Southwestern College

Governing Board
Jerry J. Griffith
Nancy Mulcahy
Maria Neves-Perman
Judy Schulenberg
Terri Valladolid

Serafin A. Zasueta, Ph.D.
Superintendent/President

2402

November 30, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos

I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that would be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. 2402-1

Sincerely,

Steven J. Bossi
9983 Aviary Drive
San Diego, CA 92131

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

c:\admin\trinity river letter.doc

900 Otay Lakes Road • Chula Vista, CA 91910 • (619) 421-6700 FAX (619) 482-6413 • Southwestern Community College District

Letter from Steven J. Bossi Dated November 30, 1999

2402-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Letter from Sam's Grill, Inc. Dated November 29, 1999

Sam's Grill, Inc.
374 Bush Street · San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel. (415) 421-0594 · Fax (415) 421-2632

2403

November 29, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, Ca. 95521

Dear Mr.Polos,

In 1955 the United States Congress authorized construction of the Trinity River Division (TRD) of the Central Valley Project (CVP). At that time legislation was passed mandating that the fish and wildlife of the Trinity River Basin would not be harmed by the completion and operation of the TRD. The Secretary of the Interior (SOI) was directed to ensure that the fish and wildlife of the basin would be protected. Further, Congress specifically limited the Secretary's discretion to divert Trinity water to the CVP by requiring that that in-basin flows needed to sustain pre-dam population levels for Trinity River fish and wildlife take precedence over any diversion of water to the CVP.

By the end of the 1970's the adverse effects on the fishery were clearly evident. At this time Congress and the SOI outlined the first steps toward fullfilling the legal mandate that no harm would come to the fish and wildlife of the Trinity River Basin by the TRD. The chronology of those steps follows:
1979 - The Interior Department Solicitor confirms the precedence of water for Trinity River fish and wildlife over diversions to the CVP by federal law.
1980 - The Trinity River Stream Rectification Act is enacted to address sediment accumulation in the absence of flushing flows.
1981 - The SOI (Andrus) directs increased releases to the Trinity River from the TRD to the amount of 340,000 acre feet in years of average rainfall. The SOI also orders a long term Trinity River Flow Evaluation (TRFE) to access water requirements for the fishery.
1984 - The Trinity River Resoration Act (TRRA) is enacted which establishes restoration goals to ensure the preservation and propagation of fish and wildlife in order to restore them to and maintain them at levels approximating pre dam days.
1991 - The SOI (Lujan) directs that not less than 340,000 acre feet of water be released to the Trinity River for fishery purposes in all water type years pending the conclusion of the study (TRFE) initiated 1981.
1992 - The Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA) is enacted, including the Trinity River provision which: confirmed the administration decision to release no less than 340,000 acre feet annually pending the completion of the study; mandates the completion of the study based on the best available science; requires the development of recommendations for permanent in stream water releases; if the SOI and the Hoopa Valley Tribe (HVT) concur on the recommendations, it requires that they be implemented accordingly.
1996 - The TRRA is amended and extended. The restoration goal is revised explicitly to achieve mitigation loss of 109 miles above the TRD while not impairing efforts to restore and maintain naturally reproducing anadromous fish stocks within the Trinty River Basin.

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

When the TRD was completed in 1963, 90% of the Trinity water was pumped over the hill. The integrity of the Trinity River ecosystem was immediately impacted. The velocity, volume, and frequency of flows necessary for a healthy ecosystem no longer existed. The dynamic equilibrium of a living, healthy ecosystem was immediately out of balance and has remained that way for 36 years. The politicians promised that not one bucketfull of water needed to maintain the health of the fishery and wildlife would be diverted. Further, others testified that the TRD would actually "improve" the fishing. In an attempt to mitigate for the loss of 109 miles of prime spawning and rearing habitat, a hatchery was built in Lewiston to "ensure" a healthy fishery. The fact that the hatchery could mitigate for the loss of 1/2 of the river's habitat was and continues to be a serious error in judgement. Hatchery technology cannot replace the natural ecological processes. Hatchery technology does not make anadromous fish and dams compatible. By 1990 only about 10% (including hatchery stocks) of anadromous fish populations from pre-dam days existed. Presently most Trinity River fish populations are either listed, proposed for listing, or are under status review (candidate) for listing under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) despite all legislation previously mandated.

The federal government's promise to maintain a healthy fishery has been disregarded for 36 years now. The federal government's trust obligation to Native American Tribes has gone unfullfilled for 36 years. The law is clear that the current condition of the Trinity River ecosystem was never intended to occur. W.S. Lowden (County Surveyor 1872-1882 describes what the river used to like in an article from the Trinity Journal, dated June 1, 1889: ..... "From Trinity Center to its mouth, the river has an average grade of 15 feet to the mile. Average width, 150 feet at low water and 600 feet at high water. At low water the river is two feet deep and at high water is 25 feet deep." That is what the river used to be, a far cry from what we see today. } 2403-1

The currently released TRFE and its accompanying DEIS/EIR, the result of nearly two decades of scientific study, concludes that the primary factor for the decline of the Trinity River ecosystem is the result of altered flows associated with the TRD along with the subsequent changes in river habitats. The best available science in the TRFE recognizes that the highest priority for recovery is increased flows. Restoration and recovery will not occur without significantly increased flows. Further, restoration can only occur if we pay attention to the entire chain of habitats in the basin, from its headwaters (I guess now those headwaters are located in the ladders to the Lewiston hatchery) to the confluence of the Klamath and ultimately the last 43 miles to the Pacific.

The belief that we could and can control the fish populations of the Trinity by artificial propagation without a healthy ecosystem has proven to be false. Regardless of how much we spend on restoration programs, unless we change the way we deal with those fish, we face the possibility of losing them entirely. We need new methods to guide our behavior, one that is in harmony with the Trinity basin. If we are to have any hope of restoring the fishery to sustainable levels of abundance, we must pay more attention to the fish's world - not as it exists today but as it existed before we simplified, controlled and "improved" it. Surely all of the damage done to the basin cannot be undone. Perhaps we can reverse some of it. 50 years ago wildlife biologist Aldo Leopold stated: "Timidity, optimism, or unbending insistence on old grooves of thought and action will surely either destroy the remaining resources, or force the adoption of policies which will limit their use to a few."

