Zoellick et al. 1996



Status of the Migratory Bull Trout Population in the Jarbidge River Drainage

by
Bruce W. Zoellick
Robyn Armstrong
and Jim Klott

TECHNICAL BULLETIN NO. 96-5 IDAHO BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT April 1996

STATUS OF THE MIGRATORY BULL TROUT POPULATION IN THE JARBIDGE RIVER DRAINAGE

by

Bruce W. Zoellick
Bureau of Land Management
Lower Snake River District
Boise Field Office
3948 Development Avenue
Boise, Idaho 83705

Robyn Armstrong[1]
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Ecological Services
4696 Overland Road, Room 576
Boise, Idaho 83705

and

Jim Klott
Bureau of Land Management
Lower Snake River District
Jarbidge Resource Area Office
2620 Kimberly Road
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

[1]Current address of RA: USDA Forest Service, Payette National Forest, McCall, ID 83638

Abstract: The Jarbidge River drainage in southern Idaho and northern Nevada supports the furthest south population of bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) in North America. Resident populations of bull trout inhabit the headwaters of the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River and several tributary streams. A migratory population of bull trout was also thought to be present in the drainage, but surveys conducted in 1992 in the Idaho portion of the Jarbidge drainage, failed to document the presence of migratory bull trout. We resurveyed pools in the middle portion of the Jarbidge River drainage for the presence of migratory bull trout in July 1994 and August 1995, when water temperatures were suitable for bull trout to be present. We observed bull trout in the West Fork of the Jarbidge River in 1994 and in Jack Creek at its confluence with the West Fork in both 1994 and 1995. Minimum population estimates of migratory bull trout in the middle portion of the drainage, based on the number of fish we detected in the length of stream surveyed ranged from 10-20 fish/km of stream. We probably did not detect bull trout in the East Fork Jarbidge River because the fish had already moved upstream of our sample reach to more suitable water temperatures. Maximum depth of the pools surveyed in the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River averaged 1.0 and 0.9 m, respectively. Many pools also had fairly complex habitat structure formed by boulders and woody debris, indicating both streams provided suitable habitat for migratory bull trout. Two tributary streams, Dave and Deer Creeks, did not appear suitable for migratory bull trout except as movement corridors; maximum pool depths averaged just 0.3 to 0.5 m. During the surveys for bull trout we also collected information on the distribution and abundance of other native fish species. Redband trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss gairdneri*) were the most widely distributed and abundant fish in the drainage.

Introduction

The bull trout (*Salvelinus confluentus*) is a C1 candidate for listing as endangered (Federal Register 58(93):28849-52). The greatest risks facing bull trout are habitat loss and degradation and the isolation of populations (Federal register 58(93):28849-52). The Jarbidge River drainage in southern Idaho and northern Nevada has the furthest southern population of bull trout. Bull trout were formerly found further south in the McCloud River drainage in California, but that population has been extirpated (Rode 1988). The Jarbidge River population is isolated from the nearest adjacent populations in the Boise River drainage in south-central Idaho.

In 1992, in a cooperative study with the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM), the Idaho Fish and Game Department (IDFG) surveyed the Jarbidge River in southern Idaho to determine the status of bull trout in the Idaho portion of the Jarbidge River drainage (Warren and Partridge 1993). Resident populations of bull trout were known to inhabit the upper portions of both the East Fork and West Fork drainages in Nevada (Johnson 1990). Because of the large size of some of the fish caught in Nevada and occasional reports of bull trout being caught in the mainstem of the Jarbidge River in Idaho, both nonmigratory and migratory populations of bull trout were thought to be present in the Jarbidge River system (Warren and Partridge 1993). Flows at the time of the IDFG survey in 1992 were among the lowest on record and stream

temperatures had increased more rapidly than usual. No bull trout were detected during the 1992 inventory; which left unanswered the questions of whether the fish had already moved to stream reaches at higher elevations (and consequently cooler water temperatures) at the time of the survey, or whether migratory bull trout were no longer present in the Jarbidge River system.

Conservation of bull trout populations requires maintenance of multiple local populations (Rieman and McIntyre 1993). Because the Jarbidge River population is on the southern periphery of the range of the bull trout, environmental conditions are probably more variable than in the central portion of its range. The presence of several subpopulations increases the probability that at least one will survive periods of disturbance. In particular, migratory populations may be very important for stabilizing populations in highly variable environments or refounding segments of populations that become extinct. This diversity in life histories is thought to be an important mechanism in the persistence of bull trout in variable environments (Rieman and McIntyre 1993). For this reason, we examined if migratory populations of bull trout were still present in the Jarbidge River drainage.

