From: Turner, Ed
To: Frolli, Tom -FS
Cc: Rhoades, Teresa -FS; Genevieve Skora; Lara Juliusson
Subject: Re: GRSG USFS Wild Horse Territory questions
Date: Thursday, March 26, 2015 11:26:17 AM
Attachments: image011.png
image012.png
image008.png
image004.png
image013.png
image010.png
image001.png

Hello Tom, You are correct. I have the boundary that looks like the 1978 version. It is still named "Burro".

Because it will adopt the values of the BLM "Hickison" area, it will also be considered "Over AML" and when we process data based on this AML final class, the boundary between the USFS/BLM data will dissolve and the two will be as one blob area. The problem with that is I have the incorrect boundary.

Can you all send me the corrected (2013) boundary and I will replace it in the data?

I can convert your map and georeference it to create the polygon, but for source integrity issues it'd be best if I can get the real data from you all.

Thanks, Ed

On Thu, Mar 26, 2015 at 8:04 AM, Frolli, Tom -FS <tfrolli@fs.fed.us> wrote:

> Ed
>
> Sorry, one last note on Hickison WBT. In 2013 the Forest Service corrected the boundary and it better corresponds with the BLM HMA. It does not appear that the H-T NF layer we provided you had that correction. Please see the attached PDFs that show the new boundary for the territory portion.
>
> Tom
>
> **Tom Frolli, Inter-Regional Coordinator**
> **Wild Horse & Burro Program**
> **Forest Service**
>
> **Regions 1, 3, 4, 5 & 6**
> **p: 775-355-5360**
> **c: 775-842-7055**

f: 775-355-5399
tfrolli@fs.fed.us
1200 Franklin Way
Sparks, NV 89431
www.fs.fed.us

Caring for the land and serving people

From: Turner, Ed [mailto:ed_turner@fws.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 6:04 PM
To: Frolli, Tom -FS
Cc: Genevieve Skora; Lara Juliusson
Subject: Re: GRSG USFS Wild Horse Territory questions

Thank you Tom,

I will classify Murphy Wash as abolished, keeping the polygon in the data. The abolished code will preclude us from ever using it.

I will re-class Burro with the BLM Hickison and make sure the USFS polygon for Hickison matches BLM values.

I will keep Powell Mountain in as Active and classify it as "Within AML". Because at present the AML is considered below the Max limit.

Thanks again and I have some questions about Cherry Springs / Triple B, but will make a map and get my question worded better in the morning.

Thanks again, Ed

On Wed, Mar 25, 2015 at 5:53 PM, Frolli, Tom -FS <tfrolli@fs.fed.us> wrote:

Q: Can you help with territories named “Murphy Wash” and “Burro”. Both are in Nevada, but they are not listed in the table you provided nor do they have BLM listed values in the BLM table.

A: Murphy Wash lands were transferred from FS to BLM in a land exchange. It was abolished by BLM. FS changed “Burro” to “Hickison” to be consistent with BLM.

Q: Powell Mountain, min = 29, max = 29, and 2014 Est = 0. It was classifying this area as “Possibly Inactive”, but like Stone Cabin, Seven Mile, etc. I figured it would take some other records value.

A: There are horses associated with Powell Mountain. They have moved off the territory due to lack of water so it is currently zero but for now we are keeping its status as active. It is not currently joined with any BLM HMA. We are flying Powell and Garfield soon to sort out what is going on. Yes, keep those values for now.

Thanks for sticking with it, Ed

Tom Frolli, Inter-Regional Coordinator
Wild Horse & Burro Program
Forest Service

Regions 1, 3, 4, 5 & 6

p: 775-355-5360
c: 775-842-7055
f: 775-355-5399
tfrolli@fs.fed.us

1200 Franklin Way
Sparks, NV 89431
www.fs.fed.us

Caring for the land and serving people

From: Turner, Ed [mailto:ed_turner@fws.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 5:27 PM
To: Frolli, Tom -FS
Cc: Genevieve Skora; Lara Juliusson
Subject: GRSG USFS Wild Horse Territory questions

Hello Tom, I wanted to ask a few more questions about the Territory data.

I've almost completed the combination of the USFS and BLM data and I ran into a few issues. Can you help with territories named “Murphy Wash” and “Burro”. Both are in Nevada, but they are not listed in the table you provided nor do they have BLM listed values in the BLM table.

Also, I don’t know what to do with the territory named “Powell Mountain”. The table list min = 29, max = 29, and 2014 Est = 0. I was classifying this area as “Possibly Inactive”, but like Stone Cabin, Seven Mile, etc. I figured it would take some other records value. The issue is this area sits off by itself, unlike the others. The closest area to this territory is the Garfield Flat area. Do you think those values are the ones I should use?

Again, thank you for all your assistance with this data effort,

Ed

--

Edwin Turner, Geographer

GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch

USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office

2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250

Carlsbad, CA 92008

760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov

760.431.9440 x266

--

Edwin Turner, Geographer

GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch

USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office

2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250

Carlsbad, CA 92008

760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov

760.431.9440 x266

--

Edwin Turner, Geographer
GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch
USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov
760.431.9440 x266





USDA

FOREST SERVICE

UAS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE