

Table A¹. Progress toward addressing Working Paper² Limiting Factors and Final Restoration Plan³ Actions and Evaluations since 1995.

Watershed	Working Paper			Final Restoration Plan		
	Limiting Factors Addressed	Total Limiting Factors	Percentage of Limiting Factors Addressed	Actions & Evaluations Addressed	Total Actions & Evaluations	Percentage Actions & Evaluations Addressed
American River	2	7	29%	2	13	15%
Antelope Creek	1	2	50%	1	2	50%
Battle Creek	1	5	20%	4	12	33%
Bear Creek	0	2	0%	0	2	0%
Bear River	0	5	0%	0	8	0%
Big Chico Creek	2	5	40%	4	10	40%
Butte Creek	13	27	48%	19	39	49%
Calaveras River	2	5	40%	2	6	33%
Central-Valley Wide	NA	NA	NA	5	15	33%
Clear Creek	6	6	100%	7	7	100%
Colusa Basin Drain	0	3	0%	0	2	0%
Cosumnes River	3	4	75%	3	9	33%
Cottonwood Creek	3	3	100%	4	5	80%
Cow Creek	2	6	33%	2	4	50%
Deer Creek	1	5	20%	3	5	60%
Elder Creek	0	2	0%	0	2	0%
Feather River	0	6	0%	0	12	0%
Merced River	8	12	67%	3	8	38%
Mill Creek	3	5	60%	2	5	40%
Misc. Stream Tributaries	0	6	0%	1	1	100%
Mokelumne River	5	12	42%	3	13	23%
Ocean	0	0	0%	0	3	0%
Paynes Creek	0	2	0%	0	2	0%
Upper Mainstem Sacramento River	4	6	67%	9	22	41%
Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	2	14	14%	2	29	7%
Mainstem San Joaquin River	1	10	10%	2	13	15%
Stanislaus River	6	10	60%	3	9	33%
Stoney Creek	0	8	0%	0	1	0%
Thomes Creek	0	4	0%	0	6	0%
Tuolumne River	6	11	55%	4	10	40%
Yuba River	4	7	57%	11	14	79%
All Watersheds	75	200	38%	97	289	34%

¹Table A is a compilation of information related to the progress made towards addressing the environmental limiting factor categories identified in the Working Paper and implementation of the restoration actions and evaluations in the Restoration Plan. Note that addressing actions is not synonymous with “completed”; it means that one or more projects or activities have been initiated that are necessary to change the status of the Limiting Factor or Action or Evaluation. About 38% of the watershed specific environmental limiting factors (200) in the Working Paper have been addressed and 34% of all Restoration Plan actions (289) and evaluations have been implemented in the 1995 to 2009 time period. Of the 73 high and medium priority structural actions and evaluations in the Restoration Plan, 30 (41%) have been completed. Of the 128 Restoration Plan actions with endpoints, 43 (34%) have been completed. Actions requiring annual or in perpetuity projects such as gravel augmentation (replacing gravel lost behind dams) and flow augmentation are not considered to have endpoints. Status of these actions are reported under other provisions of the CVPIA such as the (b)(2), (b)(3), and (b)(13) programs.

²USFWS, 1995. Working paper on restoration needs, habitat restoration actions to double natural production of anadromous fish in the Central Valley of California, Volume 3, AFRP. [<http://www.fws.gov/stockton/afrp/workingpaper.cfm>].

³ Final Restoration Plan for the Anadromous Fish Restoration Program, A Plan to Increase Natural Production of Anadromous Fish in the Central Valley of California. Released as a Revised Draft on May 30, 1997 and adopted as final on January 9, 2001. CVPIA, AFRP, Stockton, CA. [http://www.fws.gov/stockton/afrp/restplan_final.cfm].