

Draft Minutes
Trinity Adaptive Management Working Group
Trinity County Library, 211 Main St., Weaverville, CA

Wednesday, March 24, 2010

Start of meeting: 9:00 AM

Attending members:

Member:	Representative Seat:
Arnold Whitridge	Trinity County Resident
Ed Duggan	Willow Creek Community Services District
Tom Weseloh	California Trout, Inc.
Tim Viel	Natural Resources Conservation Service
Pat Frost	Trinity County Resource Conservation District
Richard Lorenz	Trinity County Resident
Joe McCarthy	Commercial Fishing Guide
Dana Hord	Big Bar Community Development Group
Gil Saliba	Redwood Regional Audubon Society
David Steinhauser	Trinity River Rafting, Inc.
Byron Leydecker	Friends of the Trinity River
Sandy Denn	Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District
Jeffrey Sutton ¹	Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority

¹ arrived at start of discussion of item 8.

Members that did not attend:

Member:	Representative Seat:
Emelia Berol	Northcoast Environmental Center
Elizabeth Hadley	City of Redding Electric Utility Department
Ann Hayden	Environmental Defense

Designated Federal Officer: Randy Brown, Fish and Wildlife Service, Arcata, CA.

1. Welcome, Introductions, Adopt Agenda and Approval of December Minutes

Arnold Whitridge opened the meeting and asked to add in a presentation on wildlife just before or after lunch. The Trinity Adaptive Management Working Group (TAMWG) members introduced themselves. The TAMWG next addressed the December minutes.

Ed Duggan made a motion to accept the December 2009 minutes.

Sandy Denn seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

2. Open Forum and Public Comment

Kelli Gant, a citizen from the community of Trinity Center and a representative of a citizens group from Trinity Lake, the Trinity Lake Revitalization Alliance, spoke. She passed out a letter introducing the Alliance to the TAMWG (Other Document 1). She expressed a concern that the water of the lake is being used in an “experimental way” and this does not have enough “scientific oversight.” She mentioned that many of her Alliance members do not understand the concept of using lake water to “roll rocks down the river.” She said they support the Trinity River Restoration Project (TRRP) and they support the cold water concept. She asked that the TAMWG to support their efforts in working with the Forest Service to extend boat ramps to reach the low water in the reservoir, to move marinas and to move campgrounds.

Byron Leydecker pointed out that the low lake level is not the result of the TRRP, but is the result of the Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) which has over-committed water deliveries to out-of-basin users. He said there has to be a change in the Central Valley Project (CVP) operations and they should not be able to take more than 53 % of the water. He also noted his concern for maintaining cool water temperatures in the river. He is advocating for a cold water pool in the lake.

Richard Lorenz asked that the Alliance join the TAMWG.

Ed Duggan asked that the Alliance get on the communications list and that they also attend workgroups and meetings. They would get a better idea of the program and would realize that the Alliance interests are the same as the TRRP interests.

Tom Weseloh suggested that TAMWG take up the boat ramp and recreation issues as an agenda item for the next meeting.

Arnold Whitridge also handed out copies of a letter from Gail Goodyear, a representative of the landowners along Reading Creek that gives their reasons why they do not wish to participate in TRRP projects on their land (Other Document 2).

Tom Weseloh announced that the California Trout Stream Keeper Award is being awarded to Arnold Whitridge. Weseloh then presented the award to Whitridge. Whitridge expressed appreciation.

3. Election of TAMWG Chair and Vice-chair for 2010

Arnold Whitridge opened the floor for nominations for chair and vice-chair for 2010.

Rich Lorenz nominated Arnold Whitridge for chairman of TAMWG for 2010.

Byron Leydecker seconded the nominated.

Ed Duggan moved that the nominations be closed and the TAMWG vote for Whitridge using unanimous consent.

Dana Hord seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Whitridge next opened the floor for nominations for vice-chair for 2010.

Tim Viel nominated Tom Weseloh for vice chair.

Pat Frost seconded.

The vote passed unanimously.

4. Designated Federal Officer Topics

Randy Brown presented topics related to the operations of the TAMWG. He mentioned discussions of having Jim Feider and Spreck Rosekrans return to the TAMWG. He noted that Feider has not responded and may not come back. Rosekrans is interested and may be re-appointed.

