

## Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

### Appropriations Language

For expenses necessary to carry out section 6 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), as amended, \$85,000,000, to remain available until expended, [of which \$29,000,000 is to be derived from the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund,] *to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund*, of which \$5,145,706] \$4,987,297 shall be for the Idaho Salmon and Clearwater River Basins Habitat Account pursuant to the Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004[ ; and of which \$56,000,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund]. (*Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010.*)

### Justification of Language Change

**Deletion:** “\$29,000,000 is to be derived from the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund, and of which \$5,145,706 ...”

The budget proposes that funding for the Cooperative Endangered Species fund be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Furthermore, the amount necessary in 2011 for the Idaho Salmon and Clearwater River Basins Habitat Account is reduced by \$159,000 to \$4,987,297.

**Deletion:** “; and of which \$56,000,000 is to be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.]”

The budget proposes that all funding for the Cooperative Endangered Species fund be derived from the Land and Water Conservation Fund.

### Authorizing Statutes

**Endangered Species Act of 1973**, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). Prohibits the import, export, or taking of fish and wildlife and plants that are listed as threatened or endangered species; provides for adding species to and removing them from the list of threatened and endangered species, and for preparing and implementing plans for their recovery; provides for interagency cooperation to avoid take of listed species and for issuing permits for otherwise prohibited activities; and implements the provisions of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna (CITES). Authorization of Appropriations: Expired September 30, 1992.

**Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965**, as amended (16 U.S.C. 460l). Authorizes appropriations to the Fish and Wildlife Service to acquire land for national wildlife refuges as otherwise authorized by law. Authorization of Appropriations: Expires September 30, 2015.

### Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

	2009 Actual	2010 Enacted	2011			Change from 2010 (+/-)
			DOI-wide Changes & Transfers (+/-)	Program Changes (+/-)	Budget Request	
Conservation Grants (\$000)	10,001	11,000	0	0	11,000	0
Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants (\$000)	7,642	10,000	0	0	10,000	0
Species Recovery Land Acquisition (\$000)	14,186	15,000	0	+159	15,159	+159
HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States (\$000)	36,008*	41,000	0	0	41,000	0
Nez Perce Settlement (\$000)	5,146	5,146	0	-159	4,987	-159
Administration (\$000)	2,518	2,854	0	0	2,854	0
<b>Total Appropriations (\$000)</b>	<b>75,501</b>	<b>85,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>85,000</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>FTE</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>0</b>
Payment to Special Fund** (\$000)	54,479	58,951	--	--	64,847	--

\*Amount includes \$4,500,000 rescission of recoveries per Congressional direction

\*\* Amounts shown reflect an annual deposit of an amount equal to 5% of total Federal Aid/Sport Fish and Lacey Act violation collections above \$500,000 into this Special Fund. The Special Fund amounts are not available in the fiscal year in which they are collected, but are available for subsequent appropriation to the CESCOF.

Program information may be accessed at: <http://www.fws.gov/endangered/grants/index.html>

#### Summary of 2011 Program Changes for the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

Request Component	(\$000)	FTE
• Nez Perce Settlement	-159	+0
• Species Recovery Land Acquisition	+159	+0
<b>TOTAL Program Changes</b>	<b>+0</b>	<b>+0</b>

### Justification of Program Changes for the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund

The 2011 budget request for Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund is \$85,000,000 and 19 FTE, a net program change of 0 and 0 FTE from the 2010 Enacted.

#### Nez Perce Settlement - Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004 (-\$159,000/+0 FTE)

P.L. 108-447 directs a total of \$25,333,330 from fiscal years 2007 – 2011 for the Nez Perce Tribe and the State of Idaho to fund water supply and habitat restoration projects. The final payment needed to comply with this requirement is \$4,987,297.

**Species Recovery Land Acquisition (+\$159,000/+0 FTE)** – The funding that is no longer necessary to support the Nez Perce settlement will be directed toward Recovery Land Acquisition grants for FY 2011. These grants are provided to States to address issues related to loss of habitat, which is the primary threat to most listed species. Land acquisition is often the most effective and efficient means of safeguarding habitats essential for recovery of listed species before land use changes impair or destroy key habitat values. Recovery Land Acquisition grant funds are matched by States and non-federal entities to acquire these habitats from willing sellers.

