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1 Native Range and Status in the United States

Native Range
From Eschmeyer et al. (2018):

“Amazon River basin and northeastern Guiana Shield rivers: Brazil, Ecuador, Colombia, Bolivia and French Guiana.”
**Status in the United States**

From NatureServe (2017):

“Reported but not known to be established in Florida; M. ROOSEVELTI [sic; a synonym of *M. lippincottianus*, see Remarks] has been reported from Kentucky (Fuller et al. 1999).”

From Nico et al. (2018):

“A member of this genus was collected in Florida from a lake on Marco Island, Collier County in January, 1980 (FSBC 19822; listed as *Metynnis lippincottianus* in Courtenay et al. 1984, and as *Metynnis* sp. in Courtenay and Stauffer 1990 and in Courtenay et al. 1991). A reproducing population was found in Halpatiokee Regional Park Conservation Area in Martin County in 2005, with additional specimens taken in 2006 and 2007 (Shafland et al. 2008; Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission 2009). In Kentucky, a single fish (originally identified as a piranha and as *Metynnis roosevelti*) was taken by hook and line from Lighthouse Lake, Louisville, Jefferson County, in the summer of 1981 (Anonymous 1981; Fossett 1981).”

“There is considerable confusion surrounding the Kentucky record. In original published accounts, the fish was identified as a piranha, but the scientific name provided was *Metynnis roosevelti* (= *Metynnis maculatus*). However, in a photograph of the fish accompanying the newspaper article (Fossett 1981), the specimen actually appears to have a short adipose fin and is probably a pacu, possibly *Piaractus brachypomus*. The collectors gave the live fish to the Louisville Zoo, where it was kept in aquaria; when the fish later died, it was supposedly not preserved. The Kentucky specimen has been the basis for inclusion of the species in published lists of non-established foreign species, with earlier listings identifying it as *Metynnis roosevelti* (e.g., Courtenay et al. 1984) and later simply as *Metynnis* sp. (i.e., Courtenay and Stauffer 1990; Courtenay et al. 1991).”

*Metynnis lippincottianus* is advertised from an aquarium supplier in Lakeland, Florida (Imperial Tropicals 2015) as well as other online suppliers.

**Means of Introductions in the United States**

From Nico et al. (2018):

“Records [for *Metynnis* sp.] mostly likely represent aquarium releases.”

**Remarks**

There is no consensus on an English common name for *M. lippincottianus*. It is referred to as A Metynnis (NatureServe 2017), Silver Dollar (NatureServe 2017), and Spotted Silver Dollar (Imperial Tropicals 2015).

All synonyms were used to search for information for this report, in addition to the accepted scientific name.

From Ota et al. (2016):

“Taxonomic confusion is rife in the literature dealing with *Metynnis* species. There are 28 nominal species and only around half of them are recognized as valid. The elevated number of synonyms is probably a consequence of the enormous variability of body shape and color pattern, which in turn are highly influenced by ontogeny and sexual dimorphism (Zarske & Géry, 1999; Jégu, 2003; Pavanelli et al., 2009; Ota et al., 2013). As a consequence, there is little information about the phylogenetic relationships among species of *Metynnis*.”

## 2 Biology and Ecology

### Taxonomic Hierarchy and Taxonomic Standing

From ITIS (2018):

“Kingdom Animalia
Phylum Chordata
Subphylum Vertebrata
Superclass Osteichthyes
Class Actinopterygii
Subclass Neopterygii
Infraclass Teleostei
Superorder Ostariophysi
Order Characiformes
Family Characidae
Genus *Metynnis* Cope, 1878
Species *Metynnis lippincottianus* (Cope, 1870)”

“Taxonomic Status: valid”

### Size, Weight, and Age Range

From Froese and Pauly (2017):

“Max length : 16.4 cm SL male/unsexed; [Cella-Ribeiro et al. 2015]; max. published weight: 205.37 g [Cella-Ribeiro et al. 2015]”

### Environment

From Froese and Pauly (2017):

“Freshwater; pelagic; pH range: 5.5 - 7.5; dH range: ? – 22.”