Brown reported that the TAMWG charter will be sent back to Washington DC in the next few months for renewal signing.

5. TMC Chair Report

Brian Person, the TMC chair, could not attend this meeting and Don Reck, Environmental Chief for the Northern Area Bureau of Reclamation Office was present for Person.

Reck reported that the BOR Regional Director has expressed support for the TRRP and Person is back in Washington DC working on ensuring continued budget support for the TRRP.

The Bureau is going through the steps of hiring a new Executive Director of the TRRP.

Whitridge noted that Person has invited one of the TAMWG members to sit in on the selection committee that hires the new Executive Director. Tom Weseloh asked that more than one TAMWG member participate in the initial screening steps.

Tom Weseloh brought up the continuing lack of response by the TMC to the various requests for information and action put forth by the TAMWG. These requests include the BOR position on issues such as changes to the operations of the hatchery or how the CVP plans to protect the Trinity River. Weseloh said that a "no" response is acceptable, but no response is not acceptable.

6. Acting Executive Director's Report

Jennifer Faler is currently the Acting Executive Director of the TRRP and passed out the Executive Director's written report (Attachment 1) prepared by Mike Hamman. Mike Hamman has now resigned as Executive Director and has accepted a promotion within the BOR. Faler commented on the failure of the land owner on Reading Creek to cooperate with the restoration project planned for that area. The landowner did not accept three elements of the restoration design. They did not want to add gravel, did they want to add a floodplain that might bring the river closer to their property, nor did they want to have wood added. Faler acknowledged that communication was a problem at first, but more formal meetings were held later. Faler noted that this only reduced the overall project by 10 to 20 %. She noted that problems arise when a landowner uses the area for productive uses such as gravel extraction or where there are many landowners and all have to agree simultaneously.

Faler next noted the highpoints from the written report. One effort is the ongoing organizational refinement and relations between the BOR and the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and relations between the Department of Interior (DOI) and the Hoopa Valley Tribe. She noted that these processes are ongoing and are making progress, slowly.

A second effort is the Budget Update. Disagreements over the annual budgets have plagued the program for several years and efforts have been made to streamline this process. One new effort is the introduction of a master budget planning tool.

Byron Leydecker asked about the progress of introducing a Request for Proposal (RFP) process. Joe Polos was asked to talk about the RFP during the budget discussion later on.

A third effort is the production of an annual report. The report is scheduled for release on August 2010. It was suggested that the TAMWG contribute to this effort. This discussion led to the idea of the need to have reports from TAMWG members about the various workgroups in which they are participating. Tom Weseloh suggested revisiting work group assignments and a regularly agendized reporting structure for the next TAMWG meeting.

A fourth effort is flow scheduling and this will be presented as a separate item during this meeting.

A fifth effort is the program operating agreement plan. The Trinity Management Council (TMC) gives full support to improve working relationships.

Faler summarized the other various accomplishments: selection of a Science Program Coordinator, work on a 5-year budget, AFA and ARRA funds, work on data collection, design of projects, TRRP Science Symposium, flow schedule for a Normal water year.

7. FWS Arcata Office Report

Randy Brown gave an update from the Arcata Office. He commented the effort to make the work of the Arcata Office to be more “seamless” with the TRRP. Ernie Clark has been hired as the new Science Coordinator. Clark spoke to the group. He had worked as a biologist with the Corps of Engineers in Florida and has acted as a liaison between the Corps and the National Park Service. Other past work includes bird work in southern California. He has a MS degree in ecology from the University of Maryland. He is new to the program and is reading many of the restoration documents.

Whitridge noted that during the interviewing process, Clark appeared to be quite knowledgeable about the various documents and the history of the TRRP. Clark replied that he is familiar with the “slow process of working through large restoration projects” and compared the TRRP to the Everglade restoration project—noting that the TRRP may be more advanced.

Brown next introduced Nancy Findley as the new FWS Arcata Office Supervisor. Findley spoke to the group noting this is her third day on the job. Her background includes FWS in Florida and Virginia, a liaison to the EPA, and work with the National Park Service. She cited her experience as a science and resource coordinator for Smokey Mountains National Park.

Rich Lorenz asked if Findley could help to push for “more decisiveness and action” from the TMC. Findley commented that she doesn’t have a problem making decisions and added that she is a “very practical and applied sort of person.”