**Program Overview**

The Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund (CESCF; Section 6 of the Endangered Species Act) is the component of the Fish and Wildlife Service’s Endangered Species program that provides grant funding to States and territories for species and habitat conservation actions on non-federal lands, including habitat acquisition, conservation planning, habitat restoration, status surveys, captive propagation and reintroduction, research, and education.

The Fish and Wildlife Service’s Endangered Species program exists to implement the Endangered Species Act (ESA) of 1973, as amended. The key purposes of the Act are to provide a means for conserving the ecosystems upon which endangered and threatened (listed) species depend and to provide a program for the conservation of such species.

The Endangered Species program’s strategic framework is based on two over-riding goals: 1) recovering endangered or threatened (federally-listed) species, and 2) preventing the need to list species-at-risk. Our approach to achieving these goals is through the minimizing or abatement of threats to the species.

Threats are categorized under the ESA as the following five factors:

- The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of a listed species’ habitat or range;
- Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes;
- Disease or predation;
- The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms;
- Other natural or manmade factors affecting a species’ continued existence.

Because most listed species depend on habitat found on State and private lands, grant assistance through the CESCF program is crucial to listed species conservation. States and territories have been extremely effective in garnering participation of private landowners.

Section 6 grants assist States and territories in building these partnerships that achieve meaningful on-the-ground conservation. Section 6 grants also assist the Endangered Species program in minimizing or abating threats to listed species. The land acquisition grant program elements address land based threats by preventing land use changes that impair or destroy key habitat values on lands purchased through the grant program elements. The Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance grant program element assists in abating threats by protecting habitat and preventing the decline of sensitive species, and often precludes the need for listing a species under the ESA. Habitat Conservation Plans are pro-active landscape level planning instruments that result in private land

**Use of Cost and Performance Information**

- HCP Land Acquisition, HCP Planning Assistance, and Species Recovery Land Acquisition Grants are awarded through national and regional competitions. The established eligibility and ranking criteria for the program and the competitions conducted to select grants allow the Service to focus the program on its overall goals and ensure that program performance goals are achieved.
- The Service continues to analyze results from previous years of the program to further refine program elements to better meet our program goals. For the FY 2009 competition, the Service targeted 10 percent of the HCP Land Acquisition funding to support single-species HCPs to further the conservation of high priority species across the Nation.

In 2009, the following were awarded:

- 11 HCP Planning Assistance Grants to States
- 21 Recovery Land Acquisition Grants to States and.
- 9 HCP Land Acquisition Grants to States.
- 298 Conservation Grants to States and Territories.

development planning and species ecosystem conservation.

In order to receive funds under the CESCOF program, States and territories must contribute 25 percent of the estimated program costs of approved projects, or 10 percent when two or more States or territories implement a joint project. The balance of the estimated program costs is reimbursed through the grants. To ensure that State and territory programs are able to effectively carry out endangered species conservation efforts funded through these grants, a State or territory must enter into a cooperative agreement with the Service to receive grants. All 50 States currently have cooperative agreements for animals, and 44 States have agreements for plants. All territories except one have cooperative agreements for both animals and plants. In addition, in an attempt to achieve more effective conservation efforts, the Service intends to consider the priorities established in State Wildlife Conservation Plans when awarding grants, focusing on priority species and habitats.

### **Conservation Grants**

Conservation Grants provide financial assistance to States and territories to implement conservation projects for listed and candidate species. The Service makes a regional allocation of these funds based on the number of species covered under cooperative agreements within each Service region. Each Region then solicits proposals and selects projects based on species and habitat conservation benefits as well as other factors. Through the Conservation Grants program, States receive funding to implement recovery actions for listed species, implement conservation measures for candidate species, and perform research and monitoring critical to conservation of imperiled species.

### **Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants**

Through the development of regional, multiple species habitat conservation plans (HCPs), local governments and planning jurisdictions incorporate species conservation into local land use plans, which streamlines the project approval process. The Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants program provides funding to States to assist local governments and planning jurisdictions to develop regional, multi-species HCPs.

### **Species Recovery Land Acquisition**

Loss of habitat is the primary threat to most listed species. Land acquisition is often the most effective and efficient means of safeguarding habitats essential for recovery of listed species before development or other land use changes impair or destroy key habitat values. Land acquisition is costly and often neither the Service nor the States and territories individually have the necessary resources to acquire habitats essential for recovery of listed species. Recovery Land Acquisition grant funds are matched by States and non-federal entities to acquire these habitats from willing sellers.