“[… 23°C - 27°C [Riehl and Baensch 1991; assumed to represent recommended aquarium water temperature]”
From NatureServe (2017):

“Riverine Habitat(s): Low gradient.”

**Climate/Range**
From Froese and Pauly (2017):

“Tropical […]”

**Distribution Outside the United States**
Native
From Eschmeyer et al. (2018):

“Amazon River basin and northeastern Guiana Shield rivers: Brazil, Ecuador, Colombia, Bolivia and French Guiana.”

Introduced
From Beltrão et al. (2009):

“Gramame reservoir (located 7º 17’ 40.42” S and 34º 57’ 28.25” W, in the state of Paraíba, Brazil).”

From Assis et al. (2017):

“[…] downstream of the Xingó Dam, lower São Francisco River, Brazil.”

Garcia et al. (2018) report non-native occurrences of *Metynnis lippincottianus* in the Paranapanema River basin [southeastern Brazil], specifically in Rosana Reservoir, Taquaruçu Reservoir, Capivara Reservoir, Tibagi River, Canoas I Reservoir, Canoas II Reservoir, Salto Grande Reservoir, and Jurumirim Reservoir. It is not clear whether *M. lippincottianus* is established in these locations.

From Zeni et al. (2015):


**Means of Introduction Outside the United States**
From Garcia et al. (2018):

“Nine South American freshwater ecoregions provided 38 of the 47 non-native fishes present in the [Paranapanema River] basin (83% of all introductions) […]. The regions of native origin of
these species were primarily Paraguay and the Lower Paraná ecoregions, the Amazonas, Orinoco, Guianas, and Uruguay River basins. The importance of the Lower Paraná ecoregion as a donor region is reflected in the main introduction vector being the flooding of the Sete Quedas Falls via Itaipu Dam construction (24 of the 47 non-native fishes) […]. The native origins of the introduced fishes from outside of South America were Africa, Asia, North America and Central America, with their vectors primarily mainly being aquaculture, fish stocking and the release of ornamental fish (via the aquarium trade).”

**Short Description**
No information available.

**Biology**
From Froese and Pauly (2017):


From Beltrão et al. (2009):

“*Metynnis lippincottianus* and *Crenicichla menezesi* were more associated with the habitat in areas where riparian vegetation was removed […].”

From Sá-Oliveira et al. (2013):

“Plant material was ingested by herbivorous species, such as *Metynnis lippincottianus*.”

**Human Uses**
From Froese and Pauly (2017):

“Aquarium: commercial.”

*Metynnis lippincottianus* is advertised from an aquarium supplier in Lakeland, Florida (Imperial Tropicals 2015) as well as other online suppliers.

**Diseases**
No OIE-reportable diseases have been documented for this species.

From Hoshino and Tavares-Dias (2014):

“The present study provides the first investigation on the ecological aspects of the parasites *M. lippincottianus [sic]* from the Amazonian basin, as well as the parasite-host relationship. 76 out of the examined fish (98.7%) were parasitized by at least one species of parasites. A total of 8,774 parasites were collected, being *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis, Anacanthorus jegui,*
Dadayius pacupeva, Digenea gen. sp. (metacercariae), Procamallanus (Spirocamallanus) inopinatus, Procamallanus (Spirocamallanus) sp., Spinoxyris oxydoras, Contracaecum sp. larvae, Dolops longicauda and Hirudinea gen. sp.”

From Moreira et al. (2009):

“We examined 44 specimens of Metynnis lippincottianus (Cope, 1870) (Characidae), collected in the Upper Paraná River floodplain, Brazil, from March 2006 to December 2007. Of the total number of fishes, 32 (72.7 %) were infected by at least one species of helminth (endoparasites). One digenean, Dadayus pacupeva, and four nematode species, Spinoxyris oxydoras, Contracaecum sp. (larval stage), Procamallanus (Spirocamallanus) inopinatus and Raphidascaris (Sprentascaris) mahnerti were identified.”

**Threat to Humans**

From Froese and Pauly (2017):

“Harmless.”