Brown next mentioned he would now be able to return to his normal routine of work and he also noted his appreciation for Joe Polo’s efforts and progress he has made on Trinity River issues.

8. 2010 Water Year Planning

Rod Wittler presented on the status of the reservoir water supplies and temperature management. He passed out a set of tables and graphs on the planned water exports from Trinity Reservoir and the results of in-river modeling of this summer’s water temperatures (Attachment 2). Wittler noted that the 2010 water year appears to be shaping up as a “Normal” water year and that inflow to Trinity Reservoir is forecast to be about 1,310

thousand acre (TAF) feet at a 50 % exceedance probability and is 1088 TAF at 90 % exceedance probability. (The % exceedance probability is the chance that the actual flow will exceed a specific level.) Statewide, and as of March 22, snow water content is slightly above average. Diversions through the Carr power plant are planned to be about 270 TAF. At flows equal to the 90 % exceedance level (i.e., lower flows) there would be a reduction of 90 TAF in storage over the year. At flows equal to 50 % exceedance, there would be an increase of 250 TAF in storage in the reservoir.

Wittler presented information on modeled in-river temperatures. He modeled a range of temperature outflows from Lewiston (50 to 54 F) and under a “median” meteorology and an “extreme” meteorology. Under the median meteorology, the model showed that at only the 54 F release from Lewiston would in-river temperatures exceed the target of 60 F at Douglas City in July or the 56 F target at North Fork in October. The model showed that situation gets worse at extreme meteorology. Under extreme meteorology, the model showed that in-river temperatures would exceed the temperature targets at Douglas City at both 54 and 52 F releases at Lewiston. The model showed that the extreme scenario exceeds the temperature targets at North Fork under all temperature releases at Lewiston.

Jennifer Faler projected graphs on the current thinking for the 2010 flow release schedule and passed out two handouts (Attachment 3a and 3b). She projected a graph that showed the proposed modifications to the Record of Decision (ROD) flows for a Normal water year. One aspect of the proposed change is to shift the date of the peak flow earlier. This shift is hoped to facilitate seed dispersal of trees and egg laying of Yellow-legged frogs. Another aspect of the proposed change is to introduce a “mid-bench” at 4,600 cfs to create greater flooding of floodplains in order to facilitate the rooting of seedlings. A third aspect of the proposed change was altered “benches” to coordinate/facilitate the habitat assessment work.

Rich Lorenz noted that most of these modifications were being done for “non-fish issues.” Joe Polos responded that one bench shift was being done to initiate earlier smolt outmigration so as to have juveniles avoid high temperatures in the Klamath River that commonly develops.

Rod Wittler noted that one proposed change in a bench (decrease in flow at July 1) may exacerbate warm temperatures in the river. Tom Weseloh noted that this issue was known and that a “balancing effort” was used by the flow group to come up with the changes. He reiterated Polos’ concern noting that holding the bench past July 1 may hold the smolts in the Trinity longer and have them face warm water in the Klamath River upon late out migration.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend the TMC accept these proposed changes to the ROD flows, if the water year does turn out to be a Normal year. If the April 1 forecast changes into a Wet year, a Wet year hydrograph should be developed and TAMWG should review and comment on the proposed wet year hydrograph via conference call.

Byron Leydecker seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that minimum pool storage of 1 million acre-feet be established for Trinity Reservoir for 2010.

Rich Lorenz seconded the motion.

The motion passed with 11 “yes” votes and 2 “no” vote.

Jeff Sutton said while he supports the idea he could not support the motion based mainly on ideological grounds. Sandy Denn also said she supports the idea but voiced concerns that given the uncertainties with climate change and the “needs on the Sacramento side,” the motion could create problems. These two members were given the opportunity to submit a short note regarding their position to the chairman to transmit to the TMC.

The discussion next shifted to late season or fall releases from Trinity Reservoir in the case the “triggers” for flow release develop.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that no portion of the ROD water volumes be used for later season releases for flow or temperature problems that may occur on the lower Klamath, that necessary flows should come from the Klamath system, and that later season pulses from the Trinity may have deleterious effects on fish and wildlife not formerly analyzed.

Ed Duggan seconded the motion.

