need something else, or require further explanation of what I did, just let me know.

Thanks,

Rich

On Thu, Mar 26, 2015 at 1:03 PM, Theresa Rabot <theresa_rabot@fws.gov> wrote:

Please that's the key issue

From: Young, Rich [mailto:rich_young@fws.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 1:02 PM
To: Theresa Rabot
Cc: Dawn Davis
Subject: Re: GRSG habitat numbers you requested.

Not with the most recent BLM data delivery. I can check and see if earlier versions had them separated and let you know.

Rich

On Thu, Mar 26, 2015 at 1:00 PM, Theresa Rabot <theresa_rabot@fws.gov> wrote:

Any way for you to separate general and important in Idaho?

From: Young, Rich [mailto:rich_young@fws.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 26, 2015 12:06 PM
To: Theresa Rabot
Cc: Dawn Davis
Subject: GRSG habitat numbers you requested.

Hi Terry:

Attached is an excel spreadsheet with (hopefully) the numbers you requested. As we discussed, these are gross area calculations based on the latest (March 20) version of the

BLM General (GHMA) and Priority (PHMA) habitat layers. Please let me know if this is what you were looking for.

I've also attached a PDF of the metadata that BLM provided with these data. You mentioned that Idaho was originally broken down into three habitat types (Priority, Important and General). The metadata specifies that "At the BLM National level, Important Habitat Management Areas are grouped under [general] GHMA for consistency with the two-tier approach.". Is that what you were expecting?

Again, let me know if you need me to do more. Thanks.

Rich

--

Rich Young

F&W Biologist/GIS Specialist

USFWS Pacific Region

911 NE 11th Avenue

Portland, OR 97232

phone: 503-231-6867

email: rich_young@fws.gov

--

Rich Young

F&W Biologist/GIS Specialist

USFWS Pacific Region

911 NE 11th Avenue

Portland, OR 97232

phone: 503-231-6867

email: rich_young@fws.gov

--

Rich Young

F&W Biologist/GIS Specialist

USFWS Pacific Region

911 NE 11th Avenue

Portland, OR 97232

phone: 503-231-6867

email: rich_young@fws.gov

--

Michael Carrier, State Supervisor
Idaho Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1387 S. Vinnell Way, Suite 368
Boise, Idaho 83709
(208) 685-6953
(503) 551-6340 (cell)

--

Dennis Mackey
Deputy State Supervisor
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Boise, Idaho
Office: 208-378-5267
Cell: 208-860-1970