### **Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition**

The conservation benefits provided by HCPs can be greatly increased by protecting important habitat areas associated with HCPs. HCP Land Acquisition funds are used by states and non-federal entities to acquire habitats from willing sellers and are meant to complement, not replace, the mitigation responsibilities of HCP permittees. States and territories receive grant funds for land acquisitions associated with approved HCPs because of their authorities and close working relationships with local governments and private landowners.

### **Nez Perce Settlement - Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004**

Since 1998, the Nez Perce Tribe, the United States, the State of Idaho, and local communities and water users in Idaho have engaged in mediation as part of the Snake River Basin Adjudication to resolve the water rights claims of the Nez Perce Tribe in the Snake River. The Tribe's claim to instream flow rights in the Snake River in order to protect its treaty-based fishery was one of the significant issues involved in this dispute.

In 2004, the parties reached an agreement to settle this dispute. Under the obligations of the Snake River Water Rights Act, the Department of Interior provides funding to the Nez Perce Tribe and the State of Idaho to fund water supply and habitat restoration projects. This cooperative venture with the State and Tribe protects threatened and endangered salmon in Idaho and restores Clearwater Basin habitat. It allows Idaho to complete adjudication of Snake River water rights, develop a long-term public water policy, and enables the Department to fulfill trust responsibilities. The funds requested through the Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund are for the Idaho Salmon and Clearwater River Basins Habitat Account, which was established as part of the settlement. Fiscal year 2011 represents the fifth year of a five year funding commitment. This account provides funding for habitat improvement projects.

### **Administration**

Federal grant management and administrative oversight are necessary to ensure compliance with program requirements and purposes. The funding requested for Administration allows the Service to carry out these responsibilities.

## **2011 Program Performance**

---

### **Conservation Grants**

The Service will publish a request for proposals in the third quarter of 2010 and anticipates making award announcements early in the 2011 fiscal year, pending appropriations. Issuing the fiscal year 2011 request for proposals late in fiscal year 2010 will promote timely obligation of funding and will maximize conservation resources. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that approximately the same number of grants will be funded in FY 2011 as are expected in FY 2010 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2009).

The Service awarded 298 Conservation Grants in FY 2009; examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCFC program; however, in all cases these funds were leveraged by State, county, city, or private matching funds.

- Nectar Plant Propagation in the Willamette Valley, Oregon \$13,000
- Dry Forest Restoration Project: Puu Mali \$36,750
- Management and cave protection of the Ozark big-eared bat and gray bat, Oklahoma \$22,500
- Detection of the fine scale outcomes from prescribed fire relevant to the endangered Houston toad within the Lost Pines Ecosystem, Texas \$126,365
- Determination of the Population Size, Movement, Growth, and Habitat for the Grotto Sculpin in Perry County, Missouri \$34,500
- Determine Life History Requirements of Sheepnose and Spectaclecase, Wisconsin \$6,000
- Assessment of causes of decline in upper Etowah River system fishes and identification of targets for conservation improvements - amber darter, Cherokee darter, and Etowah darter, Georgia \$47,000
- Louisiana black bear - determination of population parameters and dynamics of bears in the Mississippi Alluvial plain, Louisiana \$53,200
- Little River mussel survey, Virginia \$15,525
- Construction of a Comprehensive Database and Development and Testing of a Predictive Model of Occurrence and Density of the American Burying Beetle, Nebraska \$42,318
- Virgin River Southwestern Willow Flycatcher Recovery Program, Utah \$24,152
- By-catch of Kittlitz's Murrelet (*Brachyramphus brevirostris*) in Alaskan Gillnet Fisheries: A Risk Assessment, Alaska \$42,357

- Herbicide effects on immature stages of the Quino checkerspot butterfly, California \$35,000
- Southern Nevada native fishes recovery and conservation implementation, Nevada \$120,845

### **Habitat Conservation Planning Assistance Grants**

The Service will publish a request for proposals in the third quarter of 2010 and anticipates making award announcements early in the 2011 fiscal year, pending appropriations. Issuing the fiscal year 2011 request for proposals late in fiscal year 2010 will promote timely obligation of funding and will maximize conservation resources. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that approximately the same number of grants will be funded in FY 2011 as are expected in FY 2010 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2009).

