

FINAL MINUTES

***KLAMATH RIVER BASIN FISHERIES TASK FORCE
MEETING***

June 21-22, 2001

Shilo Inn

Klamath Falls, Oregon

June 21, 2001

Agendum 1. Convene and Opening Remarks

Representative Seat

California Commercial Salmon Industry
California Department of Fish and Game
California In-River Sport Fishing Community
Del Norte County
Hoopa Valley Tribe
Humboldt County
Karuk Tribe
Klamath County
Klamath Tribe
National Marine Fisheries Service
Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
Siskiyou County
Trinity County
U.S. Department of Interior/Task Force Chair
U.S. Department of Agriculture
Yurok Tribe

Members Present

Dave Bitts
Mike Rode
Not represented
Chuck Blackburn
Mike Orcutt (alternate), Vice Chair
Paul Kirk
Ronnie Pierce (alternate)
Don Russell
Elwood Miller, Jr.
Don Reck
Keith Wilkinson
Joan Smith
Chris Erikson
John Engbring, Chair
Margaret Boland
Dave Hillemeier

The following members were not present: Kent Bulfinch, California In-River Sport Fishing Community.

Agendum 2. Business

Agendum 2a. Adoption of Agenda

Keith Wilkinson requested deferring Agendum 5a to Agendum 19. John Engbring said Dr. Hardy was unable to attend and would speak at the first Task Force meeting following completion of Phase 2. Dave Hillemeier requested a discussion of the petition for listing of green sturgeon. It was added to Agendum 5b. Dave Hillemeier asked that a discussion of hydrographs and potential fish kills for Shasta and Scott rivers be added to Agendum 19.

****Motion** Keith Wilkinson moved to adopt the amended agenda.**

****Second** Chris Erikson seconded the motion.**

****Motion Carried** unanimously.**

Agendum 2b. Business. Adoption of minutes from February

The following edits were made: Under Agendum 16b, the last line should read “Fisheries were managed to meet the floor in eight of the last 10 years.”; under Agendum 12a, first paragraph, last sentence, should read “will be identified and discussed” instead of “included.”; Agendum 15b, item LH-8, should read “rainbow” instead of “redband” trout.

****Motion** Keith Wilkinson moved to adopt the amended minutes of the last meeting.**
****Second** Paul Kirk seconded the motion.**
****Motion Carried** unanimously.**

Agendum 2c. Vice-Chair

Chuck Blackburn will serve as Vice-Chair for this meeting and the October 2001 meeting.

Agendum 3. Introductions of Congressional Staff in attendance

There were no congressional staff in attendance.

Agendum 4. Brief Review of last meeting actions/general correspondence/program update

Laurie Simons reviewed the list of assignments and motions from the February 2001 meeting as follows:

Agendum 4: A discussion of Fire Safe Councils was included in the June meeting.

Agendum 5d: Mike Bird was contacted to obtain a list of projects. (*See Handout Agendum 4.*)

Agendum 5e: YFWO developed a list of major questions for possible Task Force reauthorization. (*See Handout Agendum 4.*)

Agendum 5e: YFWO identified the amount of shortfall from the original \$20 million authorization. John Engbring will address this issue.

Agendum 18: The planned June meeting of TWG and the Budget Committee was cancelled.

Agendum 18: Mike Rode spoke on this issue regarding whether the state of California can provide Klamath proposals for TWG to rank. He suggested that the Task Force send a short letter to Mike Bird stating that TWG would like to get involved in preliminary field review of projects in the Klamath Basin. This discussion was deferred. John Engbring said there needs to be a more standardized process of reviewing Klamath Basin proposals.

Agendum 18: A sentence is now included in the RFPs requesting that applicants include other funding sources they have applied for and received that year.

Agendum 18: Representatives from the Yurok and Karuk tribes have been chosen to serve on the Budget Subcommittee..

Agendum 18: YFWO has included language in the RFP on Sensitive, Threatened and Endangered species-related baseline monitoring studies in the RFP.

Agendum 18: Yreka FWO drafted a general letter to be included in a document to FERC, and received a response. (*See Handout Agendum 4.*)

Laurie Simons discussed all pertinent correspondence received and sent since the last meeting. (*See Handouts Agendum 4.*) These included the following: letters to PacificCorp (dated March 7 and 21) and the PacificCorp response (May 3), a memo from KFMC listing motions made at the April KFMC meeting, and the 1999/2000 and 2000/2001 CDFG funded projects on the Klamath River. She then reviewed the list of Task Force unspent and incomplete Projects 3 years old and older as of June 1, 2001, including the two most pressing projects, Riparian Planting Evaluation (Great Northern Company) and Pine Creek

Stocks of Concern (Hoopa Valley Tribe). She said the YFWO is addressing completing the first project and the second will be pushed to late August. All other projects are on schedule. Laurie Simons said that this table helps the Yreka FWO identify those projects close to deadline, and as a result of YFWO phone calls and persistence, the amount of unspent money returned has dropped dramatically. She mentioned the final reports from the five sub-basins. The Task Force welcomed new member Margaret Boland, replacing Al Olson (filling in for Barbara Holden). Margaret Boland's alternate is Roberta Van de Water, representing the Dept. of Agriculture. (*See Handout Agendum 4*). Laurie Simons also discussed the list of questions drafted by the YFWO; it was decided to discuss this further under Agendum 19.

Agendum 5. Brief Updates and Announcements

Agendum 5a. Update from Long-term Funding Sub-committee.

This was deferred to Agendum 19, per request of Keith Wilkinson, who said recent focus on the Klamath Basin means new funding opportunities and this issue should be part of a larger funding discussion.

Agendum 5b. Update on Recovery Planning

Don Reck said appointment of NMFS' technical recovery teams is on schedule. The Southwest Fisheries Science Center is forwarding nominations to the regional director who will confirm the team members. The issue of consultants serving as team members has been resolved. However, lack of funding has constrained the list of applicants. Don Reck then discussed the petition received by NMFS to list green sturgeon. He said large river systems have been affected, including Sacramento, Klamath and Rogue rivers, and perhaps the Umpqua and Eel rivers. NMFS has 30 days to determine whether the petition has relevant scientific information to warrant a status review. If so, the petition will go into status review to determine whether green sturgeon should receive Threatened or Endangered status. Don Reck said he will find out who submitted the ESU for the West Coast sturgeon population.

Task Force Comment

Dave Hillemeier said there is a dearth of information on green sturgeon, which has made it difficult to get funding. Green sturgeon are only found on the West Coast and a few parts of Russia.

