From: Hendricks, Kathleen
To: Drizd, Lara
Cc: Genevieve Skora; Jay Martini; Jeff Everett; Jeff Berglund; Terry Ireland
Subject: Re: GRSG CED Evals - Infrastructure
Date: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 8:26:27 AM

For all the fence marking projects: If an existing fence was marked I counted it as effective. I'm assuming we'll count every inch of NRCS fence as effective so we should count all of the other fences in the CED as effective too.

Project 5764: did not address the threat of infrastructure but rather predation (which is not a primary threat) so I don't support counting it under infrastructure.
Project 500: did not describe what type of retrofit and probably addresses predation rather than infrastructure. Regardless of what they did the infrastructure still remains, the threat has not been addressed.

Kathleen G. Hendricks
Conservation Partnerships
1387 South Vinnell Way
Boise, Idaho 83709
208-378-5742 work
208-866-7467 cell

On Mon, Mar 16, 2015 at 6:33 PM, Drizd, Lara <lara_drizd@fws.gov> wrote:

> Hi everyone,
>
> There are 25 infrastructure projects with conflicting responses. I've uploaded the spreadsheet of "problem projects" to SharePoint but it's also attached. Can you discuss these projects and let me know what your final response on each one is? Thanks!
>
> --
> Lara Drizd
> Biologist
> Endangered Species Division, Pacific Regional Office
> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
> 911 NE 11th Avenue, 4th Floor, Portland, OR 97232
> Phone: (503) 872-2824 Email: lara_drizd@fws.gov