From: Skora, Genevieve
To: Turner, Ed
Cc: Lara Juliusson
Subject: Re: GRSG Draft Free Roaming Equids Threat map and numbers
Date: Thursday, March 19, 2015 10:31:03 AM

Great, thanks Ed. That's what I suspected from the numbers.

On Thu, Mar 19, 2015 at 10:26 AM, Turner, Ed <ed_turner@fws.gov> wrote:

> Hello Genevieve, Here is a map of the Pryor Mtn HMA. It falls under BLM's management (in their data set), and only a very small approx. 17 acre falls on the current range.
>
> Please note current range is changing right now and we hope by end of the week or so we'll have new boundaries. We will rerun all data and if this is still and issue we can remap.
>
> Going to add the AML Mix/max fields to the overall data that combines BLM and USFS areas (remember these data have overlaps so double acreage counts and will need to address if we use these for numbers), and code a map showing AML in level and those over or those under or those with no listed levels.
>
> Hope this helps, Ed
>
> On Thu, Mar 19, 2015 at 9:14 AM, Skora, Genevieve <genevieve_skora@fws.gov> wrote:
>
>> Hi Ed,
>>
>> One of my reviewers asked if the map could show which HMAs are over AML. Does the GIS data you have a column showing % of AML? or do you have the Min/max or high/low AML columns?
>>
>> I'm also just noticing that WA and MT have no HMAs within GrSG range.
>>
>> I think WA may be explained as the equids up there may be tribal ownership. I don't suppose the GIS team has done a map of where tribal land and GrSG range intersect? I have a NV map showing this intersection.
>>
>> For MT, I'm trying to figure out where the Pryor Mtn HMA is situated. I thought it would fall within GrSG range, but maybe it is mostly outside of? I see (on an old spreadsheet that 17 ac of Pryor Mtn is within MZ II.
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> - Gen
>>
>> On Mon, Mar 16, 2015 at 2:34 PM, Turner, Ed <ed_turner@fws.gov> wrote:
>>
>>> Hello Genevieve, Here is a new draft map and draft numbers that will be used till we process the data as final.
>>>
>>> I have gone back and removed the USFS territories that are considered inactive. The BLM data looks to represent active management areas as they note type of Herd within.

Hope this helps, Ed

--
Edwin Turner, Geographer
GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch
USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov
760.431.9440 x266

--

Genevieve A. Skora
Biologist (Endangered Species)

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | Reno Fish & Wildlife Office
1340 Financial Blvd., Suite 234 | Reno, Nevada 89502
(775) 861-6395 | *Genevieve_Skora@fws.gov*

--
Edwin Turner, Geographer
GIS Mapping and Analysis Branch
USFWS Carlsbad Fish and Wildlife Office
2177 Salk Ave. Suite 250
Carlsbad, CA 92008
760.431.9440 x266

Ed_Turner@fws.gov
760.431.9440 x266

--

Genevieve A. Skora
Biologist (Endangered Species)

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | Reno Fish & Wildlife Office
1340 Financial Blvd., Suite 234 | Reno, Nevada 89502
(775) 861-6395 | *Genevieve_Skora@fws.gov*