From: Shepherd, Alan
To: Turner, Ed
Cc: Frolli, Tom -FS; Dumas, Amy; Genevieve Skora; Lara Juliusson
Subject: Re: FW: GRSG WHB_HMA_BLM data help, Thanks
Date: Friday, March 27, 2015 10:39:19 AM

Tom
Are we only talking FS estimated pop vs whole area? It is probably close for FS vs BLM split but cumulatively the whole area is over pop targets. I'm working on EOY numbers next week and will have better estimate but I think we are in the 90+ range cumulatively.

Thanks,
Alan

Alan Shepherd
WH&B Specialist/State Program Lead
NV State Office (NV930)
1340 Financial Blvd
Reno, NV 89502

Office #: (775) 861-6469
Cell #: (775) 530-2784
Fax #: (775) 861-6712

email: ashepher@blm.gov
http://www.wildhorseandburro.blm.gov/

On Fri, Mar 27, 2015 at 10:13 AM, Turner, Ed <ed_turner@fws.gov> wrote:

> Hello Tom, Based on the information you sent, I will adjust the values for Hickison to Min = 16 leave the Max set at 45, and leave the Burro Est set at 38. It sounds like I need to leave the Estimated Horse set at 25 as BLM has coded to account for those that drift from Callaghan.
>
> Guess the real question comes in how to classify the AML level as Over AML or Within AML. The area is clearly Within AML for Burro and the area is not technically for horses even though they drift in, thus the zero values.
>
> I'm assuming for this area it should be classified as "Within AML" as BLM has stated in the 2014 statistics.
>
> If you can confirm this it would be a major help.
>
> Thanks, Ed