Agendum 5c. Report on FWS Congressional Funding Request

John Engbring explained that the YFWO looked into this and found that, out of the original \$20 million authorized for the Task Force for a 21-year period, about \$7 million remain. There are four years left of the Act, which translates into an extra \$.75 million per year. (FY 2003-2006). He said he will request this be included in the FY 2003 budget, but that it may be hard to recover the \$7 million without a large effort by private groups petitioning their congressional representatives. Paul Kirk asked that a discussion of how the Task Force would spend the extra \$750,000/year be included in the October meeting agenda

****Assignment** Yreka FWO staff will include an update on the FWS congressional funding request on the October meeting agenda, and explore the question of how the Task Force might spend the additional \$750,000 in annual funding.**

Agendum 6. Report from the Klamath Fishery Management Council

Paul Kirk gave an update on the April KFMC meeting in Sacramento, which met concurrently with the PFMC. He summarized the handouts pertaining to KFMC. (*See Handouts Agendum 4*). The Council accepted Dr. Mary Ellen Mueller's resignation as chair and affirmed the nomination of Dan Viele as the new chair. He discussed the KFMC recommendation of full utilization of harvestable surplus fish originating from the Klamath River basin. The recommendation also states that the escapement rate of about 33% should be maintained; any such transfer has no effect on any party's share, entitlement or allocation in any future year. He said the KFMC is continuing the revision of the KOHM and will meet October 17-18 in Weaverville. Paul Kirk then discussed this year's abundance and the current season, including the much-debated issue of allocation of fish to the in-river sport fishery. Last year there were 4,200 fish; this year there are 30,000 fish, due to the abundance of returning 4 year olds. The KFMC is developing a model on allocation to the tribes in times of abundance. Paul Kirk then discussed the report by HAWG to the KFMC regarding introducing spring chinook into the model, and the KFMC letter to PacifiCorp.

Task Force Comment

Dave Hillemeier said the 29,000 fish allocation was due to ESA constraints. Mike Rode pointed out that a number of stocks would have been impacted if full access had been given to fall chinook and that constraints caused them to escape into the river in large numbers. Chuck Blackburn said the quotas were low for the last two years and there is not enough flexibility built into the regulations. He pointed out that the fishing season was closed two days before Labor Day yet there were plenty of fish. Mike Orcutt said that the premise was built on 150,000 surplus and that first the escapement goals must be met before discussing a surplus. There is an abundance of fish to harvest, however fish are distributed differently this season, with not many fish available south of Point Reyes, CA. Chuck Blackburn said that the estuaries in Crescent City and Brookings are full of schools of anchovies. Dave Hillemeier said the spring chinook fishery has not been very good and there is concern over wild stocks. In the past, there was a great abundance of fall chinook and steelhead; this is no longer true.

Agendum 7. Public Comment (General)

Felice Pace, Klamath Forest Alliance, spoke on several points. He explained that Sensitive Species is a USFS term with spring chinook as an example. He said KFA questions whether digging out previously installed instream structures is a good use of restoration funds. Another question is instream work and bank maintenance in the Scott River when there is not enough water for fish. KFA believes that upslope assessments and treatments focus on road problems and not on sediment delivery to streams. He also commented on the Upper Moffett Creek Watershed Road Erosion Assessment and Inventory, the Walker Creek project's deferred decommissioning of roads and the Clear Creek Project, which lacks a transportation plan. He said he is concerned that restoration funds are being used to upgrade roads. KFA has made a set of recommendations to CDFG. The Task Force should be aware that CDFG is giving support to sub-basin groups also. He stressed there should be a mechanism for acquiring water rights. He said upslope restoration should not be done if there is no water in the stream; instead, the funds should be spent on stock water rights. He suggested that the Upper Basin crisis discussion also address the issue of bald eagles not receiving water in the Klamath marshes, and said a drought discussion should include a discussion of biological rights as well as human rights.

Peter Brucker, Salmon River, reiterated that regulations must be flexible to respond to real-time issues regarding abundance during a fishing season, and that more information is needed about the spring chinook and green sturgeon runs. He mentioned the Spring Chinook Snorkel Dive July 25-26

Agendum 8. Report from Arcata FWO on Flow Study and other field studies

Bruce Halstead, Arcata FWO, discussed the Klamath River Fry Study funded by the Task Force in FY 2001-2002. This study looks at the type of habitat used by fry chinook, coho and steelhead. This information will be plugged into the USGS SIAM and Salmod Models and correlated in the IFIM study. Regarding the Flow Study, there is intensive water quality work going on currently with 10 labs set up on the Shasta and Scott rivers collecting and analyzing water. He stressed that flow is as important as water quality. The Arcata FWO is working with USGS to put in five more gages this fall and is running a screwtrap on Salmon River with the Karuk Tribe and Salmon River Restoration Council to identify numbers of fish. The staff would like to conduct habitat studies on the Shasta and Scott rivers but funds are low: the office received \$750,000 out of a requested \$4.5 million for the Klamath flow study. Bruce Halstead then gave some preliminary numbers of fish caught, as follows: It has been an average year at Big Bar with 12,000 fall chinook and a few hundred steelhead and coho. Between March 7-16 at the intersection of I-5 and the Klamath, 7,000 fall chinook, 150 coho and 50 steelhead were captured using a fike net, and only 8 were hatchery fish. This means a lot of young fish are moving down the river. The trap was moved downstream to the Seiad area, and from May 18-June 8 about 6,000 chinook, one coho and 24 steelhead were captured. These were all wild fish. Seines are being set to trap fish; 37 seines set between March and June yielded 18,000 chinook, 50 coho and 75 steelhead. Big Bar has been a problem because the traps are clogged with algae and there is not enough staff to keep the traps algae-free. The tribes are having the same problem of large amounts of algae covering their gill nets.

Task Force Comment

John Engbring asked whether seine sets can be used as an index; Bruce Halstead responded that this is the first year seine sets have been done in the river rather than estuaries. The information gathered will be correlated with habitat usages. John Engbring asked about using traps; Bruce Halstead said this is a historical way of measuring fry, but that this is the first year algae has been a problem so early in the season. He said there is a correlation between the outmigrant survey at Big Bar and the high numbers of fish being seen. Paul Kirk and Ronnie Pierce asked about fish conditions at Big Bar and were told that hiring more staff takes time and that only three of five staff positions are currently filled. Ronnie Pierce asked for a breakdown of how the \$750,000 has been budgeted. Chuck Blackburn asked the length of time it takes fish to get to the estuary; Bruce Halstead replied this depends on flow and that with good flows, it takes 20 days but three times as long during poor flows. There are good flows currently in the river with a projected time of 30-40 days. He noted that young fish do not like fast, deep water but want shallow areas. Keith Wilkinson asked about the release of hatchery fish; Bruce Halstead said the plan to stagger three major release periods was abandoned because of rising water temperatures and water loss and all fish were released at once.

Agendum 9. Fire Safe Councils

Jim Villeponteaux, Salmon River Restoration Council, described Fire Safe Councils as community groups that develop plans to decrease the likelihood of catastrophic fires. The Weeks Act, passed by Congress in 1911, created the still ongoing policy of fire suppression; this policy is partially responsible for the current outbreak of catastrophic fires, which denude riparian and upslope areas, and increase sediment production harmful to fish. In response to the current crisis, the National Fire Plan recommends that integrated fuels treatment teams from different federal agencies work closely with local communities to find local solutions. The California Fire Plan has many of the same components of the national Fire Plan in that community involvement is crucial to build fuel breaks to stop wildfires, create wildfire protection zones to buffer communities and create education and forest stewardship programs. The California State Fire Safe Council promotes local councils such as the Trinity Fire Safe Council and the Klamath Basin

Fire Safe Councils in Shasta-Trinity and Siskiyou County. Oregon also has fire planning groups. He gave the example of the French Creek Fire Safe Council, founded in February in the Scott Valley, of one community working together. The Salmon River Fire Safe Council is made up of tribal members, agencies and other residents working on projects such as resident signage for emergency vehicles and maps to show water tank fill locations.

