

**COLORADO RIVER RECOVERY PROGRAM**  
**FY-2003–2005 PROPOSED SCOPE OF WORK for:**  
Elkhead escapement

Project No.: 118

Lead Agency: Colorado Division of Wildlife  
Submitted by: Thomas P. Nesler and William J. Miller  
Address: T.P.N. W.J.M.  
Colorado Division of Wildlife Miller Ecological Consultants, Inc  
317 W. Prospect St. 1113 Stoney Hill Dr. Suite A  
Ft. Collins, CO 80526 Fort Collins, CO 80525  
Phone: (970) 472-4384 Phone: (970) 224-4505  
FAX: (970) 472-4457 FAX: (970) 224-4555  
E-Mail: tom.nesler@state.co.us E-Mail: mec@millereco.com

Date: 25 October, 2001 (revised 10/02/02 by T. Nesler)  
Revised 10/10/02 by Tom Nesler and Pat Nelson; 6/12/03 by Pat Nelson

<u>Category:</u>	<u>Expected Funding Source:</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Ongoing project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Annual funds
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ongoing-revised project	<input type="checkbox"/> Capital funds
<input type="checkbox"/> Requested new project	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (explain)
<input type="checkbox"/> Unsolicited proposal	

I. Title of Proposal:

Investigation of nonnative fish escapement from Elkhead Reservoir.

II. Relationship to RIPRAP:

Green River Action Plan: Yampa and Little Snake rivers

III. Reduce negative impacts of nonnative fishes and sportfish management activities.

III.A.1. Implement Yampa Basin aquatic wildlife management plan.

III.A.1.a. (1) Evaluate nonnative fish escapement and control options at Elkhead Reservoir (during and after Elkhead expansion construction).

III. Study Background/Rationale and Hypotheses

Escapement of non-native fish from Elkhead Reservoir has been identified as an potential impact to listed fish in the Yampa River. Fish escapement from the reservoir was included in the 2001 work plan for Elkhead Reservoir enlargement studies conducted by Miller Ecological Consultants, Inc. Miller and Laiho (1997) recommended study of escapement prior to the selecting an escapement control device. The potential cost of building and installing a flexible, Kevlar-like net, suspended in the water column to minimize fish escapement from Elkhead Reservoir is estimated near \$1 million. It is presumed that annual operating and maintenance of this screen will also be significant. These potential costs compel an evaluation of fish escapement as a pre-requisite task to justify this investment in both the recovery of the endangered Colorado river fishes and the reservoir sportfishery.

Miller Ecological Consultants, Inc. collected aquatic resource information in fall of 1995 on Elkhead Creek for fish and macroinvertebrates (Miller and Rees 1996). Two sites were studied, one downstream of the reservoir and one upstream of the reservoir. This data provides baseline information for the stream aquatic resources downstream of Elkhead and also in the upstream area but should be updated since it has been over 5 years since the last sampling. Results of this survey demonstrated the presence of small numbers black crappie and bluegill, and relatively abundant smallmouth bass in the creek in the site nearest to the dam outlet. Most bass were 30-95 mm in length with only four of 192 fish exceeding 100mm.

Colorado Division of Wildlife collected data on the reservoir fishery in 1999. They sampled with electrofishing and gill nets. The results of that electrofishing and gill netting showed that approximately 80% of the fish captured were nonnative game species. Nonnative white sucker made up the largest segment at 15% and the remainder was comprised of small percentages of individual game fish, including approximately 1% northern pike and 1% channel catfish. No native fish were collected in the reservoir.

Miller Ecological Consultants conducted a preliminary escapement study during the summer and fall of 2001 but due to the short runoff period, data was not collected during runoff. It is hypothesized that the potential for escapement is greatest during the runoff from May through June. This study proposes a means to monitor escapement during that period in the 2003-2004 runoff periods.

