Jodie Delavan/OSO/R1/FWS/DOI
07/23/2012 03:15 PM

To Jodie Delavan/OSO/R1/FWS/DOI@FWS, James Lindstrom/R6/FWS/DOI@FWS, "Pat Deibert" <pat_deibert@fws.gov>
cc
bcc
Subject Re: UT narrative **important**

History: This message has been replied to.

Oops. I see a possible issue we will want to resolve with John if possible before wed. If we do not, we run the risk of this eating up a big chunk of wed. Also, how this is dealt with will be of interest to the whole team. Thus, I'm ccing pat. If you talk with John today, maybe you can address this?

The issue... Hamlin has a c3 rank and emery a c4. Ibapah a c3. Panguitch a c3. Strawberry a c3. Uintah a c4. Individually these are all below 500 birds. The cot rule (discussed day two in Reno) was that areas with fewer than 500 birds cannot be above a c2 rank because of the threat of small population size. This does not match. If we keep these ranks we need to justify why it is biologically appropriate. This is complicated by their use of mgmt units. For example, if ibapah and hamlin are connected to the nv part of n. Great basin then they would be over 500 birds provided connectivity is maintained... So in those cases a higher rank may be justified by our rule set. Alternately, if emery is naturally fragmented and will never support 500 birds but is still stable (just an example, I do not know if this is true), then perhaps this is ok for emery... I don't have a quick solution but I know this could eat up a lot of wed if we are not prepared...

Excuse the typing, I am on the BB. :)

Jodie

Jodie Delavan---07/23/2012 11:47 AM PDT---

From: Jodie Delavan
To: James Lindstrom
Cc:
Date: 07/23/2012 11:47 AM PDT
Subject: Fw: UT narrative

Jim:
John Harja has updated C1-C4 rank information for UT that does not appear to show up in the latest version of the maps. He said he was working with you to update the map so this may be duplicative info... The document in this email has the correct ranks in them if you want them now, or you may just want to talk with John directly. Whatever works...

Jodie

----- Forwarded by Jodie Delavan/OSO/R1/FWS/DOI on 07/23/2012 11:39 AM -----

"John Harja" <johnharja@utah.gov>
07/23/2012 11:35 AM

To Jodie_Delavan@fws.gov
cc Pat_deibert@fws.gov
Subject Re: UT narrative

OK - let me find the right document among all those strewn about. This is the latest Utah

Strategy document - dated 7/23.

John

>>> <Jodie_Delavan@fws.gov> 7/23/2012 12:32 PM >>>

Just to be sure, we should use the narrative sent on 7/20, right? This attachment looks like edits to the COT approach.
Thanks, Jodie

"John Harja" <johnharja@utah.gov>

07/23/2012 11:04 AM

To Jodie_Delavan@fws.gov
cc Pat_deibert@fws.gov
Subject Re: UT narrative

You are correct. I am trying to talk to Jim to make sure he updates the information. I just realized that the version I sent this morning was missing the rankings. Use this one instead.

John

>>> <Jodie_Delavan@fws.gov> 7/23/2012 11:51 AM >>>

Hi John,
I was looking at Jim's map showing the C1-C4 ranks by population/unit. It looks like Jim may not have the updated ranks for UT. For example, in the 7/20 version of the UT draft narrative Box Elder was a C2 and the map shows it as C1. I could be wrong, but I wanted to draw your attention to this in case we need to update the maps. I also want to make sure I have the correct rank information for the table in Sec 5 of the COT report. I did not cc: Jim because I may be the one with outdated information and do not want to confuse the situation! :)

Thanks,
Jodie

"John Harja" <johnharja@utah.gov>

07/23/2012 09:49 AM

To pat_deibert@fws.gov
cc Jodie_Delavan@fws.gov
Subject Re: UT narrative

Pat:

Attached is a version which has been edited by some of our folks. The descriptions have been tightened a bit. Give me a call anytime today (7/23) to discuss. Tomorrow is a big Utah state holiday, though I would be available from home if you need to discuss then. I am going through your new draft COT report.

John

>>> <pat_deibert@fws.gov> 7/20/2012 5:37 PM >>>

Thanks for sending this John. I'm having some problems merging this in the document as the WAFWA populations described in UT don't match up with your descriptions in the attachment you sent. Maybe we can chat early next week and you can help me get my head around this?

thanks and have a great weekend!

p

Pat Deibert , PhD.
National Sage-grouse Coordinator
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Wyoming Field Office
5353 Yellowstone Road, Suite 308A
Cheyenne, WY 82009
307-772-2374, ext. 226

"John Harja" <johnharja@utah.gov>

"John Harja" <johnharja@utah.gov>

07/20/2012 02:45 PM

To <Jodie_Delavan@fws.gov>

cc <pat_deibert@fws.gov>

Subject Re: UT narrative

Jodie:

Here is Utah's narrative to date. I am still awaiting one number, but we can add that number later. Still working on some other information.

John

>>> <Jodie_Delavan@fws.gov> 7/17/2012 5:02 PM >>>

Hi John,
I'm writing on behalf of Mike Schroeder since he is on the road --- or in the air to be exact. Mike has been compiling the narratives written by each state for section 5 of the COT report. Section 5 is the "description of resistance and resiliency and how that ties to the populations and associated habitat." This request was sent out in an email dated 6/29 with the subject COT outline from Jack Connelly, the last day we were in Reno. It occurred to me that you may not know of this assignment since you were gone by the time we created "homework," and it might not have been obvious from the 6/29 email since it was written in the attachment. If you have not heard about it, this email explains what Mike needs for section 5. If you are already working on this, please send your write-up to Mike when it's complete and cc: Pat and me. Mike is still working on the section, but given his traveling status he may need or want some help.

The narratives are supposed to be a brief overview of threats and C1-C4 ranks by population, maybe 1/3 to a1/2 page each in length maximum. We were asked to use references to existing documents as appropriate, to focus on the “big” threats, and, where appropriate, acknowledge some of the “lesser” threats. This is essentially just a write-up of the information we are already providing in the threats matrix (which I see from your email to Kathy Griffin that you are working on). I'm attaching Oregon's write-up as an example:

Speaking of the threats matrix, I saw in an earlier email that you were working on this and would send UT information to Kathy. Please send this to Pat as well since Kathy will be out of the office starting 7/19. I don't know how much email access Kathy will have after that, so it's best to just cc: Pat on all this stuff. Pat is also now the keeper of the COT report. All edits and comments on the draft can go to her. If you have any questions, feel free to call me or Pat. My direct number is 503-231-6984 if you need it.

Thanks!

Jodie

~

Jodie Delavan
Oregon Shrubsteppe Coordinator
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Oregon Fish and Wildlife Office
2600 SE 98th Avenue, Suite 100
Portland, OR 97266

Phone: (503)231-6179; Fax: (503)231-6195
~ [attachment "Utah Management Areas descriotion 072012.docx" deleted by Pat Deibert/R6/FWS/DOI]
[attachment "Utah Management Areas description 072312 ver 2.docx" deleted by Jodie Delavan/OSO/R1/FWS/DOI]