From: Ireland, Terry
To: Lief Wiechman; Holly Freifeld
Subject: Re: GRSG CED
Date: Monday, March 09, 2015 3:16:47 PM

Hi again,

I have a couple other questions, perhaps discussed on webinar but I did not take notes about them and don't remember if they were discussed. 1. When I first do a query and choose a threat there might only be 4 threats to choose from. After I select a threat, hit view results then go back to the drop down menu the rest of the threats appear. For example, if I select noxious weeds, there's initially no recreation category but when I go back after viewing initial results the recreation category is there and I can get projects to pop up when I do a query. However, I am assuming I am only to evaluate actions under the initial list of threat categories because they're the largest threats in MZ 2 or 7, at least at this point, is that correct?

2. Since at least one project (a conservation easement) was listed as addressing a few threats I looked to see if it was under any of the other threat categories. I did in fact find the easement information listed under both infrastructure and urbanization categories. Since easements and other efforts can address more than one threat is it Ok to evaluate 2 or more times? I don't want to double, triple, or quadruple dip on acreage. Seems like one of you (Holly I think) mentioned something about double dipping but how will double dipping be avoided when tallying acreages and projects if the numbers in fact influence our listing decision?

*** Note new address and permanent phone number***

Terry Ireland
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services
445 West Gunnison Ave., Suite 240
Grand Junction, Colorado 81501-5711
Terry_Ireland@fws.gov
Phone: (970) 628-7188

On Mon, Mar 9, 2015 at 2:05 PM, Terry Ireland <Terry_Ireland@fws.gov> wrote:

> Ok ,thanks.
>
> **From:** Wiechman, Lief [mailto:lief_wiechman@fws.gov]
> **Sent:** Monday, March 09, 2015 2:04 PM
> **To:** Freifeld, Holly
> **Cc:** Ireland, Terry
> **Subject:** Re: GRSG CED
>
> Agreed. If you've got 22 across both MZs and can crank all of them out, that'd be swell.

LW

Lief Wiechman

Sage-grouse Ecologist

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

Mountain-Prairie Region

Cell: 307.214.8426

Office: 307.772.2374 x236

lief_wiechman@fws.gov

On Mon, Mar 9, 2015 at 2:01 PM, Freifeld, Holly <holly_freifeld@fws.gov> wrote:

Hi Terry,

Sure, if you feel you have time to evaluate all of them, go for it! (-- Probably goes without saying, but we are only interested in non-Gunnison MZ 7 projects...)

Thanks,

Holly


~~~
~~~

Fish and Wildlife Biologist - Ecological Services

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Pacific Region

911 NE 11th Ave., 4th Floor, Portland, OR 97232

ph: 503.231.2198

holly_freifeld@fws.gov

On Mon, Mar 9, 2015 at 12:56 PM, Ireland, Terry <terry_ireland@fws.gov> wrote:

Hi Holly and Lief,

I'm back on the CED after a boreal toad detour. I'm starting on infrastructure and see there's 15 projects in MZ 2 and 7 projects in MZ 7. Six are fence removal or marking projects in MZ 2 and two projects are fence removal/marking in MZ 7. Both MZ 2 and 7 have one project that is 5 miles and the others are 2.5 to 0.25 miles. Since I don't have a whole lot of projects and I think I can zip through the fence projects pretty fast (since I evaluated one already) should I assess all of them or is there a mileage cutoff you'd really like me to stick to? Lief originally said 5 miles but I think went down to a mile if important on the webinar the other week.

*** Note new address and permanent phone number***

Terry Ireland
Fish and Wildlife Biologist
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services
445 West Gunnison Ave., Suite 240
Grand Junction, Colorado 81501-5711
Terry_Ireland@fws.gov
Phone: (970) 628-7188