**3 Impacts of Introductions**

From Beltrão et al. (2009):

“The present study reveals a depauperate fish fauna in the Gramame reservoir, when compared to the fauna before the impoundment (Torelli et al. 1997, Gomes-Filho and Rosa 2001), with only four species recorded and two of them introduced (non-native) […] Furthermore, the introduction of exotic species such as the piscivorous Cichla ocellaris and the highly prolific Metynnis lippincottianus may have led to the loss of native species due to competition and predation, as mentioned for other reservoirs (Gurgel and Oliveira 1987, Godinho et al. 1994, Canan and Gurgel 1997).”
4 Global Distribution

Figure 1. Known global distribution of *Metynnis lippincottianus*, reported in South America. Map from GBIF Secretariat (2017). Points in the Orinoco River basin (Venezuela and eastern Colombia), east-central Brazil, and Upper Paraguay River basin (near the intersection of Bolivia, Brazil, and Paraguay) were excluded from the climate matching analysis because the establishment status of the species could not be confirmed for those areas.

5 Distribution Within the United States

There is currently no known distribution of *Metynnis lippincottianus* within the United States; however, unidentified species of *Metynnis* are listed as locally established in Florida. *Metynnis* in Florida were initially identified as *M. lippincottianus*, but that identification was later revised to an unidentified species from the genus *Metynnis* (see Status in the United States, above).

6 Climate Matching

Summary of Climate Matching Analysis

The Climate 6 score (Sanders et al. 2018; 16 climate variables; Euclidean distance) for the contiguous United States was 0.041, which is medium. The range for a medium climate match is between 0.005 and 0.103. East-central Florida had a high climate match, with medium match
throughout the southeastern United States from Maryland to coastal Texas and as far north as Kentucky. The remainder of the contiguous United States showed a low climate match.

**Figure 2.** RAMP (Sanders et al. 2018) source map showing weather stations in South America selected as source locations (red; Brazil, French Guiana, Guyana, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Bolivia, Paraguay) and non-source locations (gray) for *Metynnis lippincottianus* climate matching. Source locations from GBIF Secretariat (2017). An additional location in eastern Brazil was added based on Assis et al. (2017).
Figure 3. Map of RAMP (Sanders et al. 2018) climate matches for *Metynnis lippincottianus* in the contiguous United States based on source locations reported by GBIF Secretariat (2017) and Assis et al. (2017). 0=Lowest match, 10=Highest match.

The “High”, “Medium”, and “Low” climate match categories are based on the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate 6: Proportion of (Sum of Climate Scores 6-10) / (Sum of total Climate Scores)</th>
<th>Climate Match Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000≤X&lt;0.005</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005&lt;X&lt;0.103</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥0.103</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7 Certainty of Assessment

Some peer-reviewed literature on the biology, distribution, and introductions of *Metynnis lippincottianus* is available. Information about possible impacts of *M. lippincottianus* is combined with another introduced species, making it impossible to know the individual contribution of each introduced species. Based on available data and taxonomic uncertainty, the certainty of this assessment is low.
8 Risk Assessment

Summary of Risk to the Contiguous United States

*Metynnis lippincottianus* is a freshwater fish native to the Amazon River basin and northeastern Guiana Shield rivers of South America. It has been introduced and appears to be established in other areas of Brazil. *M. lippincottianus* has also been observed in Florida, where it is currently in trade. It is not known whether this species has established in Florida. Impacts of this species have not been documented; the only information available is on the negative impacts of *M. lippincottianus* as part of a group of nonnative species, so it is unclear to what extent *M. lippincottianus* itself contributed to the negative impacts. The climate match with the contiguous United States is medium, with the highest matches in the Southeastern United States, especially in Florida and along the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts. Certainty of assessment is low. The overall risk assessment category for *Metynnis lippincottianus* is uncertain due to limited information about the impacts of this species.

Assessment Elements

- History of Invasiveness (Sec. 3): None Documented
- Climate Match (Sec. 6): Medium
- Certainty of Assessment (Sec. 7): Low
- Overall Risk Assessment Category: Uncertain
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