The motion passed with 11 votes.

Dana Hord and David Steinhauser abstained.

Hord voiced concerns that failure to release flows could hurt the fish. Weseloh explained that releases could hurt the fish by attracting fish to upriver areas of greater danger.

9. 2010 Budget Update; 2011 Budget Proposal

Jennifer Faler introduced the budget topic and passed the presentation to Joe Polos to give an update on the Request for Proposals (RFP) process. Polos projected a flow chart for a “Proposal Process.” This showed a series of steps to be taken for acceptance, review, and approval of proposals. It is anticipated that not all steps of the flow chart would occur this year. For example, independent review would occur next year. The process would be initiated this year to help get the project managers used to the proposal process. He discussed how conflict of interest would be handled and that the RFP would need to relate to the Integrated Assessment Plan (IAP). Everyone will have to do a proposal. He showed a timeline with approval of proposals by June 16.

Jennifer Faler next introduced the budget and handed out a print out of the 2011 budget with comparisons to the 2010 budget (Attachment 4). She noted that 2011 is a “good budget

year” and is \$5 million above the originally projected budget for 2010. However, she noted that in 2010 an additional source of \$5 million were found (ARRA) and the budget now shows that the 2011 is slightly less than 2010. The budget for 2011 is \$15.1 million with program administration of \$2.9 million, Rehabilitation of \$6.4 million, and Science of \$5.7 million.

Faler next covered the details of the budget. There was discussion about whether the administration costs were actually for administration or whether they were partially being used to support other specific projects. Tom Weseloh noted that some of the administration costs were being spent on “project wide tasks” such as setting the flow schedule or attending workgroup meetings, and this should be shown in the budget. Showing the budget in this manner would provide more detail and would also reduce the administration costs. No resolution was proposed.

Faler went over the Rehabilitation budget. Answering questions, she noted there was an extra \$200,000 for property acquisition. She noted that they typically go to Bureau of Land Management (BLM) for land purchases, but that the BOR will not use condemnation as a tool. Faler also stated her desire to document which changes in the program have been adopted via “adaptive management.” She noted that projects are getting bigger in part to attempt to “more quickly move habitat restoration along.” Responding to questions about bids and cost savings with either IDIQ contracts or competitive bidding, Faler explained how budget complications and threats of losing ARRA funds forced her to go to 8a contractors. This required a variance to be issued from Washington and this means that costs will be similar to previous years.

Tom Weseloh asked why extra shifts of funds were not going into the watershed program. He noted that it appeared that extra funds were being put into the Science program. Byron Leydecker also noted that the watersheds or tributaries are where the coho (a listed species) and steelhead spawn and rear.

Regarding the Science budget, Sandy Denn asked about the \$225,000 budgeted for data management. She hoped that this was not just for “paper management.” Faler said no, that there is a good amount of data being collected and that it needs to be integrated into the program. Management of data has been identified as a problem with the program. There have been some entities that have not provided data summaries and there is an existing backlog. There is an ambitious effort to organize a data base that is web-accessible.

The source or basis of the 3 % cost of living increase was asked, given that the cost of living was estimated by Social Security to have gone down.

10. Integrated Habitat Assessment Report

Joe Polos handed out copies of the proposal for this year’s planned activities in the Integrated Habitat Assessment (Attachment 5). He started a PowerPoint presentation and noted they prefer to call the project the “Interdisciplinary” Habitat Assessment Project (IHAP). The purpose is to assess the effectiveness of the Program in creating aquatic habitat. A number of sites will be visited this year. Andrea Davis of the Hoopa Tribe next gave an update on the

project. She stressed that the measures being made on the ground come directly from the IAP which come directly from the Flow Study. Jamie Battaso of the FWS gave an update on some of the field studies being proposed for amphibians. Justin Alvarez, of the Hoopa Tribe described efforts to quantify juvenile fish habitat and to assess the effects of restoration. He showed a graph that showed the Bucktail site has measured increases in fish habitat post-construction. He described their spawning habitat and woody debris monitoring efforts. They have plans to develop a two-dimensional flow model to predict fish habitat at any flow.