Task Force Comment

Chris Erikson spoke about the Trinity County Fire Safe Council's Jobs in the Woods program, which helps residents reduce hazardous undergrowth around their homes. Fuels reduction is extremely expensive and grants are needed to reduce the cost of fuels reduction and find markets for the fuels produced.

Joan Smith said Siskiyou Council has formed a county-wide Fire Safe Council. Paul Kirk said Humboldt County is developing a county-wide Fire Safe Council, using Trinity County's plan as a model.

Margaret Boland described the recent bio-mass conference in Yreka on June 28 which focused on ways to heat homes/schools with small amounts of bio-mass resulting from small fuels reduction operations.

Agendum 10. Klamath River Anadromous Fish Restoration Activities Proposed for FY 2002

Agendum 10a. Department of Agriculture

Margaret Boland spoke on proposals for the Klamath National Forest, but stressed there are other projects occurring in other parts of Klamath basin. (*See Handout Agendum 10a-1*). She also spoke on target outcomes proposed by FY 2004 for the Klamath National Forest Fish & Watershed Program. (*Handout Agendum 10a.-2*.)

Agendum 10b. California Dept. Fish and Game

Mike Rode outlined regular ongoing projects such as fish passage programs, which he believes will result in substantial fish rescues this year. He described the absence/presence inventory of coho streams throughout the Klamath-Trinity area which involves 480 streams. He also outlined the Steelhead Research Monitoring Program which is continuing to operate outmigrant traps in Shasta and Scott rivers, and is providing valuable information on fish. The CDFG is also looking at wild and hatchery steelhead interactions below Iron Gate. Funding will be greater this year for restoration projects in the Klamath Basin (about \$9 million out of \$23 million statewide).

Agendum 10c. Yurok Tribe

Dave Hillemeier spoke on restoration activities for FY 2002 and ongoing cooperative efforts on the flow study with other tribes and agencies. He described the current monitoring activities in several creeks, including weekly spawning surveys in Blue Creek and bi-weekly surveys in Terwer Creek. The Tribe has submitted a proposal for FY 2002 to CDFG for coho surveys in the lower Klamath basin and is seeking funding for index salmonid population monitoring. Dave Hillemeier also outlined a new pilot study in the estuary that supplements CDFG work on baseline water quality monitoring, diet survey of salmonids, the effect of hatchery fish on diet, historical surveys, etc. He described other Blue Creek projects such as conifer planting (also in Teetah Creek), fencing out cattle and fish barrier assessment.

Agendum 10d. Karuk Tribe

Ronnie Pierce described the tribe's cooperative projects for FY 2002 with the FWS, including juvenile outmigrant and water quality surveys. The Tribe has submitted a proposal to CDFG for a second year of water quality monitoring in the mainstem. If this is funded, the project could model from Iron Gate Dam to the mouth; this would be costly. PacifiCorp donated \$17,000 for lab analysis of samples for two sites.

Agendum 10e. Hoopa Tribe

Mike Orcutt said FY 2002 activities will be similiar to FY 2001. The Tribe is focusing on monitoring, water quality and the Trinity Restoration Program. The cost of the Trinity Westlands litigation is an issue and funding is being sought for the EIS and geomorphology programs. The Tribe is continuing fish monitoring and outmigrant studies.

Agendum 10f. National Marine Fisheries Service

Don Reck said West Coast Salmon funds are now passing through California and NMFS plays a role in the selection process. The agencies are looking at the 150 proposals submitted for \$9 million in funding.

Task Force Comment

Paul Kirk spoke about Humboldt County funding and the Five County process, which is looking at county roads and fish passage issues. He said 13 fish passage projects should be completed by September. Joan Smith spoke about the five fish passage projects in Siskiyou County, which received assistance from NMFS to deal with Army Corps of Engineers permit problems. Chuck Blackburn said the five-county group is a model for achieving success. John Engbring spoke briefly about FWS work, including fish monitoring on the Trinity River, funding for Jobs in the Woods programs, etc. Laurie Simons referred Task Force members to the handout from the State Water Resources Control Board handout (*See Informational Handout, State Water Resources Control Board*) which stated the Board approved more than \$40 million for water quality projects throughout California, including projects on the Klamath and Trinity rivers. Dwight Russell from the Board was thanked for his assistance in getting funding for the northern part of California

Agendum 11. Public Comment

Felice Pace, KFA, spoke on the lack of snow pack which in past years resulted in fish kill. Regarding the National Fire Plan, he said that the wild central area of the Klamath could be considered a natural fire regime because there was no policy of fire suppression. He noted that in the Big Bar Fire, which cost \$1 million a day to fight, some fire line areas did not get restored, but that 20% of funds were spent in yarding and stacking up of logs for sale. He warned against fire fighting efforts that rely on commercial timber sales and thus reduce canopy, which in turn creates more fire danger.

Peter Brucker, Salmon River, said that Fire Safe Councils need to better address the private/public interface. He said Salmon River needs support to address its sediment problems; nutrients and temperature are indentified as problems but sediment is a more pressing issue.

Agendum 12. Report from Technical Work Group (TWG): FY 2002 project ranking and Work Plan recommendation

Dan Gale, TWG Chair, presented TWG's FY 2002 project ranking. TWG recommends funding all projects proposed except the three lowest ranked ones. (*See Handout Agendum 12*). Salmon River Sub-basin agreed to forego \$6,000 in Category 2 funding to make up the shortfall. Dan Gale said no one submitted a proposal for the \$10,000 competitive set-asides for TWG assistance. The YFWO asked Ross Taylor to submit a proposal to continue his work for TWG; he did and it is recommended to fund him. (*See Handout Agendum 11.*) He explained that TWG recommended not to fund the \$53,000 six-month position to coordinate water quality data as it was not cost-effective.

Task Force Comment

John Engbring said the Task Force wants the \$10,000 set-asides to be a competitive process. Dan Gale agreed and said he believes Ross Taylor does an excellent job.

Joan Smith commented on the lack of coordination among those doing water quality work. She asked about TWG's decision not to fund HR-07, which Dan Gale said was a proposal to deal with possible sewage plant spills into Shasta River. TWG felt this was not an appropriate way to spend restoration funds. Dave Bitts asked about the dearth of project proposals this year; Dan Gale said he believes people are applying for larger grants through the state. John Engbring said we may need to address the problem of a shrinking group of private and small group applications. Mike Rode expressed concern about duplication of funding for planning between the Water Board, CDFG, and Task Force. Dave Hillemeier reiterated that there is still not enough funding of on-the-ground restoration.

Agendum 13. Task Force Decision on FY 2002 Work Plan

Agendum 13a. Public Comment (Proposed FY 2002 Work Plan)

Mike Belchik, Yurok Tribe, said he doesn't think the lack of proposals to the Task Force means a lack of work to be done, but that some groups have stopped applying because the Task Force could not meet larger grant requests. Bruce Halstead, Arcata FWO, said projects are being funded by different programs now.