The Service awarded 11 HCP Planning Grants in FY 2009; examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCFC program; however, in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds. (Please see [www.fws.gov/conservation/grants/section6/FY2009/](http://www.fws.gov/conservation/grants/section6/FY2009/) for a full list of awarded projects.)

- **Whooping Crane and Lesser Prairie-Chicken Wind Energy HCP. (States: North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Colorado, and Texas) \$1,080,990.** The project lands encompass the whooping cranes migration route in the U.S. and their wintering grounds, along with containing a significant portion of current and historic habitat of the lesser prairie-chicken. This bi-regional habitat conservation plan will be the first of its type in the country to involve alternative fuel sources and climate change issues while protecting imperiled species.
- **Development of Habitat Conservation Plans for the Cumberland Region, Tennessee (Scott, Morgan, Cumberland Counties, Tennessee): \$855,584.** This grant will assist in the continued planning for a region-wide HCP to protect aquatic and forest resources in the Cumberland region. Several mammals, mussels, reptiles, amphibians, fish, and aquatic invertebrates will benefit from this pre-emptive attempt to develop protective measures in an ecologically diverse region that is beginning to experience increased development and resource extraction issues. The HCPs, one for forest resources, and one for aquatic resources, will provide management prescriptions and regulatory guidelines to minimize and mitigate development effects on the target species and habitats.
- **Yolo County Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan (Yolo County, CA) \$634,988.** This project will fund the continued work on the Yolo County HCP/NCCP. The HCP/NCCP will address the need for broad-based planning to provide for the protection and conservation of the region's biodiversity while allowing for appropriate development and growth to occur. Fifteen federally listed and fifty-four other sensitive species will benefit from implementation of the HCP/NCCP. The Plan will also provide conservation benefits to crop and vernal pool pollinators.

### **Species Recovery Land Acquisition**

The Service will publish a request for proposals in the third quarter of 2010 and anticipates making award announcements shortly after the FY 2011 Appropriations is enacted. Issuing the fiscal year 2011 request for proposals in fiscal year 2010 will promote timely obligation of funding and will maximize conservation resources. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that approximately the same number of grants will be funded in FY 2011 as are expected in FY 2010 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2009).

The Service awarded 21 Species Recovery Land Acquisition Grants in FY 2009; examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCOF program; however, in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds. (Please see [www.fws.gov/endangered/grants/section6/FY2009](http://www.fws.gov/endangered/grants/section6/FY2009) for a full list of awarded projects.)

- **Copperbelly water snake habitat acquisition in Williams County, OH, and Hillsdale County, MI** (Williams County, OH and Hillsdale County, MI): \$488,200. The Michigan Department of Natural Resources and the Ohio Department of Natural Resources will acquire fee title on three parcels totaling 140 acres of copperbelly water snake habitat within the Mud Lake Complex. The protection and restoration of sites within this complex is critical to the conservation and expansion of copperbelly water snake populations in this region. Restoration of the parcels will complement the surrounding habitat conditions and will include expanding wetlands where possible, and tree plantings. Various tracts totaling more than 1,200 acres have been identified as options for acquisition if the primary tracts are no longer available.
- **Creating the Ranchito-Laguna Atascosa Corridor for Ocelots and other Wildlife in South Texas** (Cameron, TX) \$840,593. Federal funding will be used to acquire through fee title a 1,242-acre tract that will protect the ocelot, jaguarundi, northern aplomado falcon, and several State-listed species, located in Cameron County, Texas. Protection of thornscrub woodlands and wooded waterways, such as resacas, is a high priority for ocelot and jaguarundi continued existence as well as being needed for both species' recovery. The 1,242-acres tract contains a wooded riparian zone along a resaca that provides existing suitable habitat for a travel corridor, and is large enough to potentially support three adult ocelots. Acquisition will contribute to recovery plan criteria: protect existing corridors and create new dispersal corridors.
- **Acquisition of Crosby tract for the benefit of red cockaded woodpeckers** (Vernon Parish, LA): \$500,000. This grant will enable the acquisition of 653 acres for the benefit of the red cockaded woodpecker. This land acquisition project will directly contribute toward recovery of the Fort Polk/Vernon Unit core recovery population of RCW and at least 15 other species of conservation concern by restoring and permanently protecting important longleaf pine habitat. The targeted tract will protect a portion of one of the few known highly productive nesting areas of RCWs on private lands. This habitat will fill an important role in the life cycle of the RCW population by providing quality foraging habitat during this project period and serve as an important recruitment site for other RCW clusters.