If the habitat is available and healthy, these anadromous fish will find a way to recover. The genetics of their life histories (what they do, when they do it, where they do it and how they do it) will enable them to succeed. If the fishery is to recover we need a healthy ecosystem. We must

Letter from Sam's Grill, Inc. continued

2403-1 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

give these fish back a significant amount of their habitat. We will not restore the fishery if the obstacles we have put in their paths are beyond the capabilities of their own genetic resources. All of the money in the world will not produce sustainable recovery as long as those obstacles remain. The Preferred Alternative in the TRFE does not go far enough in initiating a successful and sustainable recovery. The Trinity needs its water to remain in balance. Sending 52% of the Trinity water to the CVP guarantees that the recovery will not and cannot sustain itself. It has been said that those who fail to understand history will be condemned to repeat it. Will we ignore signs of failure until it is too late? Will the fish be fighting a losing battle that we will again be addressing in the next decade or two, trying to identify the reasons for a continued decline? The answer is surely yes unless the Trinity retains her heart, soul and life- blood, its water. } 2403-2

Sincerely,

Gary Seput
1825 Loyola Drive
Burlingame, Ca. 94010

Letter from Sam's Grill, Inc. continued

2403-2 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2404

December 2, 1999

Dear Mr. Polos,

A few months from now, after decades of study, Secretary Babbitt will finally make a decision on just how much water to reallocate permanently to the Trinity River. For 36 years and counting, agricultural users have compromised the river and its runs of salmon and steelhead. It is way past time to try and return the Trinity to some semblance of its former glory.

While I would like to see the river get every drop that historically ran through its bed, I am a realist and know that that is fantasy. The next best thing is a diversion of no more than 30 percent. The so-called "Preferred Alternative" does not go far enough. Legislation has been enacted that spells out priorities and fish and wildlife win, hands down, over diversion. 2404-1

If it is indeed your goal to resuscitate the Trinity, then please do whatever it takes to bring its ecosystem back to life. The poor thing has been on basic life support for way too long.

Sincerely,

Richard G. Cardella

Richard G. Cardella
4570 Blufftop
Hydesville, CA 95547-9416

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from Richard G. Cardella Dated December 2, 1999

2404-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Letter from George H. (Chuck) DeKay Dated December 1, 1999

2405

George H. (Chuck) DeKay
1073 Via Roble
Lafayette, CA 94549-2942
925-283-6637
E-mail phisnphool@aol.com

December 1, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th St. Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos,

I am old enough (eighty) to remember when all the rivers in California supporting runs of anadromous fish were free flowing. What a tragedy has taken place during my lifetime! Today our native salmon and steelhead population is nearly decimated. You know many of the factors that have contributed to this.

As a supporter of Friends of the Trinity River, I am writing asking your support to make it a Federal mandate that flow rates in the Trinity River be returned to the levels guaranteed by Congress when they approved the Trinity River Diversion in 1955.

It probably too late to hope for this fishery to return to formal levels. But if the flow rate remains at its present pitifully low volume, we are assured of the continuing decline of steelhead and salmon. It has been proven beyond any doubt that hatcheries are not the answer. } 2405-1

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has a golden opportunity to alter the opinion many of us have that "government" no longer listens to us. You can do a great service while at the same time gathering some Brownie Points toward improving public opinion of government

Cordially yours,

Chuck DeKay

G.H. "Chuck" DeKay

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

2405-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Fly Fishing OUTFITTERS 2406

31 November, 1999

Joe Polos
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, Ca. 95521

Dear Mr. Polos:

I'm writing in support of The Friends of the Trinity River's proposal for increased flows in the Trinity River. By education I am a fisheries biologist, (Humboldt '82), and have fished the Trinity since the late 70's. The effects of long term altered and diminished flows have greatly impacted the anadromous stocks once present in the system.

I know that FOTR and other affiliate groups have worked long and hard to bring about a consensus among the various parties involved, and I think this recommendation is the result of that work. I know of the desires of others to send even less water down the Trinity in order to put more into the Central Valley Project, but the time is now to reverse the trend of water use in California.

In my business it is critical that the sport anglers of California have quality water in appropriate quantities to support trout, salmon and steelhead populations in perpetuity. Without an increase in flows in the Trinity River, there will be a certain continued decline in these stocks.

I hope that this work is valued by the USFWS and we can increase flows in the Trinity River as soon as possible.

2406-1

Very truly yours,

Peter Woolley

RECEIVED
DEC 03 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Outfitters • Travel • Instruction
3533 Mt. Diablo Boulevard Lafayette, CA 94549 • 925/284-3474 • FAX 925/284-3314
463 Bush Street, San Francisco, CA 94108 • 415/781-3474 • FAX 415/781-4236
email address Ffoutfittr@AOL.com
www.Flyfishingoutfitters.com

Letter from Fly Fishing Outfitters Dated November 31, 1999

2406-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2407

10985 Dyerville Loop
Myers Flat, CA 95554
Dec 1, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

RE: Official Comment on Trinity River Flows

Dear Mr. Polos,

The Trinity River Act of 1955, authorizing the dams, specifically mandated that the fish and wildlife of the basin not be harmed. The Interior Secretary was directed to ensure that fish and wildlife in the basin were protected. The science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report is fundamentally sound, but was artificially (illegally) constrained by its assumptions about the maximum flows available.

The best available science, combined with the legal requirements of the 1955 Act compel you to set a flow rate that is at least 70% of the natural flow. The Preferred Alternative is simply inadequate. } 2407-1

The assumption that the inadequate flows of the Preferred Alternative can be compensated for by increased restoration efforts is both politically and scientifically questionable.The economy of the Trinity region has been severely harmed by the decimation of the fisheries resulting from the decades of illegal water diversions on the Trinity. Continuing to pander to the politically powerful agribusiness interests that are dependent on the CVP is no longer justifiable.

Sincerely,

John M. Gaffin

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from John M. Gaffin Dated December 1, 1999

2407-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2408

David N. Muraki
3700 Dugger Road, Lincoln, CA 95648; (916) 645 1753; dmuraki@netscape.net

November 28, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos,

Please accept this as my comment to the draft Trinity River Restoration Environmental Impact Statement.

