

TEXAS CHENIER PLAIN REFUGE COMPLEX

Moody National Wildlife Refuge
Anahuac National Wildlife Refuge
McFaddin National Wildlife Refuge
Texas Point National Wildlife Refuge

Final Environmental Impact Statement, Comprehensive Conservation Plan, and Land Protection Plan

May 2008

Prepared by
Division of Planning
National Wildlife Refuge System, Southwest Region
United States Fish and Wildlife Service
P.O. Box 1306
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Comprehensive Conservation Plans provide long-term guidance for management decisions; set forth goals, objectives, and strategies needed to accomplish refuge purposes; and identify the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's best estimate of future needs. These plans detail planning program levels that are sometimes substantially above current budget allocations and, as such, are primarily for USFWS strategic planning and program prioritization purposes. The plans do not constitute a commitment for staffing increases, operational and maintenance increases, or funding for future land acquisition.

VOLUME 1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES 1
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	ix
CHAPTER 1: PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR ACTION	1
I. Statement of Proposed Actions	2
II. Purposes of Proposed Actions	2
III. Need for Proposed Actions.....	2
IV. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) Planning Process	4
A. NEPA Planning Process	4
B. Comprehensive Conservation Plan (CCP) and Land Protection Plan (LPP) Planning Processes.....	5
C. Decisions to be Made and Criteria for Decision Making.....	6
D. Legal Mandates and Policy Guidance	7
V. Background	7
A. Brief History of the Texas Chenier Plain Refuge Complex.....	7
B. Refuge Purposes and the Migratory Bird Conservation Act.....	8
C. National Wildlife Refuge System Mission and Goals	9
D. The Texas Gulf Coast Ecosystem Goals.....	10
E. Refuge Vision Statement	10
F. Refuge Goals	11
VI. Scoping and Summary of Public Involvement	11
VII. Description of Major Issues.....	14
A. Expansion of the Refuge Complex (Land Acquisition)	14
B. Administration of Wildlife-Dependent Recreational Uses.....	17
C. Habitat Management and Restoration of Refuge Lands	18
D. Threats to the Ecosystem.....	20
VIII. Issue Outside the Scope of the EIS – STATE Highway 87	21
CHAPTER 2: ALTERNATIVES	1
Introduction.....	1
PART A: REFUGE MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES.....	4
Summary of Refuge Management Alternatives	5
Elements Common to All Refuge Management Alternatives	6
Detailed Description of Refuge Management Alternatives	7
I. Refuge Management Alternative A (NEPA No Action Alternative) - Continuation of Current Management.....	9
Alternative A Concept.....	9
A. USFWS Habitat Management and Restoration	10
B. USFWS Biological Program – Surveys, Monitoring, and Research	15
C. Addressing Threats to the Ecosystem.....	18
D. USFWS Public Use Program.....	22
E. Community Outreach and Partnerships.....	24
F. Administration and Staffing	24

II. Refuge Management Alternative B - Emphasis on Intensifying Management of Wetland Habitats for Waterfowl, Shorebirds, Wading Birds, and Other Wetland-Dependent Migratory Birds	26
Alternative B Concept.....	26
A. USFWS Habitat Management and Restoration.....	27
B. USFWS Biological Program – Surveys, Monitoring, and Research	30
C. Addressing Threats to the Ecosystem.....	32
D. USFWS Public Use Program.....	32
E. Community Outreach and Partnerships.....	35
F. Administration and Staffing	35
III. Refuge Management Alternative C – Emphasis on Native Habitat Restoration and Addressing Major Threats to the Ecosystem.....	36
Alternative C Concept	36
A. USFWS Habitat Management and Restoration.....	37
B. USFWS Biological Program – Surveys, Monitoring, and Research	39
C. Addressing Threats to the Ecosystem.....	41
D. USFWS Public Use Program.....	45
E. Community Outreach and Partnerships.....	47
F. Administration and Staffing	48
IV. Refuge Management Alternative D (Preferred Alternative) - Emphasis on an Integrated Management Approach Combining: 1) Expanded Habitat Management and Restoration Programs, 2) New Research and Wildlife Population Monitoring, & 3) Increased Efforts to Address Major Threats to the Ecosystem.....	49
Alternative D Concept	49
A. USFWS Habitat Management and Restoration	50
B. USFWS Biological Program – Surveys, Monitoring, and Research	55
C. Addressing Threats to the Ecosystem.....	59
D. USFWS Public Use Program.....	63
E. Community Outreach and Partnerships.....	69
F. Administration and Staffing	69
V. Refuge Management Alternative E - Emphasis on a Passive Management Approach.....	70
Alternative E Concept.....	70
A. USFWS Habitat Management and Restoration	71
B. USFWS Biological Program – Surveys, Monitoring, and Research	73
C. Addressing Threats to the Ecosystem.....	75
D. USFWS Public Use Program.....	77
E. Community Outreach and Partnerships.....	78
F. Administration and Staffing	79
PART B: REFUGE BOUNDARY EXPANSION ALTERNATIVES	80
Summary of Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternatives	81
Elements Common to All Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternatives.....	82
I. Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternative A (NEPA No Action Alternative) – No Expansion, Current Status.....	86
Alternative A Concept with Map	86
II. Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternative B - 33,590 Acre Expansion.....	90
Alternative B Concept with Map.....	91
Expansion of Moody NWR Boundary – 5,050 Acres	94
Expansion of Anahuac NWR Boundary – 20,500 Acres.....	94
Expansion of McFaddin NWR Boundary - 7,190 Acres	95
Expansion of Texas Point NWR Boundary - 850 Acres.....	95

III. Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternative C (Preferred Alternative) – 64,260 Acre Expansion	100
Alternative C Concept with Map	101
Expansion of Moody NWR Boundary – 7,920 Acres	105
Expansion of Anahuac NWR Boundary – 47,750 Acres.....	105
Expansion of McFaddin NWR Boundary - 7,190 Acres	106
Expansion of Texas Point NWR Boundary – 1,400 Acres	106
IV. Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternative D – 104,120 Acres	111
Alternative D Concept with Map.....	112
Expansion of Moody NWR Boundary – 7,920 Acres	116
Expansion of Anahuac NWR Boundary – 64,910 Acres.....	116
Expansion of McFaddin NWR Boundary – 29,890 Acres	117
Expansion of Texas Point NWR Boundary – 1,400 Acres	118
CHAPTER 3: AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	1
I. Physical Environment	5
A. Climate and Air Quality	5
B. Geology and Soils.....	5
C. Hydrology.....	10
D. Mineral Resources.....	14
II. Biological Resources	16
A. Vegetation and Habitats	16
1. Wetland and Aquatic Habitats	16
2. Upland Habitats	20
3. Refuge Complex Habitat Characterization	25
4. Habitat Characterization for Refuge Boundary Expansion Areas	27
B. Fish and Wildlife Resources	31
1. Avian Species.....	31
2. Fisheries Resources.....	39
3. Threatened and Endangered Species	40
4. Mammals, Reptiles, Amphibians, and Invertebrates.....	43
III. Cultural Resources	44
IV. Refuge Complex Management Programs.....	48
A. Habitat Management and Restoration	48
1. Wetland Specific Management and Restoration	48
2. Upland Specific Management and Restoration.....	53
3. General Habitat Management and Restoration Activities	54
B. Biological Program – Surveys, Monitoring, and Research	62
C. Public Use Program.....	65
1. Hunting and Fishing	66
2. Wildlife Observation and Photography, Environmental Education and Interpretation, Beach, and other Public Uses	68
D. Community Outreach and Partnerships	70
V. Socioeconomic Environment.....	71
A. Land Use.....	72
B. Economic Characteristics	77
C. Major Industries in the Study Area	80
D. Demographics.....	85
E. Governmental Infrastructure and Services	92

F. Fiscal Conditions of Local Governments	98
G. Social Conditions.....	108
CHAPTER 4: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS.....	1
Introduction.....	1
PART A: IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR THE FIVE REFUGE MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES	2
Summary of Refuge Management Alternatives	2
I. Impact Analysis for Refuge Management Alternative A (NEPA No Action) – Continuation of Current Management.....	6
A. Natural Resources Section	6
1. Impacts to Air Quality	6
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	7
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	10
4. Impacts to Vegetation and Habitats	12
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	27
B. Socioeconomic Resources Section	46
1. Economic Impacts	46
2. Population Impacts	52
3. Fiscal Impacts on Local Governments	53
4. Social Impacts	53
II. Impact Analysis for Refuge Management Alternative B: Emphasis on Intensifying Management of Wetland Habitats for Waterfowl, Shorebirds, Wading Birds, and Other Wetland-Dependent Migratory Birds.....	57
A. Natural Resources Section	57
1. Impacts to Air Quality	57
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	58
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	58
4. Impacts to Vegetation and Habitats	59
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	68
B. Socioeconomic Resources Section	84
1. Economic Impacts	84
2. Population Impacts	88
3. Fiscal Impacts on Local Governments	88
4. Social Impacts	89
III. Impact Analysis for Refuge Management Alternative C: Emphasis on Native Habitat Restoration and Addressing Major Threats to the Ecosystem	92
A. Natural Resources Section	92
1. Impacts to Air Quality	92
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	93
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	96
4. Impacts to Vegetation and Habitats	96
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	105
B. Socioeconomic Resources Section	118
1. Economic Impacts	118
2. Population Impacts	123
3. Fiscal Impacts on Local Governments	123
4. Social Impacts	123
IV. Impact Analysis for Refuge Management Alternative D – Preferred Alternative: Emphasis on an Integrated Management Approach Combining: 1) Expanded Habitat Management and Restoration Programs, 2) New Research and Wildlife Population Monitoring, and 3) Increased Efforts to Address Major Threats to the Ecosystem	127

