From: Matt Kales
To: Jeff Everett
Subject: RE: FWS Status Assessment
Date: Friday, December 06, 2013 6:32:22 AM

Morning. You may still be awake from all that go-juice. I wrote Brett asking for an alternate attachment. Sorry for the trouble. MK

From: Jeff Everett [mailto:jeff_everett@fws.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2013 4:58 PM
To: Matt Kales
Subject: RE: FWS Status Assessment

Hey Matt –

I hate to bother you with this; the attachment once again won't open, and the ones on the earlier emails that do, are just a .bmp image of an attachment logo, not the spreadsheet itself. Paul has asked Brett to resend, but he is swamped; if you have it, could you send to Paul and I? Thanks in advance.

Americanos (not decaf) at 4pm – not the happy hour I had in mind, but there is much to be done…

Cheers

J-

From: Matt Kales [mailto:matt_kales@fws.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 3:26 PM
To: Jeff Everett
Subject: FW: FWS Status Assessment

From: BROWNSCOMBE Brett * GOV [mailto:brett.brownscombe@state.or.us]
Sent: Wednesday, December 04, 2013 4:08 PM
To: noreen_walsh@fws.gov
Cc: michael_thabault@fws.gov; matt_kales@fws.gov; theresa_rabot@fws.gov; paul_henson@fws.gov; nicole_alt@fws.gov; ken.e.mayer@gmail.com
Subject: Fwd: FWS Status Assessment

Noreen--I wanted to get this to you as well. It is the same template / assessment that I sent you earlier but the contents that I typed in have been updated w/ the review of Dawn and Dave on our SageCon team. Here it is, straight up and unvarnished.
Regards, and see you in Las Vegas.
-Brett

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: Dawn M Davis <dawn.m.davis@state.or.us>
Date: December 2, 2013 2:48:21 PM PST
To: BROWNSCOMBE Brett * GOV <brett.brownscombe@state.or.us>, David Budeau <david.a.budeau@state.or.us>, DAVIS Dawn M <dawn.m.davis@state.or.us>, RICKERSON Eric V <eric.v.rickerson@state.or.us>, "Ken Mayer (Ken.e.mayer@gmail.com)" <ken.e.mayer@gmail.com>
Cc: DAMON Jamie * GOV <jamie.damon@state.or.us>, Theresa Burcsu <tburcsu@pdx.edu>
Subject: RE: FWS Status Assessment

Brett,

Attached is the status assessment with contributions from myself and Dave Budeau, ODFWs Upland Game Bird Coordinator. I addressed my comments on the first population tab only, but you can incorporate them as you see fit in the other tabs. Overall I didn't find any major issues but believe the urban/exurban development ranking should be something less than 6.

Also, the Klamath population is all that remains of a population that once extended from northern California through southern Oregon. There are no PACs mapped for this population in Oregon because sage-grouse are thought to be extirpated in Oregon and we presently aren't including the Klamath population in the SageCon "all lands" plan.

Let me know if you need any additional info or have questions – thanks,

Dawn Davis, Ph.D.
Sage-Grouse Conservation Coordinator
Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife
237 S Hines Blvd
PO Box 8
Hines, Oregon 97738
Phone (541) 573-6582
Fax (541) 573-5306