I understand that the draft EIS selects as its preferred alternative the recommendations of the Trinity River Flow Evaluation Report. Given the severely degraded condition of Trinity River fisheries today, the report's alternative would be a step in the right direction. However, the report's recommendation does not assure that the mandate of the Trinity River Act of 1955, that fish and wildlife not be harmed, would be met. I believe flow levels should be determined based on meeting this mandate. I understand that there is a body of research that has found that flow levels of 70% or more are needed to maintain fish populations. } 2408-1

For thirty-six years, water diversion has taken priority over fisheries and the impact on fisheries could not have been more clearly devastating. I know that diversion beneficiaries will be highly motivated in their efforts to maintain their claim on Trinity water. I believe that in considering the interests of these parties that stronger consideration be given to the long-neglected interests of North Coast communities dependent upon both the Klamath and Trinity Rivers. As a former resident of Klamath, I watched local communities decline as sport and commercial fishing declined.

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from David N. Muraki Dated November 28, 1999

2408-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Letter from David N. Muraki continued

The 1955 Act, 1984 Trinity Basin Act, and the CVPIA have all called for the restoration of the Trinity. Neither CALFED nor any other process should be allowed to cause yet another delay in the restoration of this great river. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

David N. Muraki

2409

Michael P. Lauletta
195 San Jacinta Dr.
Ukiah, CA
95482

December 2,1999

Hon. Bruce Babbitt
Secretary of the Interior
c/o Mr. Joe Polos
Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Rm 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Secretary Babbitt and Mr. Polos,

Please restore at least 70% of the water flows to the Main stem Trinity River. The following are my reasons for urging you to take this action: } 2409-1

1. **Trinity River Act of 1955**, authorizing the dams, specifically **mandated that the fish and wildlife of the basin not be harmed**. The Interior Secretary was directed to ensure that fish and wildlife in the basin were protected. However, since the dams were completed in 1963, **water diversions led to a nearly 90 percent decline** in the fisheries by the early 1990's. The coho salmon now is listed under the Endangered Species Act, and steelhead are a candidate for listing.

2. The federal government's **trust obligations to two Native American Tribes have gone unfulfilled** for more than 36 years because of excessive water diversions from the Trinity River. The time has come for the federal government to begin fulfilling its legally mandated responsibilities to the Hoopa Valley and Yurok Tribes. } 2409-2

3. Two decades of study and scientific evidence have given us the needed information to make a good decision for the Trinity. While the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report are sound, the recommendations were limited by an

Hon. B. Babbitt & Mr. Polos, pg. 2

assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. However, more water can be made available since the legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and later **legislation, clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water** to the CVP.

RECEIVED
DEC 03 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

4. When the Trinity is restored, the **commercial and sport fishing, rafting, and tourism**

Letter from Michael P. Lauletta Dated December 2, 1999

2409-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2409-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

Letter from Michael P. Lauletta continued

economies of the Northern California and Southern Oregon **will rebound.**

5. The Trinity River and its restoration program are completely independent of the CALFED process. Restoration of the Trinity River is mandated in the 1955 legislation authorizing construction of the Trinity River Division, the Trinity River Basin Fish and Wildlife Management Act of 1984, and the Central Valley Project Improvement Act (CVPIA). **The CVPIA** reaffirms the Trinity's unique position within the Central Valley Project (CVP) and **clearly sets forth that restoration of the Trinity is to be considered independently from other California water issues.**

6. The **federal government's promise to maintain a healthy fishery in the Trinity River has been disregarded for the last 36 years**, and past legislation mandated a flow decision by the end of 1996. If these legislated promises are not finally fulfilled, why should we believe any promises developed through CALFED? A restored Trinity River will allow Californians to have faith that the ongoing CALFED negotiations will produce meaningful improvement in our state's water policies.

I respectfully urge you to restore a minimum of 70% of Trinity River water to the river, its fish and wildlife and to those of us who use the river for recreation and our livelihoods. Thank you for your time and consideration of this request.

Sincerely,

Michael P. Lauletta

2410

1850 Capistrano Way
Los Altos, CA 94024-6702
December 5, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
U. S. Fish and wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

FAX 707-822-8411

Re: Trinity River Mainstream Fisheries RestorationEnvironmental Impact Statement and Report

Dear Mr. Polos:

I visit the Trinity River two three times a year for fishing canoeing, kayaking, camping and moteling, and for general relaxation. I have suffered poor fishing and restricted flows and have observed economic depression in the area. These conditions can and should be corrected by allowing the Trinity to keep at least 70% (90% would be better) of its flow. } 2410-1

I appreciate the importance of the agriculture industry to California's economy, but I think it gets too much of Trinity water at the expense of users promised water but never delivered.

We were promised a healthy Trinity River fishery. Instead it has been decimated. Coho Salmon are an endangered species and steelhead are nearing the same status.

The Hoopa Valley and Yurok tribes have not received promised water. } 2410-2

IT IS TIME TO PUT TRINITY WATER BACK IN THE TRINITY RIVER.

Sincerely

Hunter Owens

Hunter Owens

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

DEC-08-99 MON 10:08 AM McWHORTERS MOORPARK FAX NO. 408 446 0598 P.01

Letter from Hunter Owens Dated December 5, 1999

2410-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2410-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

12/06/99 09:28:37 Chaco → 9707527-4997→ 7078228411 RightFAX Page 001

TO:Joe Polos COMPANY:

2411

Chaco

December 6, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish and Wildlife Services
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by the assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Diversion, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVPO. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Rebecca Cover

RECEIVED
DEC 06 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Chaco Sandals
970-527-4990

1407 4000 Lane
Fax 970-527-4997

Paonia, CO 81428
email: dawnrae@chacosan.com

Letter from Chaco Dated December 6, 1999

Duplicate of Letter 1455. Please see Letter 1455 and associated responses.

12/06/99 09:42:42 Chaco - 970/527-4997-> 7078228411 RightFAX Page 001

TO:Joe Polos COMPANY:

2412

Chaco

December 6, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish and Wildlife Services
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by the assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Diversion, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVPO. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Carol Ellis
Customer Service Supervisor
Chaco, Inc.

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Chaco Sandals
970-527-4990

1407 4000 Lane
Fax 970-527-4997

Paonia, CO 81428
email: dawnrae@chacosan.com

Letter from Chaco Dated December 6, 1999

Duplicate of Letter 1455. Please see Letter 1455 and associated responses.