Study Objectives

The primary objective of this study was to resurvey stream segments sampled by Warren and Partridge (1993) when water temperatures were favorable for migratory bull trout to be present. We wanted to determine if migratory fish were still present in the drainage and using portions of the Jarbidge River and tributary streams on BLM managed lands. A second objective was to collect baseline data on pool size and depths of streams in the Jarbidge drainage that might be migratory corridors for bull trout. A final objective was to collect information on the distribution and abundance of other fish species encountered during surveys for bull trout. The middle section of the Jarbidge River drainage in southern Idaho and northern Nevada was surveyed for bull trout in a cooperative effort by the BLM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and U.S. Forest Service (USFS) Intermountain Research Station in March and July 1994, and August 1995.

Study Area

The Jarbidge River watershed is approximately 1,720 square km in size (Warren and Partridge 1993). The headwaters of the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River are located at an elevation of about 3,200 m in the Jarbidge Mountains in northern Nevada. The East and West Forks are 36 and 32 km long, respectively, and flow north into Idaho (Fig. 1). The confluence of East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River is located about 5.8 km north of the Idaho-Nevada border at an elevation of 1,518 m. From the confluence of the Forks the mainstem flows northwesterly about 45 km to the Bruneau River at an elevation of 1,128 m.

Figure 1. Areas surveyed for migratory bull trout in the middle portion of the Jarbidge River drainage in southern Idaho and northern Nevada, 1994-95.



A dirt road parallels the East Fork of the Jarbidge River from its confluence with the West Fork 4.0 km upstream to the town of Murphy Hot Springs. Above Murphy Hot Springs, the stream flows through a narrow rhyolitic canyon with no roads and developments. The West Fork of the Jarbidge has a road paralleling it from the confluence of the Forks upstream 25.1 km to the Jarbidge Wilderness boundary. The town of Jarbidge is located on the West Fork 13.9 km upstream of the Nevada state line. The West Fork of the Jarbidge River in the vicinity of Jarbidge was placer mined in the 1880's. The lower to middle portions of the Jarbidge drainage are located on lands managed by the BLM. The upper one-third of the drainage, starting 13.6 and 16.7 km up the East and West Forks, is primarily located on lands managed by USFS, including most of the tributary streams known to support resident populations of bull trout.

Methods

Electrofishing Surveys.--BLM biologists sampled 4 sites on the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River using a Smith-Root Model 15-A backpack electroshocker on March 16-17, 1994. Three of Warren and Partridge's (1993) sample sites were resampled (Sites 17 and 19 on the West Fork of the Jarbidge, and Site 13 on the East Fork). Additionally, we sampled the East Fork Jarbidge upstream of the town of Murphy Hot Springs at stream mile 3.5 (upstream of the confluence of the two forks). The sample sites were approximately 100 m long.

The length of stream sampled was measured at each site and average stream width was calculated from three measurements of stream width taken at the top, middle, and bottom of the sample reach to use to calculate fish population densities. We made three electrofishing passes, capturing and segregating fish from each pass. All fish were measured to the nearest mm; and redband trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss gairdneri*) (Behnke 1992) were weighed to the nearest gram before they were released. Redband trout population sizes were estimated using the Zippin removal model (Zippin 1958).

Snorkel Surveys.--Biologists with the BLM, USFWS, and USFS Intermountain Research Station visually surveyed for the presence/absence of bull trout by snorkeling on July 5-8 and 12-14, 1994. We attempted to time the surveys when flows had dropped from spring runoff levels so that visibility was good, but before water temperatures increased. We snorkeled a total of 56 pools and 1158 m of stream in the mainstem, East Fork, and West Fork of the Jarbidge River, and tributary streams Dave Creek and Jack Creek (Fig. 1). In August 1995, we snorkeled 12 sites totaling 102 m of stream on Deer Creek, which is tributary stream to the West Fork of the Jarbidge River. We also resurveyed a pool at the lower end of Jack Creek downstream of the road to Jarbidge.

Pools were selected to survey based on their habitat quality (woody debris or boulder complexes), while spacing the sites to systematically sample BLM managed lands in Idaho and Nevada. As water temperatures increased in the second week of field work in 1994, we concentrated our sampling at the upper end of BLM managed lands in the Jarbidge drainage.

Pools were surveyed by two observers snorkeling side-by-side and moving upstream through each sample site (Thurow 1994). Six pools were snorkeled at night with a dive light to check if the composition of fish species observed differed between day and night surveys. Air and water temperatures were measured with a hand-held thermometer at each sample site. Basic channel morphology measurements (length, width, maximum and tail depth) were recorded for pool sites.