Phil Detrich, Yreka FWO, asked if restoration organizations have enough infrastructure to create increased on the ground proposals that will be funded as state money becomes available. This is a concern.

Felice Pace, KFA, said it is better for the Task Force to rank proposals than the state. He suggested the Task Force look at those organizations that spend little on planning and coordination, compared to others. He suggested these Category 2 funds would be better spent on developing a fund to purchase water rights from willing sellers; the Task Force could develop a RFP for a contractor to develop a Klamath Basin Water Trust to purchase water rights at the local level.

Peter Brucker, Salmon River Subbasin, said Category 2 funds are seed money that lead to successful on-the-ground restoration work.

**** Motion** Keith Wilkinson moved to adopt the FY 2002 Work Plan, including Ross Taylor's proposal as outlined in the June 1, 2001 memo on cancellation of the Budget Committee Meeting.
** Second** Paul Kirk seconded the motion.
** Motion Carried ** unanimously.**

Keith Wilkinson praised TWG for their work, and Ronnie Pierce thanked the Salmon River Restoration Council for helping to make the process smoother.

Agendum 14. Task Force Review of Recommendations from Mid-Term Evaluation Oversight Committee

Ronnie Pierce asked Task Force members to review the list of Subcommittee Recommendations (*See Handout Agendum 14*). She expressed concern about public input regarding Task Force decisions on these items, however, it was decided that public input is not needed to remove items on page 7. The Task Force made decisions on the following items:

LH-6. Remove recommendation to study disease resistance in Iron Gate hatchery steelhead. The Task Force decided to change the language to read “support studies on Iron Gate steelhead.”

LH-8. Encourage re-establishment of Iron Gate Steelhead. Replace “reband trout” with “rainbow trout.”

SH-1 through SH-3. Guidelines governing small fish hatcheries. The Task Force decided to remove these guidelines.

SH-4. Remove recommendation to consider artificial culture of sturgeon. The Task Force decided to keep the recommendation to consider artificial culture of sturgeon.

SH-5. Remove recommendation to expand rearing programs to include steelhead and coho. The Task Force decided to keep the recommendation, with cautions to protect the natural fish stock and to expand rearing programs to include steelhead and coho.

MTH-1 and MTH-2. Monitoring timber harvest. Task Force decided to remove this as per TWG recommendation.

MTH-3. Continue to use erosion potential surveys to prioritize sediment abatement projects. The Task Force decided to keep this recommendation

MFH-2. Seek funding to evaluate spawning and rearing habitat above Iron Gate Dam. The Task Force supports projects that examine spawning and rearing habitats above Iron Gate Dam.

MH-2. Work with advisory groups and CDFG towards uniform hatchery practices and universal or constant percentage marking. The language of MH-2 will now reflect the motion passed by KFMC at bottom of page 12: “The KFMC will work with the CDFG to explore constant fractional marking at both hatcheries within the Klamath Basin, improve the inventory method of fish released, and explore alternative marking methods.” (Ronnie Pierce will check for redundancy with LH-3.)

MFP-5. Discern if fish rescue from stranding is significant; if not, remove this recommendation to study fish rescue. The Task Force decided to remove this recommendation to study fish rescue.

**** Assignment ** YFWO staff will include further review of recommendations from the Mid-term Evaluation Oversight Committee on the October meeting agenda.**

Recess

June 22, 2001

Reconvene

The following members were not present: Kent Bulfinch and Mike Orcutt, Hoopa Valley Tribe.

Agendum 15. Report from the Klamath Watershed Coordination Group

- a. Hatfield Upper Klamath Basin Working Group (Jim Carpenter)
- b. Klamath Basin Compact Commission (Alice Kilham)
- c. Trinity Task Force (Mike Orcutt)

Agendum 15a. Klamath Basin Compact Commission

Alice Kilham introduced two new Klamath Compact representatives, Dwight Russell, District Chief for CA Dept of Water Resources, and Paul Cleary (Reed Marbut acted as his representative). She mentioned the Commission's participation in the recent symposium, which came out with the recommendation that a high-level group of people in Washington DC liaison with Bill Leary to work on Klamath issues. Bill Leary has hired two interns who are putting together a matrix of information. (*See Handout Agendum 15a*). The two states have chosen the Compact to represent them in Klamath Basin issues. Dwight Russell said California is using the Compact to make sure all sides of the issues are addressed.

Task Force Comment

Don Russell spoke about the need for more funding to ensure the Compact succeeds in its mission. Gov. Gray Davis has asked the California legislature to put aside \$1 million to fund solutions for the first year as well as \$1 million to expand groundwater use. Don Russell asked about state versus federal authority. Dwight Russell said the group would be a facilitator and this is not a regulatory issue. Dwight Russell responded to John Engbring's query about the \$1 million funding by saying the money would be channeled through the Dept. of Water Resources for facilitators and meetings to develop a basin-wide land-use analysis, and as issues and projects come up they would be funded. (At this point members of the Task Force identified themselves to the attending members of the public.)

Agendum 15b. Hatfield Upper Klamath Basin Working Group

Jim Carpenter, co-chair of Hatfield Upper Klamath Basin Working Group, gave a brief history of the group, which was founded during the last drought in 1995 by Sen. Hatfield to address basin water issues. The group receives \$1 million through BOR to do restoration work in the Basin, conditional on a private match. The Klamath Ecosystem Foundation is the non-profit arm to raise these private funds. Jim Carpenter described recent work of the group, including WaterFest, an educational and outreach program, funding of the Arcata symposium. The Klamath Watershed Coordination Group was required by the Hatfield legislation to coordinate the four groups: Task Force, Compact, Hatfield and Trinity groups. The Klamathgroup.org website has information on all four groups. The Hatfield Group is currently going into the restoration plan process and has hired a facilitation team to develop a strategy. Jim Carpenter said the focus is on the upper basin but the group hopes to include the entire basin. He also discussed the Klamath Project as a use for winter storage of water, and a pioneering proposal in Tulelake to build a co-generation facility to burn bio-fuels (juniper, wheat, straw) to generate electricity and steam. The steam would then be used for agro-industrial purposes. The group is also looking at different cropping and new approaches to managing farmlands.

Task Force Comment

John Engbring asked how the group envisions the Trinity being part of their plan for a whole system restoration. Jim Carpenter said the group would respect other viable plans and fit them together.

Agendum 15c. Trinity Task Force

(Mike Orcutt spoke the previous day as he was not able to be present the second day; his comments are included here.) Mike Orcutt gave an overview of the new Trinity Management Council, which replaces the Trinity Task Force with a different management structure and group process. He discussed the Dec. 19, 2000 Record of Decision, which was immediately challenged by Westlands. He said the judge has issued a preliminary injunction and asked for the SEIS to look at the biological opinions. The current capped flows are 369,000 acre feet pending completion. The TMC met May 16 and discussed the FY 2002 budget. The TMC will put together a workshop on the flow study to look at priority funding for FY 2002. The TMC Chair is Mike Ryans, BOR, however the BOR is advertising for a permanent director of TMC as they are funding this position. Chris Erikson added comments to Mike Orcutt's presentation. He said it will cost \$6 million to raise the four bridges that impede projected maximum flow to move sediment down Trinity River. Another issue is the EIS portion that was challenged in court. The EIR has not been approved by the Board of Supervisors. Lake levels are an issue as well.