A. Natural Resources Section	128
1. Impacts to Air Quality	128
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	129
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	132
4. Impacts to Vegetation and Habitats	132
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	144
B. Socioeconomic Resources Section	162
1. Economic Impacts	162
2. Population Impacts.....	167
3. Fiscal Impacts on Local Governments	167
4. Social Impacts	167
V. Impact Analysis for Refuge management Alternative E: Emphasis on a Passive Management Approach	171
A. Natural Resources Section	171
1. Impacts to Air Quality	171
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	171
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	173
4. Impacts to Vegetation and Habitats	174
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	180
B. Socioeconomic Resources Section	190
1. Economic Impacts	190
2. Population Impacts.....	195
3. Fiscal Impacts on Local Governments	195
4. Social Impacts	195
VI. Impacts to Cultural Resources from Refuge Management Alternatives	199
VII. Impacts Comparison Table for the Refuge management Alternatives	201
PART B: IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR FOUR REFUGE BOUNDARY EXPANSION ALTERNATIVES	206
Summary of Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternatives	206
I. Impact Analysis For Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternative A (No Action) No Expansion, Current Status.....	208
A. Natural Resources Section	209
1. Impacts to Air Quality	209
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	210
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	212
4. Impacts to Vegetation/Habitats	214
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	220
B. Socioeconomics Resource Section	228
II. Impact Analysis For Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternatives B, C, and D	229
A. Natural Resources Section	230
1. Impacts to Air Quality	230
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	230
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	233
4. Impacts to Vegetation/Habitats	235
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	247
B. Socioeconomic Resources Section	264
1. Economic Impacts	264
2. Fiscal Impacts to Local Governments	272
3. Social Impacts	278
III. Impacts on Cultural Resources from Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternatives	282

IV. Impacts Comparison Table for Refuge Boundary Expansion Alternatives	284
PART C: COMBINED AND CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	287
Overview	287
I. Combined Impact Analysis	288
A. Natural Resources Section	289
1. Impacts to Air Quality	289
2. Impacts to Geology and Soils.....	289
3. Impacts to Hydrology and Water Quality.....	290
4. Impacts to Vegetation and Habitats	290
5. Impacts to Fish and Wildlife Resources	296
B. Socioeconomic Resources Section	303
1. Economic Impacts	303
2. Impacts to Hunting and Commercial Hunting Operations	305
3. Fiscal Impacts to Local Governments	306
4. Impacts on Social Conditions	306
C. Combined Impacts on Cultural Resources	308
D. Summary of Combined Impacts	311
II. Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	312
A. Description of Projects and Activities Considered	313
B. Cumulative Impacts of Regional Projects and Activities with the Combined Preferred Alternatives.....	321
CHAPTER 5: COORDINATION AND CONSULTATION	1
I. Federal Register Notices	1
II. Federal Agencies.....	1
III. State Agencies.....	2
IV. County and Local Governments.....	4
V. Elected Representatives	6
CHAPTER 6: COMMENTS ON DRAFT EIS/CCP/LPP AND SERVICE RESPONSES .	1
PART A: DISTRIBUTION OF DRAFT EIS/CCP/LPP	1
PART B: COMMENTS ON DRAFT EIS/CCP/LPP	2
CHAPTER 7: LIST OF PREPARERS.....	1
United States Fish and Wildlife Service Employees	1
Contract Consultants.....	2