Ed Duggan asked about increased redds in the side channels. Alvarez said that yes, they are finding redds in side channel. Gil Saliba asked how the habitat surveys are integrating with the modeling efforts and if they can reduce uncertainty. Davis noted that that the same people are doing the modeling and the designing of further projects. Tom Weseloh asked if fish will come to the habitat. Alvarez admitted that they don't know, but they have developed their criteria from dives where they have observed fish use. But, they are not counting fish in the surveys—they are attempting to develop better relationships between habitat and fish use. Weseloh opined that steelhead may not be properly addressed in this project. He also asked about a bird habitat program. Lastly he would like to see the budget broken down for various tasks of this project. Gil Saliba asked if they may be looking at the tributaries. Tim Hayden noted the importance of habitat suitability criteria and that these will be used to drive the 2-D model to predict habitat for species and life stages. Sherri Miller noted that integration of the bird work has not happened with the IHAP. Arnold Whitridge asked that the team integrate wildlife into the habitat assessment project.

11. Area-of-Origin Water Rights

This item was discussed following Item 8 to fit Jeff Sutton's schedule. Jeff Sutton of the Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority explained the status of area-of-origin water rights and how this fits into the lawsuit the Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority is filing against the Bureau of Reclamation. Sutton first noted the historic problems that were associated with the water appropriations that occurred in the Owens Valley. In the Central Valley, a rule was established that the uses of water in the basin of origin (area-of-origin uses) had priority over other rights outside the basin. As the Central Valley Project (CVP) was established, the Federal government took over management of the project and the management of water rights. At first water was in excess, but demands grew. In recent years cuts to users been made to the various users including the Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority. The Authority has had to spend \$9 million to purchase water in the recent years to keep permanent crops from failing. The Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority is seeking via litigation to have the area-of-origin rights be followed. He stressed that they "are not seeking Trinity water."

Byron Leydecker commended Sutton for the actions the Authority are taking. Without standing up and demanding that the area of origin rights be honored, "the rivers of northern California will be dried up."

Weseloh stated he supports area of origin rights and asked if TAMWG needed to take any action. Sutton noted that no action by the TAMWG is being sought; he was simply presenting this topic for informational purposes.

A note on bird monitoring with the TRRP sites

Before returning to the regular schedule of items, Sherri Miller made a presentation on bird monitoring as part of the TRRP. She gave an update on Hocker Flat, one of the first reconstruction sites. They have pre- and post-monitoring of bird use at the site. The abundance of birds dropped right after construction, but rebounded after 2 years. The age ratio (young to adults) spiked right after construction and then returned to normal. They are following birds and their response to restoration over the entire project.

The meeting agenda next returned to Item 9.

12. TAMWG Recommendations

The TAMWG took up the topic of what recommendation should be made to the TMC. Rich Lorenz noted two letters written by the TAMWG in June and September. Given that no responses have been received, Lorenz suggested this be brought up to the TMC. Arnold Whitridge noted that the TMC uses the "Action Tracker" (Attachment 6).

Tom Weseloh listed several issues that still need to be addressed by the TMC. There was still more discussion about how to continue to push the TMC on these issues. Whitridge noted the receipt of a letter from Person as one sign of progress. Lorenz suggested that Whitridge make a motion at the TMC meeting in order to get the TMC to decide issues. Jennifer Faler asked if many of these issues could be dealt with in a joint meeting. Whitridge thought the TMC needs to make "certain resolutions" before simply making decisions. Leydecker noted the TMC has "conflict of interests." Weseloh wondered whether the TMC members are "too busy between meetings to make any progress." Lorenz suggested that "they do not have the time, whereas the TAMWG does." Gil Saliba suggested that this is a "delicate issue" and that Whitridge needs to approach it carefully. Leydecker suggested that Whitridge go down the list of the Action Tracker during the next TMC meeting. Whitridge summarized that he "gets the point" from this discussion.

The discussion next switched to the approval of the 2011 budget and Whitridge noted that there seems to be a growth of administration costs and that "on the ground" work needs to be greater. Whitridge noted that the public may "not like" the high administration costs, but to change this may require "a re-invention of government." Whitridge finally noted the "moving some items out of administration" may be a good idea.

The TAMWG continued with wrap up issues. Whitridge asked Vina Fry to schedule a conference call to address any changes in water year classification. The call would occur after the flow meeting.