2413

BLUE RIDGE Landscaping

December 6, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata CA 95221

Dear Mr. Polos

Never has time been more critical than NOW to save the Trinity River and our economically depressed community. Since inception of the dam, commercial power and agri business have steadily prospered at the great expense and degradation of the Trinity River and its anadromous fishery. The original frame work for the dam did not provide for California to become the "bread basket" of the world, while depleting our fisheries and other natural resources to extinction.

The frame work DID provide a fish hatchery to mitigate the dam; however, after 30 years, we have found that fish need more water to grow -- just as crops do. Tourism from a flourishing Trinity River is Trinity County's last home for economic survival.

The solution is simple; all data supports it. **More water is needed to restore the Trinity River.** Cotton and rice are not endangered. **Salmon, steelhead and the citizens of Trinity County are.**

I wholeheartedly support the **maximum flow** alternative. **Let if flow!** } 2413-1

Sincerely

Glenn Burton

GLENN BURTON

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

BLUE RIDGE LANDSCAPING — A DIVISION OF BURTON & BURTON, INC. — LIC. #637159
601 RUTGERS STREET, VACAVILLE, CA 95687-4610 (707) 451-0961 FAX (707) 451-0565

Letter from Blue Ridge Landscaping Dated December 6, 1999

2413-1 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

2414

Nor-Rel-Muk Nation
P.O. Box 673 Hayfork Ca. 96041
530-628-4027

United States Department of the Interior
Fish and Wildlife Service
California / Neveda Operation Office
2800 Cottage Way, Suite W – 2606
Sacramento, California 95825-1846

Dear Government Official,

It is with great disappointment when I review the Environmental Impact Statement / Report on the Trinity River Main-stem Fishery Restoration. The report is on what will happen outside of the Trinity River Drainage. What happens when every one else don't get all the water they want, rather than the report totally focusing on the Trinity River as an ecosystem and what is needed to return the River to the condition it was in prior to construction of the dam. 2414-1

The whole water shed functions as an ecosystem with each sub-drainage serving as a critical part of the whole. The water shed above the dam, because of the Talas slopes on the Trinity Alps and the serpentine soil with light vegetative cover have a fast run off rate compared to the dense Douglas fir stands on the east slope of south Fork Mtn. This results in a fast run off rate that puts a flash of water down the river after the first fall runs and also in the spring.

The maximum flow alternative is the only alternative that even touches on restoring the Fishery in the River. 2414-2

The law stated that only **SURPLUS** water would be taken from the Trinity River. The report should address what is necessary for restoring the Fishery to the River and if there is any left over, what amount is surplus water? I don't see how anyone would think you could take 70% of the water out of the river and not effect the river as an ecosystem.

We question the law that allows water to be taken from one watershed and send to another. But this has happened and no money has been paid to Trinity County as their 25% share of the total dollar amount of water removed. The water is produced on National Forest Land just like timber. When Timber is cut on National Forest Land 25% of the value goes back to the County. The National Forest were established to produce water and timber. The Federal Government owes a big bill for the water already taken. 2414-3

If you don't respect the River no water will flow through the tunnel.

Sincerely,

RAYMOND PATTON
Tribal Chair

RECEIVED
DEC 03 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from Nor-Rel-Muk Nation

2414-1 The DEIS/EIR fulfills the responsibilities of the lead agencies under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Policy Act (CEQA). The document discloses impacts associated with implementation of a range of alternatives. Impacts are categorized into three regions: Trinity River Basin, Lower Klamath River Basin/Coastal Area, and the Central Valley. For some resources, there are no impacts for a given region. However, all regions were considered in impact analyses.

2414-2 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

2414-3 Please see thematic response titled "Implementation Funding and Relationship to Repayment, Reimbursement, and the CVPIA Restoration Fund."

7 Dennis Fox

2415

US Fish & Wildlife Service
Ca/Nev. Operations Office
2800 Cottage Way, Suite W-2606
Sacramento, Ca 95825-1846

Subject: Trinity EIR Commentary

2-3 Flooding
How much of the preferred alternative is based on not restoring natural flows, but rather artificial flows? How much artificial restoration is due to this artificial flow pattern rather than having flood scour?
Trinity Co. states that there would be severe flooding impacts. This is subjective. It is not quantified. The appendix refers to twenty some parcels. These parcels do not all have structures. What is the actual amount of at risk structures? What is their value?
Whose problem is this? Should the restoration fund be used to implement artificial projects when much if not most of the work can be accomplished by natural hydrologic action?
Would it be cost effective to bail the County out of its zoning practices? Would this be a bad precedent to set? Should the County set up its own flood agency? Is this too much responsibility to expect of the County?
Is the return to a natural flooding pattern the reason the proposal to correct the dam's insufficient overflow capabilities and pool filling was deleted as an alternative-even a rejected one? It would appear so, since dam removal receives so much ink.
If Trinity Lake were filled, would not the silting in problem be alleviated? Would not its fishery be restored as vegetation becomes nearer the water? Would not the economy and recreation be improved? How much water is being ~~[illegible]~~ diverted to increase capacity? 2415-1

2.2.6 Utilizing Weaver Creek The proposal to utilize Weaver Creek was concentrated on restoring it as a fishery and infusing cold water directly from the Alps down the La Grange flume to Weaver Creek and the River. The proposal copy that I have does not mention channel restoration. The documents' handling of this does show the lack of concentration of fish stressors, such as temperature, in favor of gismos and vengeance. - Concentration from top 10 miles of flume. 2415-2

Without commenting on the political war with the Westlands which recieves so much mention in the document, it may be noted that the transferred water is not driven by tanker down I-5 to the Westlands but passes down a riverine system on its way.
o Effects on fishery habitat and temps in the Sacto River, Delta and estuary are not addressed. 2415-3
o The appendix cites cotton as a major crop which need not recieve annual water; however, much of the Valley is shifting to permanent crops of higher value. These necessitate minimal annual water deliveries to survive. Following a drought, and if just subsistence amounts of watering were applied, bumper crops occur which negatively impact ag net income. 2415-4
o Land fallowing may "get them" but it causes negative impacts. Like the previously mentioned practice of Trinity County zoning development into the floodplain to acquire taxes, Valley counties develop prime soils to replace fallowed land taxes, resulting in ag actually needing more water. 2415-5
o North Valley water will need more water for rice production due to the cooler river water as a result of temperature devices on reservoirs. Unless power plants are sited in the north state, which would heat ag water, would not rice growers need more water, and suffer purchase or pumping costs, if Trinity River water were not available? What would be the groundwater impacts of increased water demand in the rice areas? 2415-6
o the impacts on refuge recreation and wildlife are not mentioned. Not only would there be impacts to the Sacto Valley refuges, but also the 120M acres of the Grasslands Ecological Zone receives water from the Delta-Mendota for both habitat and to dilute toxics. 2415-7
o The Iron Mt. Mine is the ultimate riverine superfund site. If there is to be strict adherence to 40% deliveries the week following an event at this site, could there not be a possible disaster 2415-8