VOLUME 2

<u>APPENDIX A: REFERENCES.....</u>	1
<u>APPENDIX B: APPLICABLE LAWS.....</u>	1
<u>APPENDIX C: NON-FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CONSERVATION PROGRAMS AVAILABLE TO PRIVATE LANDOWNERS</u>	1
<u>APPENDIX D: COMPREHENSIVE CONSERVATION PLAN (CCP) WITH GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND STRATEGIES.....</u>	1
Management Focus.....	1
<u>GOAL 1. Conserve, enhance, and restore the Texas Chenier Plain region's coastal wetlands to provide wintering, migrational, and nesting/brood-rearing habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds, marshbirds, wading birds, and other wetland-dependent migratory birds and habitat for native fish and wildlife.</u>	3
<u>Objective A. Coastal Marshes - Emergent Wetlands (Estuarine and Palustrine Wetlands).</u>	3
<u>Objective B. Open Water Wetlands (Estuarine and Palustrine Wetlands). Increase species diversity and production of submerged aquatic vegetation in marsh habitats and increase open water habitat by 10% in fresh and intermediate marshes on the Refuge Complex.</u>	4
<u>Objective C. Freshwater Prairie Wetlands (Palustrine). By Year 15 of the CCP's implementation, maintain and manage approximately 1,900 acres of managed and natural shallow freshwater wetlands on the Refuge Complex and manage adjacent prairie habitats to improve nesting habitat for Mottled Ducks and other ground nesting migratory birds.</u>	6
<u>GOAL 2. Conserve, enhance, and restore the Texas Chenier Plain region's coastal prairies and coastal woodlands to provide wintering, migrational, and nesting habitat for resident and migratory landbirds, including neotropical/nearctic migratory birds, and habitat for other native wildlife species.</u>	7
<u>Objective A. Native Prairie and other Grasslands.</u>	8
<u>Objective B. Coastal Woodlands.</u>	9
<u>GOAL 3. A comprehensive biological program will guide and support conservation efforts for all species of native fish, wildlife, and plants on the Texas Chenier Plain Refuge Complex.</u>	10
<u>Objective A. Waterfowl, Shorebirds, and other Wetland-Dependent Migratory Birds</u> ... <td>10</td>	10
<u>Objective B. Migratory and Resident Landbirds.</u>	13
<u>Objective C. Fish and other Aquatic Species.</u>	13
<u>Objective D. Threatened and Endangered Species, Species of Conservation Concern, and other "Watch Species".</u>	14
<u>Objective E. Mammals.</u>	16
<u>Objective F. Reptiles and Amphibians.</u>	17
<u>Objective G. Invertebrates.</u>	18
<u>Objective H. Plant Resources.</u>	18
<u>GOAL 4. By working with others locally and on a landscape level, address threats to natural biological diversity, ecological integrity, and environmental health on the Refuge Complex.</u>	19
<u>Objective A. Coastal Habitat Loss</u>	19
<u>Objective B. Invasive Species.</u>	23
<u>Objective C. Contaminants.</u>	25
<u>Objective D. New Oil and Gas Development.</u>	26

<u>GOAL 5. All local, national, and international visitors will enjoy safe and high quality outdoor experiences on the Refuge Complex and learn of the Refuge Complex' role in conserving the region's coastal natural resources. New partnerships with our local communities will be forged to highlight, promote, and conserve the unique natural assets of the upper Texas Gulf Coast.</u>	26
<u>Objective A. Hunting.....</u>	26
<u>Objective B. Fishing.....</u>	29
<u>Objective C. Wildlife Observation and Photography.....</u>	30
<u>Objective D. Environmental Education and Interpretation</u>	31
<u>Objective E. Management of Beach Uses on McFaddin NWR.....</u>	34
<u>Objective F. Community Outreach and Partnerships.....</u>	34
<u>Objective F-1. Community Outreach.....</u>	34
<u>Objective F-2. Private Lands Partnerships.....</u>	35
<u>APPENDIX E: COMPATIBILITY DETERMINATIONS FOR ANAHUAC, MCFADDIN, AND TEXAS POINT NWRS.....</u>	1
<u>APPENDIX F: WILDERNESS REVIEW</u>	1
<u>APPENDIX G: RONS AND MMS PROJECTS</u>	1
<u>APPENDIX H: LAND PROTECTION PLAN FOR THE TEXAS CHENIER PLAIN REFUGE COMPLEX EXPANSION.....</u>	1
<u>I. Project Description.....</u>	1
<u>II. Threat To and Status of Resource to be Protected.....</u>	2
<u>III. Proposed Action and Objective</u>	5
<u>IV. Protection Alternatives</u>	6
<u>V. Acquisition Alternatives</u>	7
<u>VI. Coordination</u>	7
<u>VII. Sociocultural Impacts</u>	8
<u>VIII. Summary of Proposed Action</u>	9
<u>Introduction.....</u>	9
<u>Maps - Locator Map</u>	11
<u>Moody NWR Expansion Map and Ownership List</u>	12
<u>Anahuac NWR Expansion Maps and Ownership List</u>	19
<u>McFaddin NWR Expansion Maps and Ownership List</u>	34
<u>Texas Point NWR Expansion Maps and Ownership List.....</u>	40
<u>APPENDIX I: SHPO SECTION 106 CONSULTATION</u>	1
<u>APPENDIX J: INTRA-SERVICE SECTION 7 BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION FORM.....</u>	1