Elkhead Reservoir represents the largest reservoir in the Yampa Valley with potential and opportunities for warmwater fishing recreation. Due to its size, it offers considerable capacity to serve as a receiving water for nonnative gamefish species targeted for control and removal from the Yampa River. Removal of adult gamefish from the river to the reservoir serves a net benefit of both reducing their impacts on native riverine fish populations and the federally endangered fish species and providing a quality angling potential in the reservoir sportfishery. In addition to stocked warmwater fish species, potential escapement of these "relocated" fish from the reservoir can also be investigated in this investigation.

IV. Study Goals, Objectives, End Product:

Goal: Document magnitude and characteristics of escapement of nonnative fishes from Elkhead Reservoir to guide design and operational criteria for potential screening, refine sportfishery management, and evaluate translocation of nonnative fish from Yampa River removal actions.

Objectives

1. Quantify escapement of fishes from Elkhead Reservoir by species and size during spring runoff.
2. Recommend the design and operational criteria for screening reservoir outflows that would be most effective for minimizing escapement.
3. Evaluate escapement rates of nonnative gamefish relocated from the Yampa River to the reservoir.

End Product: Annual and final reports with recommendations for future actions for screening, sportfishery management, and translocation of game fish.

Recovery Program annual report to coordinator: September 30, 2003

Draft report to coordinator: September 30, 2004

Revised draft report to peer reviewers/BC: October 30, 2004

Revised final draft report for BC consideration: January 15, 2004

V. Study area: Elkhead Reservoir, Elkhead Creek , and the Yampa River from Hayden, Colorado to the Green River confluence.

VI. Study Methods/Approach

This study will rely solely on nets placed in the spillway and on the reservoir outlet during the spring runoff to determine escapement. The nets used in the work are tailrace nets normally designed for hydro-electric projects. The nets consist of a face frame (3 ft. x 3 ft.) and an inner and outer net similar to a fyke net in design. The net mesh will be ¼ in with a 60 foot long bag. A live car is attached to the cod end of the net.

To determine escapement, sampling downstream of the reservoir in the spillway chute and at the outlet will be conducted in each of four weeks within a six-week period from mid-April through May. Nets will be deployed to facilitate capture of fish as they move downstream from the reservoir. One net will totally cover the outlet and one net will be set in the spillway chute adjacent to the right retaining wall. Each net will be anchored with a metal frame and ropes to the spillway chute retaining wall. The net will be left in place and checked for fish every six to 12 hours. The nets will be in place for three days during each monitoring period. All fish captured will be weighed and measured. Some mortality will be expected during netting. All live fish will be marked (fin clip for small fish and individual floy tag for large fish) and returned to the reservoir.

Sampling crews will notify the CDOW at the end of each sample week with the number of Yampa River translocated fish captured in the escapement study.

### Expected Results

1. Knowledge of nonnative fish escapement dynamics during spring runoff with respect to species, fish sizes, numbers, temporal patterns.
2. Data to guide design and operational criteria for screening or dam enlargement to be most effective in minimizing escapement.
3. Data to evaluate revisions to sportfishery management plans in the existing or an enlarged reservoir.
4. Data to evaluate the feasibility of relocating smallmouth bass, channel catfish, and northern pike to the reservoir from Yampa River removal efforts.

### VII. Task Description and Schedule

1. Fit wall anchors and test nets in spillway prior to runoff. Sample fish for 3 days weekly for 4 weeks within a 6 week period in Elkhead Reservoir spillway chute in April and May using tailrace nets to determine escapement.
2. Data analysis and summary report. (June-August 2003, 2004) and, for FY 04, draft and final reports.

### VIII. FY-2003 Work

#### Deliverables/Due Dates by Task:

1. Data collection on fish escapement during spring runoff period – May 31.
2. Data analyses and summary report – September 30, 2003.