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from Dennis Fox

2415-1 The Preferred Alternative's associated Trinity River flow release schedule would result in additional peak flows above those that are typically experienced. The release schedule, duration, and peaks were developed by the Service to assist in restoring habitat and are documented in the Trinity River Flow Evaluation Study. Aside from restoration, these increased flows are anticipated to impact structures along the river, all of which is based on DWR surveys and documented in Section 3.9 Land Use, of the DEIS/EIR. Recommended mitigation is identified. The beneficial and adverse impacts to the fisheries, vegetation, and recreation are addressed in Sections 3.5 Fishery Resources; 3.7 Vegetation, Wildlife, and Wetlands; and Section 3.8 Recreation of the DEIS/EIR, respectively.

2415-2 Thank you for your comment. Your comment has been noted. No response is required.

2415-3 Effects of alternatives on temperature in the Sacramento River are discussed in Section 3.4 Water Quality. Effects of alternatives on Central Valley fisheries are discussed in Section 3.5 Fishery Resources.

2415-4 Cropping patterns and crop types for each subregion are projected based on data gathered from County Agricultural Commissioner reports and DWR Bulletin 160-93. Additional information regarding assumptions, including projected cotton production with and without implementation of each of the alternatives, is provided in Land Use Appendix E.

2415-5 The potential for any of the alternatives to result in additional land fallowing, including what is assumed for the No Action Alternative and the CEQA existing conditions scenario, is discussed in Section 3.9 Land Use.

Letter from Dennis Fox continued

2415-6 The DEIS/EIR evaluates the potential impacts, including groundwater, associated with any of the alternatives in comparison to the No Action Alternative and the existing conditions scenario. In addition to the projections contained in DWR Bulletin 160-93, only those potential projects or actions that had obtained all necessary environmental authorizations are included in the No Action Alternative.

2415-7 Impacts to refuge areas, which are not anticipated as part of the implementation of any of the alternatives, are addressed in Section 3.7 Vegetation, Wildlife, and Wetlands.

2415-8 Minimum flows for Iron Mountain Mine were incorporated into the analysis. For a more detailed description of flows at Spring Creek Debris Dam, please see Section 3.4 Water Quality.

scenario? 2415-8 cont'd
o what are the effects on Whiskeytown Reservoir? Would its banks commence to erode?
What would be the impacts to its fishery? its Temperature? its recreation potential? 2415-9
Would there be a guarantee of enough water to handle problems at Iron Mt?

The entire Document concentrates, of course, on the main stem as a hatchery with little proof that this was an historic prime occurance. Did not, and could not, tributary streams generally fulfill this purpose? 2415-10
Does my math of nearly $2M per caught fish from reading the documents prove out? If not, what is it? If true, how long will the appropriations continue? 2415-11
I would question the statement made that Rush Creek contributes as much to accretion as Grass Valley due to the differing geologic conditions. 2415-12

Would not upper watershed restoration be more effective in keeping silt out of spawning areas rather than replenishing? Cobbles in conjunction with gravel are not mentioned; would this not be more natural and reduce the necessity for eternal artificial replenishment?
Does not this artificial replenishment become too dependent on a stable budget priority?
Would not the greater flooding flows previously, mentioned be more effective at lessening accretion and riparian vegetation buildup than the artificial flows proposed?
Considering that artificial rip restoration and transportation of gravel to the area would surely result in exotic invasion how will this be dealt with? Even if exotic removal could be safely accomplished chemically, restrictions imposed by Trinity County, or factions of other counties upon Trinity County, preclude its use. 2415-13
The amount of silt introduced by the recent catastrophic fires should be factored in.
Much road retirement is not restoration, but merely consists of rip and run with some straw strewing in visible areas;this results in increased sediment. In addition to lack of compliance enforcement, sediment control is subservient to aesthetics and social control. As this practice is not addressed, it should be considered a de facto addition to sediment input.
Sediment plumes from previous mainstem projects were found legally unacceptable. Has the signage at the restoration sites, or any other factor, made them more palatable?

Societal impacts
The desire to acquire allies for increased instream flows, may have impacts due to the imposition of coastal culture upon an inland area such as Trinity County. How will this affect its identity?
Trinity County has currently 43% of its labor force in Government employment. This is to increase with this program, especialy with a focus upon monitoring jobs. When over half the labor force are getting the crumbs from Uncle Sam's beard, what then? Will the entire area become a subservient rural slum like the reservations? Employment tables, like others in the document, should reflect current situations; seven years lag approaches ancient history. 2415-14

The effort involved in determining the methodology of restoration of the Trinity River and the time nessesary to produce the document has allowed some of the data to become dated and often subject to external factors, so some tweaking is to be considered a natural result. 2415-15
I, on the other hand, have become blurred of mind and numb of finger so that typos and grammatical imprecision result. Should these conditions get to the point that clarification is needed do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Letter from Dennis Fox continued

2415-9 Whiskeytown Reservoir was considered in the analyses conducted for the DEIS/EIR. For a discussion of changes to reservoir storage, reservoir fisheries, temperature, recreation potential, or Iron Mountain Mine dilution, please see Section 3.3 Water Resources, Section 3.5 Fishery Resources, Section 3.4 Water Quality, and Section 3.8 Recreation. Bank erosion of the reservoir was not considered because of the fluctuating nature of the reservoir under current operating conditions.