Pat Frost made a motion for the chairman to write a letter of appreciation to Mike Hamman

Jeff Sutton seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion to recommend adoption of the proposed budget with two caveats: 1) the budget identifies full program funding is \$2 million for watersheds and 2) watersheds have a minimum of \$500,000 budgeted per year with specific identification of potential funds that come available be directed to watersheds.

Dana Hord seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Weseloh asked that Whitridge present two other items at the next TMC meeting. First, Whitridge should recommend that the TMC write a letter of acknowledgement to Don Glazer for securing additional TRRP funding. Second, that the TMC generally adhere to the 50:30:20 budget allocation and how administration is allocated (program wide activities could be broken out separately). There was general agreement from TAMWG members to have Whitridge present these concerns to the TMC.

13. Tentative Date and Agenda Topics for Next Meeting

Boat ramps, lake related recreation, and workgroups/TAMWG participation.

The meeting was adjourned.

LIST OF MOTIONS

Ed Duggan made a motion to accept the September 2009 minutes.

Sandy Denn seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Rich Lorenz nominated Arnold Whitridge for chairman of TAMWG for 2010.

Byron Leydecker seconded the nominated.

Ed Duggan moved that the nominations be closed and the TAMWG vote for Whitridge using unanimous consent.

Dana Hord seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Tim Viel nominated Tom Weseloh for vice chair.

Pat Frost seconded.

The vote passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend the TMC accept these proposed changes to the ROD flows, if the water year does turn out to be a Normal year. If the April 1 forecast changes into a Wet year, a Wet year hydrograph should be developed and TAMWG should review and comment on the proposed wet year hydrograph via conference call.

Byron Leydecker seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that minimum pool storage of 1 million acre-feet be established for Trinity Reservoir for 2010.

Rich Lorenz seconded the motion.

The motion passed with 11 “yes” votes and 2 “no” vote.

Tom Weseloh made a motion that the TAMWG recommend that no portion of the ROD water volumes be used for later season releases for flow or temperature problems that may occur on the lower Klamath, that necessary flows should come from the Klamath system, and that later season pulses from the Trinity may have deleterious effects on fish and wildlife not formerly analyzed.

Ed Duggan seconded the motion.

The motion passed with 11 votes.

Dana Hord and David Steinhauser abstained.

Pat Frost made a motion for the chairman to write a letter of appreciation to Mike Hamman

Jeff Sutton seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

Tom Weseloh made a motion to recommend adoption of the proposed budget with two caveats: 1) the budget identifies full program funding is \$2 million for watersheds and 2) watersheds have a minimum of \$500,000 budgeted per year with specific identification of potential funds that come available be directed to watersheds.

Dana Hord seconded the motion.

The motion passed unanimously.

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS

Attachment 1: Memo to TAMWG Chair members from Mike A. Hamman, former Executive Director and Jennifer Faler, Acting Executive Director, Trinity River Restoration Program. Subject: Director's Report, March 18, 2010. Handed out by Jennifer Faler.

Attachment 2: Set of tables and graphs on-reservoir flows and in-river temperatures. Handed out by Rod Wittler.

Attachment 3a: 2010 Normal Year Release Schedule Recommended. List of flow (cfs) under the ROD and under the new proposed changes. Handed out by Jennifer Faler.

Attachment 3b: The management targets and associated purposes of the normal year ROD hydrograph and proposed 2010 revisions. March 19, 2010. Handed out by Jennifer Faler.

Attachment 4: FY2011 TRRP proposed budget and work plan. Handed out by Jennifer Faler.

Attachment 5: Interdisciplinary habitat assessment plan of the Upper Trinity River: Work plan FY 2010. Handed out by Joe Polos.

Attachment 6: TAMWG Action Tracker Open Issues 3/19/2010. Handed out by Jennifer Faler.

Other Document 1: Memo/Letter dated March 24, 2010, to the Trinity Adaptive Management Working Group and Randy Brown, Acting Field Supervisor introducing the Trinity Lake Revitalization Alliance. Handed out by Kelli Gant.

Other Document 2: Memo/Letter dated March 24, 2010 to the Trinity River Adaptive Management Group from Gail Goodyear of Reading's Creek Tree Farm listing concerns over the planned project near Reading Creek. Handed out by Arnold Whitridge.