

Appendix 1. Example: Site Specific Review

Anywhere Boat Launch Site

<u>Issue</u>	<u>TRIGGER</u>	<u>Site Status</u>	<u>Issue Triggered</u>
Listed Species	A “likely to adversely affect” designation would indicate the need for further consultation with the Service to determine if a site specific document is needed.	No listed species present, Section 7 form dated 1/20/02.	NO
Cultural Resources	If cultural resources exist that can not be addressed to SHPO satisfaction, the Service will be consulted concerning the need for a site specific EA.	SHPO stated project may proceed on 12/10/01.	NO
Floodplains	If major floodplain problems exist that can not be addressed, the Service will be consulted for advice and guidance concerning the need for a site specific EA.	There will be no meaningful change to the floodplains.	NO
Wetlands	If net loss of wetlands exceeds 5 acres or if any net loss of wetlands that can’t be adequately mitigated is likely to result from any access development project, the Service will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.	The only wetland impacted will be directly under the launch ramp, which is currently a barren gravel area.	NO
Invasive Species	Should it be shown that providing access may pose an unreasonable risk of introducing invasive species to sensitive areas, the Service will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.	The lake currently contains Eurasian milfoil. It is not a sensitive area and the launch will not cause a major risk in introducing additional species.	NO

Environmental Justice	If situations arise that indicate a possible adverse affect on minorities or low income individuals, the Service will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.	Launch is located near a portion of Indianapolis that does have a high minority and low income population. This will be the only free launch in the area and will be a positive benefits to these groups.	NO
Public Controversy	If public controversy persists, the Service will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.	During the public review period, 20 written comments and 10 verbal comments were received. Fifteen of the comments were positive and the remainder were resolved through discussions.	NO
No Access Waterway	If the rare situation arises where it is possible that this could be an issue, the Service will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.	Lake already has two public access sites on it.	NO
Cumulative Impacts	If cumulative impacts are determined to be a possible issue, the Service will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.	No problems associated with this issue has been identified at this site.	NO
Traffic Flow and Safety	If construction of the site would cause problems to existing traffic flow or create safety problems with regard to vehicle or boat traffic, the Service will be consulted on the need for a site specific EA.	Consultation with the INDOT indicated that creation of the launch site would not be a concern provided that a turn lane was added from the westbound lane. That has been incorporated into the design.	NO