Task Force Comment

Ronnie Pierce asked why the cost of looking at the supplemental EIS is \$1 million. Chris Erikson said part of these costs are legal defense against Westlands Water District and Sacramento Municipal Water District. Paul Kirk and Dave Hillemeier asked about stakeholder participation in the TMC and the workshop. Mike Orcutt said the workshop is technically oriented and information will be presented to the TMC and stakeholders. There followed a brief discussion among Task Force members on funding sources for the Klamath basin and coordination efforts. Members said all involved must act quickly to alleviate the current crisis.

****Assignment** Yreka FWO will gather together a list of funding sources in the Klamath Basin to assist the Task Force in coordinating restoration efforts. This will be mailed to Task Force members before the October meeting.**

Agendum 16. Status of Klamath Project and Long-Term EIS

Bob Davis spoke on the EIS and the operations of the Klamath Project. He introduced Dan Fritz, environmental specialist, who is working on the long-term EIS. (*See Handout Agendum 16*) He explained that Eric Glover is acting area manager until a permanent person is hired to replace Karl Wirkus, who has transferred to Montana to be deputy regional director. Dan Fritz then spoke on the long-term EIS, tentative alternatives and the EIS schedule. He described the summary of five tentative alternatives, as follows:

1. No Action Alternative
2. Proposed Alternative
3. Fixed Flows/Elevation Alternative
4. Variable Flows/Elevation Alternative
5. Allocation Alternative

He said that, given the current situation, the BOR found none of the alternatives to be viable. He said, the BOR has concluded that the alternatives need to be re-formulated, as does perhaps the EIS. He then gave the EIS schedule, as of June 18, 2001, and said the BOR's intention is to complete the EIS for review by the tribes and cooperative agencies by December 2002. It is doubtful this will happen, however. He stressed that consensus on the EIS has been difficult to attain and that the BOR does not want to prepare an EIS that is not useful.

Task Force Comment

John Engbring asked if there is a new schedule given that the BOR schedule/alternatives are not viable; he was told no. Dan Fritz said that court-ordered mediation may help develop a framework for an EIS. Joan Smith expressed concern that BOR stopped working with cooperating counties (Klamath, Siskiyou and Modoc) in July 2000 and the process stopped there. If BOR had done a long-term plan, the current problems could have been averted. She added that July 2003 is too late to deal with this problem. She asked when the next meeting would be. Bob Davis said the BOR was pursuing the process in good faith, however, the drought and two Biological Opinions created the current situation. He said BOR anticipates getting the BO from NMFS that will cover four or five-year types; that will tell BOR how it can operate legally and still comply with the ESA. Don Russell reiterated Joan Smith's concerns and asked how the agricultural community fits into the process. Wells are not a long-term solution and there are concerns about allocation. He asked if BOR plans to look at sub-surface applications. Bob Davis spoke about the Water Supply Enhancement Act passed by Congress last fall which directed the BOR to look at increasing storage, using water more efficiently, utilizing groundwater and making the system more flexible. He added that BOR does not want to rely on "Band-aid" approaches to put flexibility back into the system. It will take all this and more to fix the problem in the Klamath Basin, the three counties and counties downstream. BOR is looking at joint partnership with the two states to ascertain yield. Don Russell asked if the federal government has jurisdiction over private groundwater; he was told in California, groundwater is controlled by state and county agencies. Joan Smith said that BOR drew up alternatives without county input and a long-term plan is key to developing solutions. Bob Davis was asked if BOR is involved in the \$5 million funding for California well drilling; the answer was no. Steve West, Klamath County Commissioner, added that the three counties were left out of the loop by the BOR, and that the current situation is largely due to this derailed process. John Engbring said that the Task Force would like to receive a new schedule from the BOR as soon as feasible.

Agendum 17. Status of Consultations on the Klamath Project and Long-term EIS

Don Reck, NMFS, and Steve Lewis, FWS, spoke. Don Reck said that NMFS released flows for this year only on the coho. The agency chose to wait to write a comprehensive opinion on other water flow years to ensure we have the best available information. NMFS plans to have the supplemental BO done by August, with a draft ready for review by July. The supplemental BO will incorporate Hardy Phase 2 and sources other than Dr. Hardy.

Steve Lewis spoke on FWS' goal of protecting species and the Jeopardy Opinion. The FWS is required to develop a Reasonable and Prudent Alternative (RPA) to ensure the long-term survival of the species; in the case of the Klamath Lake, the species is the suckers. He said lake levels are the tool used to ensure fish spawning success. He then gave an historical overview of lake levels, existing dams and FWS' responsibilities. The FWS is trying to return to historical lake levels of 4140/4141 feet. Because there was little water this year, FWS worked with NMFS to set a lower level of 4139 feet. He cited the scientific studies used to arrive at this figure. The court upheld FWS science and process. He said additional reviews of BA and limnology/fish information are being considered. He stressed that the BO was not done for the tribes but for the long-term survivability of the species.

Task Force Comment

Don Russell asked about a 30-day comment period for the BO; Don Reck said this has not been decided, but at least two weeks is needed. Paul Kirk asked about the supplemental BO. Don Reck said the supplemental BO will be a tool for the BOR for next season and will be folded into the long-term EIS that covers multiple year types. John Engbring said he understood that the BOR will look at NMFS' BO to see how it affects the long-term EIS and next year's operation. Don Russell said the long-term EIS is important so that we don't continue to crisis-manage the situation. John Engbring commented on mediation, referring to the lawsuit brought against the BOR and DOI in Eugene regarding the BO. Paul Kirk expressed concern that some groups would not be represented in that mediation.

In response to Steve Lewis' discussion, Don Russell asked if FWS' goal is to drought-proof the basin. Steve Lewis said yes, and some process has been made (e.g., Agency Lake, Tulana Farms, and Supplemental Water B). Joan Smith asked about FWS' review process. Steve Lewis said FWS does not have to conduct a peer review but has done so.

Agendum 18. Public Comment

Dwight Russell, Water Quality Board, described the status of emergency actions California is taking to ease the drought through well drilling to avoid loss of critical topsoil. He said this is only the second time in California history that an emergency has been declared due to a drought. (*See Handout, Agendum 18.*)

Ron McGill, Klamath Falls farmer, said there should be a representative from PacifiCorp on the Task Force. John Engbring responded that amendments have added county representatives but not people from private industries. Ron McGill asked Don Reck about counting methodologies on the East Coast (where wild and hatchery returns are counted) compared to the West Coast, where only wild are included. Don Reck said that both hatchery and wild fish are counted to some degree each year. He also asked about the purpose of the Link River Dam. Steve Lewis said the dam allowed retention of water longer but that this could have led to dike damage so landowners were compensated.

