From: Turner, Ed
To: McPherron, Heather
Subject: Re: GRSG Draft Numbers Surface Streets MgmtZ 3, 4, 5
Date: Wednesday, March 11, 2015 3:31:00 PM

Hey Heather, Just cc'd you on an email about pipelines. I don't think there are any we need to deal with. Looks like Jim got all pipelines within the zone of analysis.

Thanks for the request too.

Ed

On Wed, Mar 11, 2015 at 2:17 PM, McPherron, Heather <heather_mcpherron@fws.gov> wrote:

> No apologies necessary. The text piece of the infrastructure chapter is under review, so we've got time to clean up the analyses before there's a rush to insert them.
>
> As for the pipelines, Jim was on the writers call the other day and said he had used the pipeline data that you shared for the Oil and Gas chapter. So it sounds like we don't need to do anything there.
>
> Amy is setting up a meeting for the authors of the overlapping chapters regarding infrastructure (oil&gas, solar, wind, etc.) to make sure we've addressed everything and not left anything out. I imagine she'll invite the GIS team as well, but I'll look out for that discussion.
>
> Thanks again for all your hard work,
>
> -hm
>
> **Heather McPherron**, Fish and Wildlife Biologist
> USFWS - Central Washington
> 509.665.3508 x2011 (office)
> 509.393.5882 (mobile)
>
> On Tue, Mar 10, 2015 at 4:40 PM, Turner, Ed <ed_turner@fws.gov> wrote:
>
>> Hello Heather, Sorry the draft numbers took so long. The surface street data was a bear to work with, but I have it down now and once Lara's Model builder process if ready I will rerun all the infrastructure data to get better numbers.
>>
>> On that note; the numbers I rushed out last week are quite a bit over estimated, so don't worry if they differ greatly right now from what you have seen. I'm sure even after we run the model builder, the number will still differ BLM's 2013 stuff, but that's due to our updated range data and any recent base data we are using.
>>
>> To clarify a bit, I gave you the numbers prior to dissolving our outputs, so we got a bit of double dipping on the Acreage/Hectare values where those threat footprint buffers overlapped.
>>
>> I'm in the process of dissolving everything down to it's specific threat (railroad, high/low

> voltage, etc) to eliminate any overlapping buffer areas. Once that is done the clean data will be ready for the process and better numbers. The maps will still look close to what they are now, and at the scale you can't really see overlay anyway. I will be updating those for final and at that time with the clean outputs, so everything will be spot-on.
>
> Hope that helps a bit and please let me know if you have any questions.
>
> Note: the surface streets number are based on dissolving any overlap, so I don't think they will change that much. We will see though.
>
> Thanks, Ed
>
> --
> Edwin Turner, Geographer
> GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch
> USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
> 2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250
> Carlsbad, CA 92008
> 760.431.9440 x266
>
> Ed_Turner@fws.gov
> 760.431.9440 x266

--
Edwin Turner, Geographer
GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch
USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov
760.431.9440 x266