

# **The Economic Contributions of Recreational Visitation At Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge: McGregor District**

May 2019  
Division of Economics  
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

This paper establishes the economic contribution baseline for recreational visitation at Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge: McGregor District (District). The paper addresses the levels of District recreational activities and the economic effects of District recreational activities. The analysis is followed by a glossary of terms. For more information regarding the methodology, please refer to “Banking on Nature – The Economic Contributions to Local Communities of National Wildlife Refuge Visitation” at <https://www.fws.gov/economics/divisionpublications/divisionpublications.asp>.

From an economic perspective, Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge: McGregor District provides a variety of environmental and natural resource goods and services used by people either directly or indirectly. The use of these goods and services may result in economic effects to both local and state economies. The various services the District provides can be grouped into five broad categories: (1) maintenance and conservation of environmental resources, services and ecological processes; (2) protection of natural resources such as fish, wildlife, and plants; (3) protection of cultural and historical sites and objects; (4) provision of educational and research opportunities; and (5) outdoor and wildlife-related recreation. A comprehensive economic profile of the District would address all applicable economic effects associated with the use of district-produced goods and services. However, some of the major contributions of the District to the natural environment, such as watershed protection, maintenance and stabilization of ecological processes, and the enhancement of biodiversity are beyond the scope of this paper. Therefore, this paper focuses on economic effects associated with recreational visitation. As a result, benefits represent conservative estimates and do not represent the District’s total social impacts.

## **District Description**

The Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge (Refuge) was established by an Act of Congress on June 7, 1924 as a refuge and breeding place for migratory birds, fish, other wildlife, and plants. The Refuge encompasses approximately 240,000 acres of Mississippi River floodplain in a more-or-less continuous stretch of 261 river-miles from near Wabasha, Minnesota to near Rock Island, Illinois.

The Refuge is divided into four Districts for management, administrative, and public service effectiveness and efficiency. It is also divided geographically by the navigation pools created by the locks and dams on the Upper Mississippi River. The McGregor District lies at the heart of the Refuge and its office is located in Prairie Du Chien, WI. The District manages pools 9, 10, and 11 along the Mississippi River which equates to 100 river miles and 92,000 acres in the states of Minnesota, Iowa and Wisconsin.

As a whole, the Refuge it is home to 306 species of birds, 119 species of fish, 57 species of mammals, and 44 species of freshwater mussels. There are over 300 active bald eagle nests and approximately 5,000 heron and egret nests in 15 colonies. During fall migration the Refuge hosts up to 40 percent of the continent’s waterfowl, up to 50 percent of the world’s canvasback ducks, and up to 20 percent of the world’s tundra swans. It is designated as a Globally Important Bird Area and a Wetland of International Importance by the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands.

## Activity Levels

Table 1 shows the recreation visits for the District. The McGregor District had about 412,000 recreation visits in 2017 which contributed to economic effects of the District. Non-consumptive recreation accounted for about 347,000 visits with residents comprising 72 percent of District visitation.

**Table 1. Upper Mississippi River NWFR McGregor District: 2017 Recreation Visits**

Activity	Residents	Non-Residents	Total
<b>Non-Consumptive:</b>			
Pedestrian	300	100	400
Auto Tour	-	-	-
Boat Trail/Launch	20,600	5,000	25,600
Bicycle	6,000	6,000	12,000
Photography	20,000	12,000	32,000
Interpretation	150	-	150
Other Recreation	200,000	75,000	275,000
Visitor Center	1,000	350	1,350
<b>Hunting:</b>			
Big Game	2,500	700	3,200
Small Game	1,800	300	2,100
Migratory Birds	4,000	1,000	5,000
<b>Fishing:</b>	40,000	15,000	55,000
<b>Total Visitation</b>	<b>296,350</b>	<b>115,450</b>	<b>411,800</b>

Source: Refuge Annual Performance Plan 2017 and Refuge Staff

## Regional Economic Analysis

The economic area for the District is the seven-county area of Houston County, Minnesota; Allamakee, Clayton, and Dubuque Counties in Iowa; and Crawford, Grant, and Vernon Counties in Wisconsin. It is assumed that visitor expenditures occur primarily within these counties. Visitor recreation expenditures for 2017 are shown in Table 2. Total expenditures were \$11.3 million with non-residents accounting for \$5.5 million or 48 percent of total expenditures. Expenditures on non-consumptive activities accounted for 77 percent of all expenditures.

Spending in the local area generates and supports economic activity within the seven county area (Table 3). The contribution of recreational spending in local communities was associated with about 181 jobs, \$4.3 million in employment income, \$1.0 million in total tax revenue, and \$15.9 million in economic output.

**Table 2. Upper Mississippi River NWFR McGregor District: Visitor Recreation Expenditures (2017 \$,000)**

<b>Activity</b>	<b>Residents</b>	<b>Non-Residents</b>	<b>Total</b>
Non-Consumptive	\$4,508.7	\$4,283.5	\$8,792.3
Hunting	\$256.5	\$182.4	\$438.9
Fishing	\$1,090.9	\$1,026.4	\$2,117.4
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>\$5,856.2</b>	<b>\$5,492.3</b>	<b>\$11,348.5</b>

**Table 3. Upper Mississippi River NWFR McGregor District: Local Economic Contributions Associated with Recreation Visits (2017 \$,000)**

	<b>Residents</b>	<b>Non-Residents</b>	<b>Total</b>
Economic Output	\$8,188.3	\$7,693.9	\$15,882.2
Jobs	99	82	181
Job Income	\$2,259.7	\$2,082.7	\$4,342.4
State and Local Tax Revenue	\$515.9	\$518.8	\$1,034.7

## Glossary

**Economic Contribution:** The economic activity generated in a region by residents and non-resident recreation spending.

**Expenditures:** The spending by recreational visitors when visiting refuges/districts. Expenditure categories include food, lodging, transportation, and other. Expenditure information is based on the 2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting and Wildlife Associated Recreation (NSFHWR).

**Economic Output:** The total spending by final consumers on all goods. The amount reported in this study is the change in spending by final consumers in the region attributable to refuge/district visitation. Economic output includes spending by people who earn income from refuge/district visitors' activities as well as spending by refuge/district visitors themselves.

**Impact:** The new economic activity generated in a region as a refuge/district attracts non-residents to the area. This figure represents economic activity that would be lost if the refuge/district were not there.

**IMPLAN:** An economic modeling software package that applies input-output analysis techniques to regional economies.

**Jobs:** Full and part time jobs.

**Job Income:** Income to households from labor including wages and salaries.

**Resident/Non-Resident:** People living more than 50 miles from the refuge/district are considered non-residents for this study.

**Tax Revenue:** Local, county and state taxes: sales tax, property tax, and income tax

**Visitors:** A visitor is someone who comes to the refuge/district and participates in one or more of the activities available at the refuge/district.

**Visits (visitation):** A visit is not the same as a visitor. One visitor could be responsible for several visits on a refuge/district. For example, if a family of four went fishing in the morning and hiked a short nature trail in the afternoon, they would have contributed eight activity visits to the refuge/district; yet, they are only four visitors.

## References

Minnesota IMPLAN Group, Inc. *IMPLAN System (2015 data and software)*.

U. S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Federal Aid. 2013. *2011 National Survey of Fishing, Hunting, and Wildlife Associated Recreation*. Washington, D.C.

U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wildlife Refuge System. *Refuge Annual Performance Plan 2017*. Washington, D.C. Unpublished.

Varian, Hal R. 2010. *Intermediate Microeconomics: A Modern Approach*. 8<sup>th</sup> ed. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.