Ann McGill, Klamath Falls farmer, said her family has been in the area since 1898, and that without water to grow food, the area will end up destitute. She added that irrigated farmland is three times as productive as non-irrigated land. She asked who asked for the listing of the sucker fish; Steve Lewis replied the Klamath Tribe in conjunction with the USFWS. John Engbring clarified that anyone can petition a species to be listed. Mike Rode added that the state of California listed suckers as an endangered species in 1975, so there was precedent. She asked about the Tribal involvement in consultations. Steve Lewis clarified how tribal and other information was obtained for the BO. Elwood Miller, Jr. explained that the Tribe's data on fish and water quality was often done by major universities.

Ann McGill said dams are integral to the Klamath Basin and the Task Force needs a FERC representative. John Engbring said that PacifiCorp has been involved in the Flow Study. (*See Handout, Agendum 18.*)

Reed Marbut, Oregon Water Resources Dept., gave an update on the \$2 million well drilling program in Oregon to replace water denied to irrigators. He said Oregon has not done a good job planning for this record drought. He described the federal lawsuit in Portland regarding the Klamath Basin, and decried the amount of money being spent on litigation. He said Oregon Gov. Kitzhaber is a strong proponent of independent science review and has encouraged that all future BO and BA studies be done as a cooperative effort by participating entities. He hoped that this group thinks about forward-looking solutions.

Klamath Task Force Meeting Minutes, June 21-22, 2001

Marshall Staunton, co-chair of UKBWG said there are three goals of the UKBWG: drought relief, economic stability and ecosystem restoration. He said the Klamath Basin needs to receive the kind of assistance San Francisco received after the earthquake. He mentioned several opportunities for saving water: currently hot, green water is being sent downriver to the fish and cold, clear water is irrigating alfalfa. Refuge storage of winter water could reverse this wasteful method.

Jim Moore, Oregon resident, asked the Task Force to ensure that the Hardy flows are not too high and that prudent science is used. Ronnie Pierce clarified that the Hardy flows are not being utilized at this point because the document is not complete. John Engbring clarified that the Task Force does not have jurisdiction over the Hardy Report, and that the report is taking so long because Dr. Hardy wants to put it before a stringent peer review process.

Bill Kennedy, Rancher, Klamath Falls, clarified peer review process and the scientific process, and said we need to push for peer review based on university standard. He asked about coho limits; Don Reck and Dave Bitts replied that there is selective fishing on hatchery coho along the Oregon and Washington coasts, and that only coho with the hatchery clip on the adipose fin can be kept. Don Reck explained that fish spawn in the tributaries and that coho migrated up the river last fall and winter while the river was running at 1300 cfs (FERC minimum), and yearlings are now outmigrating.

James Ottoman, Malin, OR farmer, said that there are currently three falsehoods: 1) that water is overappropriated by farmers, 2) that farmers don't support the wildlife and 3) that there are willing sellers among farmers. He said it is heartbreaking to see dry soil. Chuck Blackburn added private landowners are the lifeblood of this country and a government buyout of land disturbs him greatly. Don Russell added that Jim Ottoman and his family were named national farm family of the year in 1954 and he is an expert on water issues. There will not be an equitable solution as long as one agency has the trump card.

Jackie Crezo, Oregon farmer, said that the BOR left the counties out of the discussion. Mike Rode clarified and said BOR held few meetings and many were left out. John Engbring said that when the opinions appeared the process floundered.

Felice Pace, KFA, said he wanted to remind the audience that the Klamath Basin has seen other dislocations historically, including the Native Americans and the Japanese-Americans: neither group received restitution, he said. He said he has been involved with the Task Force since 1986 and that the Task Force added both Klamath County and the Klamath Tribe to develop a basin-wide solution. He said leaders in the agricultural community stonewalled the process, which helped create the current problem. He expressed concern about the eagles not receiving water. He said the Task Force should address the negative comments directed towards public officials trying to do their jobs. He called for a collaboration and creation of a basin-wide advisory committee.

Steve West, chairman of Klamath County Board of Commissioners, read from the testimony he gave at the recent congressional hearings, and said the federal government must be part of the solution.

Wilma Hiney, Tulelake farmer and homesteader, spoke on the wildlife problems due to the loss over water and the current situation. She said farmers have as much right to the water as the Indians and the fish.

Rodney Todd, Oregon resident, praised environmental goals, but said private landowner interests must be recognized. He described a program in which irrigators denied water were compensated, and said this should be a model to alleviate the economic impact and displacement felt by farmers.

Anita Ward, UKBWG, said the group is focused on a long-term restoration plan for the entire Klamath Basin, and would like Task Force and other stakeholder support.

John Engbring closed the public comment period saying we extended public comments for longer than planned to make sure we heard everyone. Sue Ellen Woodbridge is working hard on solutions in the Department of Interior.

Agendum 19. Discussion of Possible Short and Long-term Solutions to Management Issues in the Klamath Basin in light of the current water shortage

The Task Force then discussed the current water shortage crisis in an attempt to develop long-term solutions. John Engbring first clarified the role of the federal government in the Klamath Basin. Ronnie Pierce said the issue now has the interest of high-level officials in Washington, D.C., and funds are available. She listed the challenges: a damaged hydrograph, ubiquitous water pollution, damaged upslope habitat and historical spawning grounds that have been cut in half. In addition, there is a lack of coordination between agencies and overlap between groups. She suggested a new Task Force with 20 representative seats (all but four already in the current Task Force), reauthorization/amendment of the Klamath Restoration Act and \$250 million in funding. She stressed groups need to be combined into one group. Joan Smith said there should be an agricultural representative on this newly structured Task Force. Dave Bitts said the Hatfield Working group and the Task Force should be merged into a new entity. John Engbring concurred and said a new entity of negotiators is needed that has White House support. Dave Hillemeier said the Yurok Tribe is interested in basin-wide ecosystem solutions; the Tribe is economically depressed because of water management issues. Don Russell said he appreciated the public input, and any new group has to be developed with great care and not necessarily with federal help. Ronnie Pierce said the Klamath Basin is the “poster child” for how to fix the world. Dave Bitts pointed out that coastal salmon fishermen are an example of another dislocated group that has received no compensation. The number of salmon fishermen today is 20% of what it was 15 to 20 years ago. Chuck Blackburn said everyone has a vested interest and everyone has to work together. At John Engbring’s request, Alice Kilham spoke. She said the Compact is a good vehicle for administrative help and leadership because it has a good track record for bringing Oregon and California together. She stressed the Task Force can not wait for mediation or a move by BOR. The upper basin can not afford to take 20 years to fight over allocation of water as the lower basin has done with the fish. Keith Wilkinson said many people would like to be involved in a newly formed Task Force. Joan Smith said she liked the idea of organizing under the Klamath Compact Commission if the group can get funding. She said private forestry should have a representative. Elwood Miller said that the Klamath Tribe would be open to looking at committee reorganization. Paul Kirk said an immediate response is needed for the upper and lower basin communities. John Engbring suggested Task Force members send significant news to the YFWO staff, who will post it on the klamathgroup.org website. He noted the value of ideas such as offsite water storage, removing Chiloquin Dam, etc. (*See Handout, Agendum 19.*)

Dave Bitts, Ronnie Pierce, and Joan Smith all suggested that the Task Force meet with UKBWG in Yreka on August 29, to continue discussing solutions.