#### **Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) Land Acquisition**

The Service will publish a request for proposals in the third quarter of 2010 and anticipates making award announcements shortly after the FY 2011 appropriations is enacted. Issuing the fiscal year 2011 request for proposals in fiscal year 2010 will promote timely obligation of funding and will maximize conservation resources. With the requested program funding, the Service expects that approximately the same number of grants will be funded in FY 2011 as are expected in FY 2010 (assuming the average grant amount is constant with that of FY 2009).

The Service awarded 9 HCP Land Acquisition Grants in FY 2009; examples are listed below. Each project includes the federal funds provided through the CESCOF program; however, in all cases these funds were leveraged by state, county, city, or private matching funds. (Please see [www.fws.gov/endangered/grants/section6/FY2009](http://www.fws.gov/endangered/grants/section6/FY2009) for a full list of awarded projects.)

- **Plum Creek HCP – Okanogan-Similkameen Watershed, Phase 2** (Okanogan County, WA) \$4,000,000. This project will secure approximately 7,900 acres of two ecologically critical animal movement corridors, one for wide-ranging carnivores such as grizzly bear, gray wolf, Canada lynx, and wolverine and their ungulate prey and the other linking the grassland/shrub-steppe habitat of southern British Columbia with the shrub-steppe habitat of the Columbia Basin. The acquisition will provide benefits for at least 48 species State or federally listed as threatened or endangered in Washington State, the U.S., and Canada.
- **Karner blue butterfly HCP land acquisition –Quincy Bluff** (Adams County): \$1,533,000. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources is awarded \$1,533,000 to fund the acquisition of a 240-acre parcel and an 870-acre parcel located within the Quincy Bluff and Wetlands State Natural Area. Purchase of these properties substantially benefits the restoration and management of the ecosystem present on the complex of lands owned in central Wisconsin. Once acquired, they will be permanently protected and managed for the Karner blue butterfly to assist in the recovery of the Glacial Lake Wisconsin KBB Recovery Unit. The acquisition of these parcels will help connect State Natural Area lands owned by The Nature Conservancy with those owned by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, which currently total over 5,000 acres.
- **Clearwater Lands Project** (Missoula County, MT) \$6,000,000. The Clearwater lands acquisition of 7,871 acres would work toward eventual protection of the larger Clearwater land block of over 23,000 acres. This project will complement the Plum Creek HCP by protecting lands for the covered bull trout, westslope cutthroat trout, and mountain whitefish. Acquisition of these lands will provide linkage with adjacent protected wilderness and roadless areas, which will benefit not only the covered species, but also the grizzly bear, Canada lynx, and gray wolf that use this area as a corridor. This project involves the participation of many partners and has been recognized as one of the largest and most important conservation efforts in the country.

Performance Overview Table - Cooperative Endangered Species Conservation Fund (CESCF)

Performance Goal	2006 Actual	2007 Actual	2008 Actual	2009 Plan	2009 Actual	2010 Plan	2011 Base Budget	2011 President's Budget Request	Program Change Accruing in 2011	Long-term Target 2012
CSF 7.30 Percent of recovery actions for listed Spotlight species implemented	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50% ( 604 of 1,219 )	50% ( 604 of 1,219 )	53% ( 646 of 1,219 )	3% ( 7.0% )	53% ( 646 of 1,219 )
Comments:	New measure in FY 2010; additional performance would be a result of additional funding for declining species. This represents the number of identified recovery actions for listed spotlight species that will be implemented out of the total number of identified recovery actions for listed spotlight species, expressed as a percentage. In other words this is how many recovery actions we will implement for listed spotlight species out of the total number of actions for these species that have been identified. Again this is expressed as a percentage with the actual figures in parentheses.									
7.30.2 # of listed species	n/a	n/a	676	663	663	665	665	665	0	665
7.30.3 # of Spotlight listed	n/a	n/a	91	72	72	81	81	81	0	81
CSF 8.3 Percent of Spotlight species-at-risk that no longer meet the definition for threatened or endangered due to conservation agreements and/or actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	( 0 of 34 )	( 0 of 34 )	3% ( 1 of 34 )	0	3% ( 1 of 34 )
Comments:	New measure in FY 2010									
8.3.7 # Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6) Project Awards	n/a	n/a	89	62	62	58	58	58	0.0	58
8.3.8 # Spotlight Candidate Species benefiting from Endangered Species Grant Programs (Traditional & Nontraditional Sec 6) Project Awards	n/a	n/a	9	10	10	8	8	8	0.0	8
Comments:	It is a measure of the number of Spotlight species at-risk (those that are not threatened or endangered species or covered by cooperative agreements under the Endangered Species Act) that will benefit from the grants. In other words out of the total number of Spotlight species at-risk, this is the percentage we estimate will benefit from the project awards.									

