Olá Dana,

I have done my review on the draft report. I got impressed with the technical high level of the text, which is highly detailed and complete. On the other hand, the draft seems extremely bureaucratic. Anyway, I do not see any important gap that would need refining or complementation. All available scientific data and publications about the species seem to have been consulted and the text is consistent with what is understood on the biology and ecology of the species. All these biological and ecological aspects are well presented and discussed, reflecting honestly the uncertainties that are still expressed in the literature. All imaginable real and potential threatens to the future of the species were considered and meticulously analyzed. I do not note any important omission, neither any inconsistence. On the contrary, conclusions are logical and are well supported by the analyses and available information. I have no other specific commentary.
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Please contact me if you have any question or if the review should have been in a more specific format.

All best, thiago

Thiago Orsi Laranjeiras
Analista Ambiental - ICMBio - RR
Doutorando em Ecologia - PPG-ECO-INPA
(95) 3623-3250 / (95) 99141-1448
thiago.laranjeiras@icmbio.gov.br
http://www.flickr.com/photos/thorsi/