

TMC Disposition of Program Review Subcommittee Recommendations
June 29, 2004

Non-Budget-Related Report Recommendations	Disagree (why)	Agree (how)			Defer, Modify or Further Study (specifics)
		Action by 9/30/04 (3 months)	Action by 12/31/04 (6 months)	Action by 6/30/05 (12 months)	
1. Conduct joint and individual programmatic workshops with the TMC, TAMWG, SAB, and AEAM staff as soon as possible. (V-1, p. 10)					
2. Establish lines of communication between the authors of the Flow Evaluation Report, ROD, and Implementation Plan to maintain a consistent and comprehensive understanding of the written documents to Program participants. (V-2, p. 10)					
3. Integrate the SAB and ERPs into the science framework process. (I-S-4, p. 13)					
4. Develop a RFP process for assessment of management action outcomes by tying the data to specific models and interdisciplinary analyses. Redesign the RFP process to solicit proposals that support the Program's information needs based on the results of the science framework. (I-S-5, p. 13)					

Subcommittee Report references: V = Vision; I = Implementation; S = Science; R = Restoration; M = Management.

Non-Budget-Related Report Recommendations	Disagree (why)	Agree (how)			Defer, Modify or Further Study (specifics)
		Action by 9/30/04 (3 months)	Action by 12/31/04 (6 months)	Action by 6/30/05 (12 months)	
5. Reevaluate the Mainstem Restoration Subcommittee's priority list for the first 25 restoration sites and develop a science-based implementation strategy to prioritize and guide channel rehabilitation projects. (I-R-4, p. 13)					
6. TMC needs to make a determination on the effects, if any, the litigation has on channel rehabilitation and other non-flow activities. ...the Subcommittee believes that planning, design, and environmental compliance for channel rehabilitation activities should be completed prior to the litigation being resolved. (I-R-5, p. 13)					
7. The TMC needs to become more engaged in the management of the Program by providing significant oversight and guidance to AEAM staff through the Executive Director. (M-1, p. 16)					

Non-Budget-Related Report Recommendations	Disagree (why)	Agree (how)			Defer, Modify or Further Study (specifics)
		Action by 9/30/04 (3 months)	Action by 12/31/04 (6 months)	Action by 6/30/05 (12 months)	
8. In addition to quarterly TMC meetings, monthly conference calls should be initiated to provide the opportunity for the TMC and Executive Director to discuss Program progress and challenges, and improve TMC guidance and oversight of the program. (M-2, p. 16)					
9. The TMC, TAMWG, and the AEAM staff should develop the strategic plan with the Flow Evaluation Report, ROD, and Implementation Plan as its foundation. (M-3, p. 16)					
10. The science component of the AEAM program must be developed. ...the science framework must be in place so that assessments of current conditions can be made and follow-up assessments resulting from management actions can be completed. (M-4, p. 16)					
11. The Executive Director should seek TMC help in challenging institutional barriers and overcoming any USBR procedures that may hamper innovation in pursuing Program objectives (i.e., grade level for senior staff, travel ceilings). (M-6, p. 17)					

Non-Budget-Related Report Recommendations	Disagree (why)	Agree (how)			Defer, Modify or Further Study (specifics)
		Action by 9/30/04 (3 months)	Action by 12/31/04 (6 months)	Action by 6/30/05 (12 months)	
12. The TMC should work with the Executive Director on the rehabilitation site permitting issues, CEQA, etc. and become engaged in development of an overall programmatic permit process. (M-7, p. 17)					
13. The TMC should develop a more formal organization process for coordination among AEAM staff, TAMWG, and TMC technical representatives. ...smaller technical committees to collaborate on specific resource areas (per Figure 3). (M-8, p. 17)					
14. A plan for future Program review needs to be established. ...via tracking Program progress on implementing the ROD. ...procedure for SAB review of the Program needs to be developed...program participants must be kept more informed of Program's progress, challenges, and accomplishments via increased outreach... (M-9, p. 17)					

Budget-Related Report Recommendations	Disagree (why)	Agree (how)			Defer, Modify or Further Study (specifics)
		Action by 9/30/04 (3 months)	Action by 12/31/04 (6 months)	Action by 6/30/05 (12 months)	
1. Develop the integrated science-based modeling and assessment program that is necessary to support the AEAM program. (I-S-1, p. 12)					
2. Fully staff the TMAG with persons qualified to conduct the modeling and assessment activities, guide restoration actions, and develop the contemporary science framework process. (I-S-2, p. 12)					
3. Develop the science framework, including current status of the river (baseline) and comprehensive monitoring and assessment plans. (I-S-3, p. 12)					
4. Ensure completion of bridge construction and structure relocations by early spring 2005 to allow for higher flows in the litigation constraint is removed and wetter water year occurs in 2005. (I-R-1, p. 13)					
5. Develop a work-plan and resource needs to complete the initial 24 channel rehabilitation sites within next 3 years. (I-R-2, p. 13)					

Budget-Related Report Recommendations	Disagree (why)	Agree (how)			Defer, Modify or Further Study (specifics)
		Action by 9/30/04 (3 months)	Action by 12/31/04 (6 months)	Action by 6/30/05 (12 months)	
6. Hire another engineer and another environmental compliance specialist for the RIG to assist with structure relocations and channel rehabilitation projects. (I-R-3, p. 13)					
7. Continue initial coarse sediment augmentation in the Lewiston reach as prescribed in the Flow Evaluation Report and develop a large- scale sediment augmentation program. (I-R-6, p. 13)					
8. Complete a watershed rehabilitation strategic plan in cooperation with land owners and managers that targets remediation of the sediment sources in a time and cost efficient means. (I-R-7, p. 14)					
9. Restaffing of the TMAG vacancies with scientists aligned with the qualifications described in the ROD and Implementation Plan is necessary. Eliminate the current contracting burden on TMAG modeling and assessment staff by adding or realigning current staff to function as COTRs (per Figure 2). (M-5, p. 17)					