2415-10 There is no doubt that habitat within the tributaries to the mainstem Trinity River have and continue to contribute to the production of anadromous salmonids within the Trinity River Basin. The loss of habitat in the reaches of the mainstem Trinity and its tributaries upstream of Lewiston was mitigated for by the creation of the Trinity River Salmon and Steelhead Hatchery (TRSSH). However, in spite of the contribution of this mitigation, the numbers of naturally produced salmon and steelhead have continued to decline significantly since the creation of the Trinity River Division of the CVP. There is no doubt that the largest source of the loss in production of salmon and steelhead, particularly chinook salmon, has resulted from decreases in the quantity and quality of habitats in the mainstem of the Trinity River, principally between the confluence of North Fork and Lewiston. There is no doubt that restoration actions within the tributary watersheds will improve habitat conditions for spawning and rearing salmon and steelhead. However, without habitat improvements within the mainstem of the Trinity River, the principal rearing area for chinook salmon in the Trinity Basin, the restoration goals for salmonid populations will never be obtained.

2415-11 The estimated costs and the projections for appropriations for each of the alternatives described in the DEIS/EIR are discussed in Section 2.2 and listed in Table 2-10. Cost estimates for implementing the Preferred Alternative, as well as the costs assigned for all of the other alternatives examined in the DEIS/EIR, cannot be directly calculated on a "price-per-fish" basis. Such a calculation would be inaccurate because it would neglect the numerous other environmental, economic, and social benefits that must also be considered when evaluating the overall cost/benefit ratio of the project.

2415-12 Rush Creek is listed on page 3-308 as an example of a tributary that can contribute unregulated flow to the Trinity River. This was not intended to infer that Rush Creek and Grass Valley Creek would contribute the same flow to the Trinity River.

Letter from Dennis Fox continued

2415-13 Watershed restoration was evaluated as a part of the Mechanical Restoration Alternative. The benefits of the watershed restoration efforts were found to be great enough to warrant inclusion in the Preferred Alternative, although the benefits to fisheries were not measurable. Spawning gravel (often synonymous with cobbles) are included for each alternative based on the flow releases associated with each alternative -- more spawning gravel for higher flows. Artificial replenishment is necessary because Trinity and Lewiston Dams block the basin's natural supply of gravel from the headwaters. The artificial flow patterns were designed to mimic natural flow patterns on a smaller scale – reflecting the smaller scale of the river following construction of the dams and the diversion of water out of the basin. Exotic species are not expected to be imported as a result of gravel placement. Increased silt loads resulting from recent fires were not included in the analysis because the analysis considered long-term occurrences, rather than specific events. Additional explanation of watershed measures of the Mechanical Restoration Alternative are presented in Section 2.1 of the DEIS/EIR. Water quality factors, including turbidity, are discussed in Section 3.4 of the DEIS/EIR.

2415-14 Social and economic impacts to Trinity County resulting from the selection of the Preferred Alternative are described in Chapter 3.11 of the DEIS/EIR. Environmental justice impacts are discussed in Section 3.14. In summary, the Preferred Alternative is predicted to create some additional employment in Trinity County. However, the actual number of new jobs is not expected to result in any substantial impacts at the sector level. Social impacts to a limited number of residents along the river are more balanced. The DEIS/EIR predicts that some residents may be impacted by relocation or the inability to develop their properties, due to possible flooding, while other residents would see the project as a positive impact and would welcome the change in river flow. It is not apparent that the coastal culture will impose upon Trinity County any more than it already does.

The DEIS/EIR evaluation of impacts based on environmental justice indicates that there would be a very large positive impact for Native American communities in the region. Impacts to other non-Native Americans in the region are not substantial.

Letter from Dennis Fox continued

2415-14 cont'd The underlying data used for estimating socioeconomic impacts with the IMPLAN model lags current conditions by approximately 3-4 years. When the socioeconomic impacts were first estimated, data for the 1991-1992 period was the latest available. Employment estimates generated from the IMPLAN model were used to reflect both current conditions (Affected Environment) and 2020 impacts (Environmental Consequences) to maintain consistency. Updating the data would necessitate re-analysis of all impacts with no change in the relative positioning of the alternatives. Please see Response 5313-78.

2415-15 Please see Response 2415-14.

MEDIATION LAW OFFICE
CONFLICT RESOLUTION SPECIALIST

JON LUVAAS, MEDIATOR
Counselor at Law
Mediation, Arbitration, Consulting

P.O. Box 3276, Chico, Ca 95927
341 Broadway, Room 211
(530) 343-4934 Fax 899-7634

2416

Mediation, the oldest form of dispute resolution, has become the preferred way to cooperatively resolve legal and other disagreements.

Joe Polos
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Re: Trinity River Flow Restoration

Dear Mr. Polos:

I am writing to urge restoration of Trinity River flows to 70-90% of the natural flow. } 2416-1

I urge this primarily because damage to the fisheries has reached a crisis point. The Westlands Water District and other recipients of the river's water from the Central Valley Project's Trinity River Dam have grossly overused, exploited and abused this resource in violation of the Trinity River Act of 1955, mandating that fish and wildlife not be harmed in this way. The 90% decline in this fishery is inexcusable and has contributed significantly to the decline of the entire coho and steelhead populations.

The losses to the water districts will be regrettable, but are essential if we are to restore a healthy fishery and riverine system, as required by law and common sense. Mankind can adapt and must do so, especially given the legal mandates that Trinity fish and wildlife have priority over water diversions. Agriculture has other options through the CALFED process, conservation, and otherwise. But the fisheries and their habitat cannot adapt or handle continued deprivation, without permanent loss.

Restoration of river flows will also help to restore the fishing and tourist industries in this region, where underemployment remains extreme. The President has pledged to help isolated rural areas whose economies have not improved, and this is a prime example of how they can be helped. The Hoopa Valley and Yurok tribes people are among those most severely hurt by the poor local economy and will be most helped by bringing the river flows back. Moreover, the government has violated its trust obligations to these people by allowing such excessive water diversions. } 2416-2

The minimal restoration proposed is grossly inadequate to restore the fishery, the local economy, or the people's trust in government promises. Nor is a CALFED solution an answer. The river's problems must be resolved, as required by the 1955 law, without further compromise and independent of other government actions elsewhere. It is time to restore the local trust in government's promises and commitments.

Sincerely,

Jon Luvaas

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from Mediation Law Office

2416-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2416-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

2417

December 1, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th Street, Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear Mr. Polos:

I am an avid angler and have fished the Trinity River a number of times over the last few years. I am writing this letter to express my support for a diversion of no more than 30 percent from the Trinity River Basin for state-subsidized agribusiness and other applications that do not benefit the Trinity River ecosystem.