Budget Estimate - FY 2003

Category	<u>Task 1: Netting</u>		<u>Task 2: Data analysis &amp; report</u>		Total	
	Staff days	Cost	Staff days	Cost	Staff days	Cost
1. Labor						
Project Manager	3	\$2,520	3	\$2,520	6	\$5,040
Biologist	20	\$9,625	4	\$1,920	24	\$11,545
Biologist	20	\$8,825	3	\$1,320	23	\$10,145
Technician	20	\$6,425	2	\$640	22	\$7,065
Clerical	0	\$ 0	1	\$320	1	\$320
Subtotal	63	\$27,395	13	\$6,720	76	\$34,115
2. Travel		\$4,780				\$4,780
3. Equipment	Custom nets <sup>1</sup>	\$4,500				\$7,400
	Custom net frames <sup>1</sup>	\$1,250				
	Nets, scales, dip nets, measuring boards, etc. <sup>2</sup>	\$1,350				
Miscellaneous supplies		\$300				
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$39,575</b>		<b>\$6,720</b>		<b>\$46,295</b>

<sup>1</sup>Three elongate, sock-like nets custom-built to effectively sample fish in high-velocity release flows and spillway overflow. Frames are also custom-built to attach to pre-existing fixtures on dam.

<sup>2</sup>Represents a usage/replacement fee from contractor for sample processing equipment already owned and provided by contractor on this project.

FY-2004 Work:

Note: Implementation of out-year work depends on annual assessment of project based on results in annual report

Deliverables/Due Dates by Task:

1. Data collection on fish escapement during spring runoff period – May 31.
2. Data analyses and summary report – September 30. Draft and final reports.

Draft report to coordinator: September 30, 2004

Revised draft report to peer reviewers/BC: October 30, 2004

Revised final draft report for BC consideration: January 15, 2005

Budget Estimate - FY 2004

Labor rates (includes overhead):  
 Project Manager \$922/day  
 Biologist1 \$528/day  
 Biologist 2 \$484/day  
 Technician \$353/day  
 Clerical \$352/day

Category	Task 1: Netting		Task 2: Data analysis & report		Total	
	Staff days	Cost	Staff days	Cost	Staff days	Cost
1. Labor						
Project Manager	3	\$2,764	3	\$2,764	6	\$5,528
Biologist	20	\$10,559	4	\$2,112	24	\$12,671
Biologist	20	\$9,681	3	\$1,542	23	\$11,223
Technician	20	\$7,048	2	\$705	22	\$7,753
Clerical	0	\$0	1	\$352	1	\$352
Subtotal	63	\$30,052	13	\$7,475	76	\$37,527
2. Travel		\$5,258				\$5,258
3. Equipment	Custom nets <sup>1</sup>	\$4,950				\$8,140
	Custom net frames <sup>1</sup>	\$1,375				
	Nets, scales, dip nets, measuring boards, etc. <sup>2</sup>	\$1,485				
Miscellaneous supplies		\$330				
<b>Total</b>		\$43,450		\$7,475		\$50,925

<sup>1</sup> Replacement of nets and possibly frames is anticipated due to wear and tear associated with sampling high-velocity outflows.

<sup>2</sup> Represents a usage/replacement fee from contractor for sample processing equipment already owned and provided by contractor on this project.

IX. Budget Summary

FY-2003	\$ 46,295
FY-2004	\$ 50,925

**Note: FY-04 budget includes finalization of report in FY-05.**

X. Reviewers: Pat Nelson and Biology Committee

XI. References

Miller, W.J. and D. Laiho. 1997. Feasibility Evaluation of Non-native fish control structures. Final Report Upper Colorado River Basin Recovery Implementation Program. Prepared for Colorado River Water Conservation District, Glenwood Springs, Colorado.

Miller, W. J., and D. E. Rees. 1996. Survey of fish, benthic macroinvertebrates, and habitat in Elkhead Creek near Craig, Colorado. Final report. Prepared for Ayres Associates, Fort Collins, Colorado.