**** Assignment ** Task Force members will send all new information on the current Klamath Basin water situation to the YFWO staff or Jim Carpenter, who will then post this information on the klamathgroup.org website.**

Agenda 20 and 21. Meeting recap and location of meeting after next

John Engbring summarized the list of assignments and motions. (*See attached list*). The October meeting will be held Oct. 10-11 in Yreka, CA. The February meeting will be held Feb. 6-7 in Crescent City, CA.
Adjourn

GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS**KLAMATH RIVER BASIN FISHERIES TASK FORCE
MEETING****June 21-22, 2001****Shilo Inn****Klamath Falls, Oregon**

AFS	American Fisheries Society
BA	Biological Assessment
BC	Budget Committee
BO	Biological Opinion
BOR	Bureau of Reclamation
BRD	Biological Resources Division
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CDWR	California Department of Water Resources
Council	Pacific Fishery Management Council
CPUE	Catch-per-unit-effort
CRMP	Coordinated Resource Management Program
CVI	Central Valley Index
CVM	Contingency Valuation Method
CZMA	Coastal Zone Management Act
DFG	Department of Fish and Game
DOC	Department of Commerce
DOE	Department of Ecology
EA	Environmental Assessment
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ESA	Endangered Species Act
F&G Commission	Fish and Game Commission (CA)
FMP	Fishery Management Plan
GIS	Graphic Information System
HAWG	Harvest Allocation Working Group
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
I/O	Input/Output
IFIM	Instream Flow Incremental Methodology
IGD	Iron Gate Dam
IGH	Iron Gate Hatchery
KCZ	Klamath Control Zone
KFA	Klamath Forest Alliance
KFMC	Klamath Fishery Management Council
KMZ	Klamath Management Zone
KOHM	Klamath Ocean Harvest Model
KP	Klamath Project
KPOP	Klamath Project Operation Process
KRSMG	Klamath River Salmon Management Group
KRTT or	Klamath River Technical Team

KRTAT	Klamath River Technical Advisory Team
LCDC	Land Conservation and Development Commission
LIAM	Legal and Institutional Analysis Model
LR	Long Range
MFCMA	Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MSY	Maximum Sustained Yield
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NEV	Net Economic Value
NCIDC	Northern California Indian Development Council
NGO	Non Governmental Office
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NPPA	Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act
NWS	National Weather Service
OCN	Oregon Coastal Natural
ODFW	Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife
OFR	Office of Federal Register
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
OY	Optimum Yield
PAC	Provincial Advisory Committee
PacFIN	Pacific Coast Fisheries Information Network
PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
PSTA	Pacific Salmon Treaty Act
RIR/IRFA	Regulatory Impact Review/Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
RCD	Resource Conservation Service
SAS	Salmon Advisory Subpanel
SCS	Soil Conservation Service
SEIS	Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
STT	Salmon Technical Team
TAT	Technical Advisory Team
TCC	Technical Coordinating Committee
TFF	Trinity Task Force
TMC	Trinity Management Council
TID	Talant Irrigation District
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load]
UBA	Upper Basin Amendment
UKBWG	Upper Klamath Basin Working Group
WCZMP	Washington State Coastal Zone Management Program
WDFW	Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife
WEF	Washington Department of Fisheries
WFA	Women for Agriculture

FINAL AGENDA

*KLAMATH RIVER BASIN FISHERIES TASK FORCE
MEETING*

June 21-22, 2001

Shilo Inn

Klamath Falls, Oregon

June 21, 2001

Opening Activities of the Klamath River Basin Fisheries Task Force

- 8:00 a.m. 1. Convene and opening remarks. John Engbring, chair. Chuck Blackburn, vice-chair.
- 8:15 2. Business
- a. Adoption of agenda
 - b. Adoption of minutes from February 2001 meeting
 - c. Vice chair for next meeting is Chuck Blackburn, Del Norte County
- 8:30 3. Introductions of Congressional staff in attendance
- 8:45 4. Brief review of last meeting actions/general correspondence/program update (Laurie Simons)
- 9:00 5. Brief Updates and Announcements
- a. Update from Long-term Funding Sub-committee (Keith Wilkinson)
 - b. Update on recovery planning (Don Reck)
 - c. Report on FWS congressional funding request (John Engbring)
- 9:15 6. Report from the Klamath Fishery Management Council (Paul Kirk)
- 9:45 7. Public Comment
- 10:00 Break
- 10:15 8. Report from Arcata FWO on field studies (Bruce Halstead)
- 10:45 9. Fire Safe Councils (Jim Villeponteaux, Salmon River Restoration Council)
- 11:15 10. Klamath River anadromous fish restoration activities proposed for FY 2002
- a. U.S. Department of Agriculture
 - b. California Dept. of Fish and Game
 - c. Yurok Tribe
 - d. Karuk Tribe
 - e. Hoopa Tribe
 - f. National Marine Fisheries Service
- 12:00 p.m. 11. Public Comment

- 12:15 Lunch
- 1:30 12. Report from Technical Work Group (Dan Gale)
- 2:00 13. TF Decision on FY 2002 Work Plan
 - a. Public Comment (Proposed FY 2002 Work Plan)
- 3:00 Break
- 3:15 14. Task Force review of recommendations from Mid-term Evaluation Oversight Committee (Ronnie Pierce, Dave Hillemeier)
- 5:00-7:00 Social Hour: Shilo Inn Lounge

June 22, 2001

Current Management Issues in Klamath Basin

- 8 a.m. 15. Report from Klamath Watershed Coordination Group
 - a. Klamath Basin Compact Commission (Alice Kilham)
 - b. Hatfield Upper Klamath Basin Working Group (Jim Carpenter)
 - c. Trinity Task Force (Mike Orcutt)
- 8:45 16. Status of Klamath Project and Long-Term EIS (Bob Davis, BOR)
- 9:15 17. Status of consultations on the Klamath Project and Long-Term EIS (Don Reck, NMFS and Steve Lewis, FWS)
- 10:00 18. Public Comment
- 10:30 Break
- 10:45 19. Discussion of possible short and long-term solutions to management issues in the Klamath Basin in light of water shortage this year (John Engbring)
- 12:45 20. Recap and summary of assignments and motions Agenda for next meeting.
- 1:10 21. Set date and location of meeting after next (next meeting is in Yreka, CA on October 10-11, 2001)
- 1:15 Adjourn