I understand that the legislation that created the Trinity River Division and related other legislation clearly gives the fish in the Trinity River priority over any diversions of water to the Central Valley Project (CVP). Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough in achieving a legally mandated restoration of the Trinity River ecosystem. 2417-1

Thanks and Best Regards,

Bob Remillard
552 S P Street
Livermore, CA 94550

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from Bob Remillard Dated December 1, 1999

2417-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river.

Legislation creating the Trinity River Division and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the Central Valley Project (CVP). Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You

Name: RALPH LESTARJETTE
Address: P.O. BOX 493729
City/State/Zip: REDDING, CA 96049-3729

2418

Postcard from Ralph Lestarjette

2418-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

.2419

Michael Torbert
P.O. Box 40
Whitethorn, CA 95589

December 6, 1999

Mr. Joe Polos
United States Fish & Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, CA 95521

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

After 36 years of devastating water diversions to agricultural interests south of the San Francisco Bay Delta, the long beleagured Trinity River finally has an historic opportunity to be revitalized.

I urge you to do everything in your power to help return the majority of the Trinity River's much needed water back to the River and to restore the once premier salmon and steelhead runs.

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem. 2419-1

Very truly yours,

Michael W. Torbert

Michael Torbert

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from Michael Torbert Dated December 6, 1999

2419-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2420

740 Park Ave.
Arcata, Ca.,95521
December 2, 1999

Joe Polos
I.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1125 16th St., Room 209
Arcata, Ca.

Dear Mr. Polos;

I'm writing concerning the Trinity River Mainstream Fisheries Restoration Impact Statement Report. Whereas the Trinity River Act of 1955 mandated that fish and wildlife of the basin not be harmed and they have been, significantly, I ask you to advocate the decommissioning of the dams to let the Trinity flow at its natural levels. } 2420-1

There is no need for our bio-region to subsidize corporate agriculture in the central valley. We should honor our trust obligations to the Hoopa and Yurok Tribes. } 2420-2

Thank you,

Maylie Scott

Maylie Scott

RECEIVED
DEC 08 1999
US Fish & Wildlife Service
Arcata, CA

Letter from Maylie Scott Dated December 2, 1999

2420-1 Please see Response 1389-4.

2420-2 Please see thematic response titled "Tribal Trust."

Official Public Comment 2421

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Lowell Norgaar

Address: B 567

City/State/Zip: Hoopa Fork 96041

Official Public Comment 2422

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Edward A. Porter-Smith

Address: 41443 Bidwell Road

City/State/Zip: Hat Creek, Calif 96040

Official Public Comment 2423

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ann Maechtlen

Address: 2604 Wilson Ave #2

City/State/Zip: Redding Ca 96002

Postcards from Lowell Norgaar, Edward A. Porter-Smith, and Ann Maechtlen

2421-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2422-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2423-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2424

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Carl H. Schwarzenberg

Address: 7800 French Creek Rd.

City/State/Zip: Etna, CA 96027

2425

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Angela Riggs

Address: box 541

City/State/Zip: Hayfork CA 96041

2426

Official Public Comment

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Norman Miller

Address: Box 1343

City/State/Zip: Hay Fork, CA 96041

Postcards from Carl Schwarzenberg, Angela Riggs, and Norman Miller

2424-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2425-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2426-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2427

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: L.D. Glass

Address: Box 1045

City/State/Zip: Hayfork Ca. 96041

Official Public Comment 2428

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Sandy Bechtold

Address: P.O. Box 1770

City/State/Zip: Hayfork, CA 96041

Official Public Comment 2429

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Chas D. Journette

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from L. D. Glass, Sandy Bechtold, and Chas D. Journette

2427-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2428-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2429-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2430

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the rive: Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any wate: to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough :o achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Juanita Irvine

Address: P.O. Box 947

City/State/Zip: Weaverville, Calif 96093

Official Public Comment 2431

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the rive: Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any wate: to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough :o achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Mary Blackstone

Address: PO Bx 1445

City/State/Zip: Hayfork CA 96041

Official Public Comment 2432

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You.

Name: Dennis R Murphy

Address: 487 Blue Dolphin Way

City/State/Zip: Sacramento, CA 95831

Postcards from Juanita Irvine, Mary Blackstone, and Dennis R. Murphy

2430-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2431-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2432-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2433

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ken Stockton

Address: 11650 Forest View Dr.

City/State/Zip: Nevada City, CA 95959-3534

Official Public Comment 2434

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Everett J. Flint

Address: 19215 RICHARD AVE

City/State/Zip: CERRITOS, CALIF. 90703

Official Public Comment 2435

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ken Wright

Address: 221 Parnassus St.

City/State/Zip: S.F. CA 94117

Postcards from Ken Stockton, Everett J. Flint, and Ken Wright

2433-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2434-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2435-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2436

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ken Wright

Address: 221 Parnassus St

City/State/Zip: S.F. CA 94117

Official Public Comment 2437

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Rodney Smith

Address: 6350 GUNPARK DR.

City/State/Zip: BOULDER, CO 80301

Official Public Comment 2438

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address: [redacted] Mr. Richard A. Spotts

City/State/Zip: 719 Orchard Ln.
Ashland, WI 54806

Postcards from Ken Wright, Rodney Smith, and Richard A. Spotts

2436-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2437-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2438-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2439

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: JIM BROOKER

Address: 1208 E. SWOOT DR.

City/State/Zip: TUCSON, AZ. 85719

Official Public Comment 2440

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Debra Lo

Address: 1008 Floribunda Ave., #202

City/State/Zip: Burlingame, CA 94010

Official Public Comment 2441

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: A.D. Schneider

Address:

City/State/Zip:

Postcards from Jim Brooker, Debra Lo, and A. D. Schneider

2439-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2440-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2441-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2442

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Don Sundquist

Address: 2610 G

City/State/Zip: Eureka Cal 95501

Official Public Comment 2443

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: George ARABIAN

Address: 18 Meadow Ridge DR

City/State/Zip: COTE Madera CA 94925

Official Public Comment 2444

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Margaret Grosse

Address: 21 Terrace Walk

City/State/Zip: Berkeley, CA 94707

Postcards from Don Sundquist, George Arabian, and Margaret Grosse

2442-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2443-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2444-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2445

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Coy LaPierre

Address: 9 Margo Ct

City/State/Zip: Napa, CA 94558

Official Public Comment 2446

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: GARY M. THOMPSON

Address: 8TEC HAGEN RD

City/State/Zip: NAPA, CA 94558

Official Public Comment 2447

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: NATHAN COPPLE, MD

Address: Open Door Clinic - McKinleyville
~~1644 Central Avenue, Suite F~~

City/State/Zip: McKinleyville, California 95519
(707) 839-3068

HOME: 225 Shirley Blvd.
Arcata, CA
95521

I kayak and raft the river regularly; it's a shame what's happening to it.