Note: 2011 Base Budget is equal to 2010 Plan (enacted level) plus fixed cost (absorbed).

Standard Form 300				
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE COOPERATIVE ENDANGERED SPECIES CONSERVATION FUND				
Program and Financing (in millions of dollars)				
		2009	2010	2011
Identification code 14-5143-0-302		Actual	Estimate	Estimate
<b>Obligations by program activity:</b>				
00.01	Grants to States	11	12	12
00.02	Grants to States/Land Acquisition/HCPs	8	11	11
00.03	Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004	5	5	5
00.04	Grant Administration	3	3	3
00.05	HCP Land Acquisition	57	63	63
00.06	Species Recovery Land Acquisition	12	13	13
00.07	Payment to special fund unavailable receipt account	54	59	65
10.00	Total new obligations	150	166	172
<b>Budgetary resources available for obligation:</b>				
21.40	Unobligated balance carried forward, start of year	37	38	38
22.00	New budget authority (gross)	129	144	150
22.10	Resources available from recoveries of prior year obligations	22	22	22
23.90	Total budgetary resources available for obligation	188	204	210
23.95	Total new obligations (-)	-150	-166	-172
24.40	Unobligated balance carried forward, end of year	38	38	38
<b>New budget authority (gross) detail:</b>				
<b>Discretionary:</b>				
40.20	Appropriation (LWCF special fund, 14 5479) [14-5005-0-302-N-0513-01]	55	56	85
40.20	Appropriation (CESCF special fund 14 5143) [14-5005-0-302-N-0500-01]	25	29	--
40.36	Unobligated balance permanently reduced	-5	--	--
43.00	Appropriation (total discretionary)	75	85	85
<b>Mandatory:</b>				
60.00	Appropriation	54	59	65
70.00	Total new budget authority (gross)	129	144	150
<b>Change in obligated balances:</b>				
72.40	Obligated balance, start of year	236	215	202
73.10	Total new obligations	150	166	172
73.20	Total outlays, gross (-)	-149	-157	-164
73.45	Recoveries of prior year obligations	-22	-22	-22
74.40	Obligated balance, end of year	215	202	188
<b>Outlays, (gross) detail:</b>				
86.90	Outlays from new discretionary authority	11	17	17
86.93	Outlays from discretionary balances	84	81	82
86.97	Outlays from new mandatory authority	54	59	65
87.00	Total, outlays (gross)	149	157	164
<b>Net budget authority and outlays:</b>				
89.00	Budget authority	129	144	150
90.00	Outlays	149	157	164
95.02	Unpaid obligation, end of year	215		
<b>Object classification (in millions of dollars)</b>				
Identification code 14-5143-0-2-302		2009	2010	2011
		Actual	Estimate	Estimate
<b>Direct obligations:</b>				
<b>Personnel compensation:</b>				
11.1	Full-time permanent	2	2	2
41.0	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	92	105	105
94.0	Financial transfers	54	59	65
99.0	Subtotal, obligations, Direct obligations	148	166	172
99.95	Below reporting threshold	2	--	--
99.99	Total new obligations	150	166	172
<b>Personnel Summary</b>				
Identification code 14-5143-0-2-302		2009	2010	2011
		Actual	Estimate	Estimate
Total compensable workyears:				
10.01	Full-time equivalent employment	19	19	19