***LIST OF HANDOUTS
KLAMATH RIVER BASIN FISHERIES TASK FORCE
MEETING
June 21-22, 2001
Shilo Inn
Klamath Falls, Oregon***

- Agendum 4 KFMC Recommendations to PFMC, March 2001
- Agendum 4 KFMC Recommendations to PFMC, April 2001
- Agendum 4 Letter to Todd Olson, from Management Council, dated March 7, 2001
- Agendum 4 Letter to Todd Olson, from Task Force, regarding Hydroelectric Project, dated March 21, 2001
- Agendum 4 Report to the KFMC, from the HAWG, dated April 4, 2001
- Agendum 4 Memo to KFMC members, from Phil Detrich, regarding letters and summary motions from April KFMC meeting, dated April 27, 2001
- Agendum 4 Letter from Todd Olson, PacifiCorp, regarding Hydroelectric Project, dated May 3, 2001
- Agendum 4 Table, CDFG, Klamath River Funded Projects for 1999/2000
- Agendum 4 Table, CDFG, Klamath River Funded Projects for 2000/2001
- Agendum 4 Table, Task Force Unspent/Incomplete Projects 3-years Old and Older, dated June 1, 2001
- Agendum 4 Letter to Phil Detrich from U. S. Forest Service, dated June 19, 2001
- Agendum 4 Final Report Shasta River CRMP Coordinator, 2000
- Agendum 4 Scott River Watershed Council Final Report dated February 2001
- Agendum 4 Lower Klamath Sub-basin Coordination & Planning dated June 11, 2001
- Agendum 4 Middle Klamath River Sub-basin Planning dated June 13, 2001
- Agendum 4 Final report from Salmon River Community Restoration Program (SRCRP) dated June 19, 2001
- Agendum 4 Letter to Ms. Norton appointing Ms. Margret J. Boland as Department of Agriculture representative to Task Force, dated June 13, 2001

- Agendum 4 Questions concerning the Klamath River Basin Conservation Area Restoration Program, Draft-June 19, 2001

- Agendum 10.a.1 Klamath National Forest 2002 Proposed Restoration Program with estimated outputs
- Agendum 10.a.2 Klamath National Forest Business Plan

- Agendum 12 Memo to Task Force and Technical Work Group, from Phil Detrich, regarding cancellation of Budget Committee Meeting, dated June 1, 2001 Agendum 12 Fiscal Year 2002 Budget Allocation

- Agendum 12 Proposal from Ross Taylor and Associates

- Agendum 14 Klamath Task Force Subcommittee Recommendations on Mid-Term Review dated June 19, 2001

- Agendum 15a Letter to Interested Parties from Klamath River Commission dated June 20, 2001

- Agendum 17 Summary of Tentative Alternatives (as of January 2001)

- Agendum 18 Siskiyou and Modoc Drought Fact Sheet, June xx, 2001

- Agendum 19 Recommended Action for Klamath River Basin Recovery Coordination, Pierce May 27, 2001

- Agendum 19 Oregon Water Resources Department - Klamath Basin Water Management and Drought Assistance Programs, Updated June 15, 2001

- Agendum 19 Shasta River Near Montague (SRM)

- Agendum 19 Lakes, Rivers - Herald and News, Klamath Falls, Oregon, June 20, 2001 -

- Agendum 19 Plan Would Give Buyouts to Klamath Landowners - Metro/NW, June 16, 2001

Informational Handouts:

Information Pertaining to Water Rights in California - 1990, State Water Resources Control Board

Task Force Membership List

News Release from California Environmental Protection Agency on State Water Board Water Quality Projects.

LIST OF ATTENDEES
KLAMATH RIVER BASIN FISHERIES TASK FORCE
MEETING
June 21-22, 2001

The following individuals attended the Klamath River Basin Fisheries Task Force Meeting in Klamath Falls, Oregon, on June 21-22, 2001.

June 21, 2001

<u>Name</u>	<u>Representing</u>
Steve Lewis	USFWS - Klamath Falls FWO
Rodney Todd	Oregon State University
Mike Belchik	Yurok Tribe
Tessa Stuedli	Klamath Water Users Association
Reed Marbut	OWRD
Felice Pace	Klamath Forest Alliance
Gail Ottoman	
Jim Villopenteaux	Salmon River Restoration Council
Frank Bryman	Bureau of Indian Affairs
Dwight Russell	California Department of Water Resources
Bruce Halstead	USFWS - Arcata
Rich Piaskowski	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation
Merv George Jr.	Klamath River Inter-Tribal Fish and Water Commission
Woody Deryckx	Klamath Basin Ecosystem Foundation
Anita Ward	UKBWG
Roberta Vandewater	USDA FS
Petey Brucker	Salmon River Restoration Council
Jeff Mitchell	Klamath River Inter-Tribal Fish and Water Commission
Dan Gale	TWG/Yurok Tribe
Ron Reed	Karuk Tribe
Alice Kilham	Compact Commission
Harold Hartman	Malin Irrigation District

June 22, 2001

Rich Piaskowsik	U. S. Bureau of Reclamation
Jim Carpenter	Upper Klamath Basin Working Group
Dwight Russell	California Department of Water Resources
Ann McGill	Local Farmer
Ron McGill	Local Farmer
Anita Ward	Upper Klamath Basin Working Group
Harry Carlson	University of California
Janelle Rogers	CDM
Tessa Stuedli	Klamath Water Users Association
James Moore	Klamath Water Users Association
Felice Pace	Klamath Forest Alliance
Steve West	Klamath County Commissioners
Ron Reed	Karuk Tribe
Marshall Staunton	Upper Klamath Basin Working Group
Reed Marbut	Oregon Water Resource Dept.
James R. Ottoman	Farmer
Kathryn Baley	Agriculture
Woody Deryckx	Klamath Basin Ecosystems Fund
Anita Ward	Upper Klamath Basin Working Group
Roberta Van de Water	U. S. Forest Service
Peter Brucker	Salmon River Restoration Council/TWG
Jeff Mitchell	Klamath River Inter-Tribal Fish & Water Commission
Dan Gale	Yurok Tribe/TWG
Ron Reed	Karuk Tribe
Alice Stilham	Compact Commission

ASSIGNMENTS AND MOTIONS
KLAMATH RIVER BASIN FISHERIES TASK FORCE
MEETING
June 21-22, 2001
Shilo Inn
Klamath Falls, Oregon

Assignments:

Agendum 5

****Assignment**** YWFO staff will include an update on FWS congressional funding request on the October meeting agenda, and explore the question of how the Task Force might spend the additional \$750,000 in funding.

Agendum 14

****Assignment**** YFWO staff will include further review of recommendations from Mid-term Evaluation Oversight Committee on the October meeting agenda.

Agendum 15

****Assignment**** YFWO staff will gather together a list of funding sources in the Klamath Basin to assist the Task Force in coordinating restoration efforts. This will be mailed out to Task Force members before the October meeting.

Agendum 19

****Assignment**** Task Force members will send all new information on the current Klamath Basin water situation to the YFWO staff or Jim Carpenter, who will then post this information on the *klamathgroup.org* website.

Motions:

Agendum 2a

**** Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to adopt the amended agenda.
**** Second**** Chris Erikson seconded the motion.
**** Motion Carried**** unanimously.

Agendum 2b

**** Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to adopt the amended minutes of the last meeting.
**** Second**** Paul Kirk seconded the motion.
**** Motion Carried**** unanimously.

Agendum 13

****Motion**** Keith Wilkinson moved to adopt the FY 2002 Work Plan, including Ross Taylor's proposal as outlined in June 1, 2001 memo on cancellation of the Budget Committee Meeting.

****Second**** Paul Kirk seconded the motion

****Motion Carried**** unanimously.