Postcards from Coy La Pierre, Gary M. Thompson, and Nathan Copple, M.D.

2445-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2446-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2447-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2448

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Duane Chebul

Address: 16744 Knollow St.

City/State/Zip: Granada Hills, CA 91344

Official Public Comment 2449

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Krista K. Brown

Address: 432 Hugo St.

City/State/Zip: S.F., CA 94122

2450

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally manda restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you.

Postcards from Duane Chebul, Krista K. Brown, and Larry Dennis

2448-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2449-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2450-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2451

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: KEN DAVIS

Address: 800 BYRD LANE

City/State/Zip: FOSTER CITY, CA 94404

Ken Davis

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: 2452

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you.

Jeffrey E. Lorelli

[illegible] assumption about [illegible] water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

2453 Michael Walden

Postcards from Ken Davis, Jeff Lorelli, and Michael Walden

2451-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2452-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2453-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Postcards from Mitch Matsumoto, Georgina Califf, and Diane Jones

2454-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2455-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2456-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: 2454

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Mitch Matsumoto

Official Public Comment 2455

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You, Georgina L. Califf

Name: Georgina Califf

Address: 1231 E. Sycamore Ave.

City/State/Zip: Orange, CA 92866-1240

Official Public Comment 2456

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Diane Jones

Address: 5180 Loma Verde Dr.

City/State/Zip: San Jose, CA 95128

Official Public Comment 2457

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Craig Gittings

Address: 4485 Grimmer

City/State/Zip: Fremont, CA 94538

Official Public Comment 2458

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Lisa Nelson

Address: 5313 Rockport

City/State/Zip: Newark, CA 94560

Official Public Comment 2459

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Jeff miller

Address: PO Box 192

City/State/Zip: Canyon, CA 94516

Postcards from Craig Gittings, Lisa Nelson, and Jeff Miller

2457-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2458-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2459-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: 2460

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: 2461

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

A. D. Schneider

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: 2462

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Postcards from D. L. Pherson, A. D. Schneider, and Larry Dennis

2460-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2461-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2462-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2463

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Johanna H. Wald

Address: 945 Ashbury

City/State/Zip: San Francisco CA 94117

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: 2464

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Mitch Matsumoto

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members: 2465

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Gayla Walden

Postcards from Johanna H. Wald, Mitch Matsumoto, and Gayla Walden

2463-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2464-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2465-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2466

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Official Public Comment 2467

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: TODD TILLINGHAST

Address: 1419 HARLAN DR

City/State/Zip: DANVILLE CA 94526

Official Public Comment 2468

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name:

Address:

City/State/Zip: Ms Rich
5805 Ocean View Dr
Oakland CA 94618-1534

Postcards from Steve Schramm, Todd Tillinghast, and Pamela Rich

2466-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2467-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2468-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2469

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more than 30 Percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank you,

Official Public Comment 2470

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Joe McCarthy

Address: 8620 Bonita Canyon Ct.

City/State/Zip: Prunedale CA 93907

Official Public Comment 2471

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Michael Stuber

Address: 6842 Xana Way

City/State/Zip: Carlsbad, CA 92009

Postcards from D. L. Pehrson, Joe McCarthy, and Michael Stuber

2469-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2470-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2471-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2472

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Tom WATERS

Address: 10 Ardmore Rd.

City/State/Zip: LARKSPUR CA 94939

Official Public Comment 2473

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Larry Buwalcha

Address: Adventure's Edge

City/State/Zip: 650 10th Arcata, Ca 95521

Our Business is Outdoor specialty Store which supports Canoe, Kayak & tourism. thank you

Official Public Comment 2474

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ron Miller

Address: 2249 Graham RD

City/State/Zip: Bayside - CA 95524

Postcards from Tom Waters, Larry Buwalcha, and Ron Miller

2472-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2473-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2474-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2475

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Edith Butler

Address: 1081 Redwood Rd

City/State/Zip: Eureka, Ca 95503

Official Public Comment 2476

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: MICHAEL MANETAS

Address: 1094 BIRCH AVE

City/State/Zip: McKINLEYVILLE, CA 95519

Official Public Comment 2477

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Ray Peart

Address: [redacted]

City/State/Zip: RAY PEART
1845 HOME AVENUE
FORTUNA, CA 95540-1419

Postcards from Edith Butler, Michael Manetas, and Ray Peart

2475-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2476-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2477-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

Official Public Comment 2478

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Bill Grimm

Address: 10670 S. Fk. Little Butte Cr. Rd.

City/State/Zip: Eagle Point, OR 97524

Official Public Comment 2479

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Mrs. Veolan Chaffee

Address: 160 Chapman Ln

City/State/Zip: Carlotta, CA 95528

Official Public Comment 2480

Dear EIS/EIR Team Members:

I support a diversion of no more that 30 percent of the natural water flow from the Trinity River Basin. While I support the science and study that produced the Flow Evaluation Report, the recommendations were limited by an assumption about the amount of water that could be available for the river. Legislation creating the Trinity River Division, and additional legislation clearly gives Trinity fish and wildlife priority over the diversion of any water to the CVP. Therefore, the Preferred Alternative does not go far enough to achieve a legally mandated restoration of the ecosystem.

Thank You,

Name: Mr. Donald Heyneman

Address: 1400 Lake St

City/State/Zip: San Francisco, CA 94118

Restoration of the river flow is vital to us and to our children.

Postcards from Bill Grimm, Mrs. Veolan Chaffee, and Donald Heyneman

2478-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2479-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."

2480-1 Please see thematic responses titled "Fisheries."