Results

Electrofishing Surveys.--Species collected at the four sites sampled in March 1994 included redband trout, speckled dace (*Rhinichthys osculus*), mountain whitefish (*Prosopium williamsoni*), sculpin (*Cottus bairdi*), and bridgelip sucker (*Catostomus columbianus*) (Table 1). We did not sample any bull trout. The range of redband trout densities observed (Table 1) was similar to that found by in 1992 by Warren and Partridge (1993). However, when specific sites were compared, our redband densities were generally lower than those observed by Warren and Partridge (1993) (Table 2).

Table 1. Redband trout population estimates and densities for sites in the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River and counts of other species sampled by electrofishing in March, 1994.

Site	Population Estimate	SE	Density (fish/m^2)	Number of Other Fish Sampled			
				Speckled Dace	Mottled Sculpin	Bridgelip Sucker	Mountain Whitefish
East Fork Jarbidge River							
Mile 0.6	36	-	5.7[1]	16	64	3	1
Mile 3.5	39	10.34	3.8	8	46	0	0
West Fork Jarbidge River							
Mile 0.6	29	2.96	4.0	17	24	0	0
Mile 3.4	11	0.33	1.5	3	7	0	0

[1]Minimum population estimate; we were unable to calculate an estimate using the removal model because of unequal capture probabilities between runs.

We probably underestimated redband trout densities, as flows were at or above the levels we could effectively sample with the backpack shocker; we occasionally observed fish leaving the field or being swept downstream. Additionally, the trout could have been distributed differently during the spring flows which were considerably higher than flows during summer 1992 when IDFG conducted their sampling.

Table 2. Comparison of redband trout densities and population estimates for sites electrofished in the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River in July-August 1992 and March 1994.

Site	Year	Stream Length (m)	Population Estimate	SE	Density (fish/100m^2)
East Fork Jarbidge River					
IDFG 13[1]	1994	88	36[2]	-	5.7
	1992	112	120	92.98	16.2
Mile 3.5	1994	114	39	10.34	3.8
West Fork Jarbidge River					
IDFG 17	1994	91	29	2.96	4.0
	1992	113	50	21.21	6.1
IDFG 19	1994	96	11	0.33	1.5
	1992	115	48	9.81	5.6

[1] The 1992 data and site selection is from sampling conducted by Idaho Fish and Game Department (IDFG) (Warren and Partridge 1993).

[2] Minimum population estimate; we were unable to calculate an estimate using the removal model because of unequal capture probabilities between runs.

Snorkel Surveys.-- We surveyed 5 pools on the mainstem Jarbidge River at an elevation of about 1518 m, covering approximately the first 2.1 km downstream of the confluence of the East and West Forks (Fig. 2). Water temperatures in the mainstem Jarbidge River had already increased to 16 degrees C during the afternoon on July 5 when we started the snorkel surveys. Therefore, we concentrated our sample efforts further up the drainage where water temperatures were more suitable for bull trout.

We sampled 14 pools on the East Fork of the Jarbidge over approximately 13.6 km of stream (elevations ranged from 1518 to 1715 m) (Figs. 2 and 3). On the West Fork Jarbidge, we surveyed 26 pools over a 16.7 km length of the stream from the mouth of the stream upstream to the BLM-Forest Service boundary (elevations ranged from 1518 to 1801 m) (Figs. 2 and 4). We also sampled 10 pools in Dave Creek at elevations of 1798 to 1878 m (Fig. 4) and one pool in Jack Creek at an elevation of 1792 m (Fig. 3). Additionally, we sampled two segments on the upper West Fork of the Jarbidge River in 1994 just upstream of the confluence with Pine Creek and at the Jarbidge Wilderness Boundary at elevations of 2030 to 2057 m. In 1995, we resampled the pool in Jack Creek and sampled 12 pools or pool-riffle complexes in a 0.3 km segment of Deer Creek at an elevation of about 1950 m (Fig. 3). We sampled a total of 1158 m of stream in 1994 (Table 3), and 102 m of Deer Creek in 1995.

Figure 2. Location of sites surveyed for bull trout by electrofishing and snorkeling on the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River and the Jarbidge River downstream of the Idaho-Nevada border, March and July 1994.



Figure 3. Location of sites surveyed for bull trout by snorkeling on the East Fork Jarbidge River and Dave Creek upstream of the Idaho-Nevada border, July 1994.



Figure 4. Location of sites surveyed for bull trout by snorkeling on the West Fork Jarbidge River, Deer Creek, and Jack Creek upstream of the Idaho-Nevada border, July 1994 and August 1995.



In 1994, afternoon water temperatures on the East Fork increased from an average of 13.9 degrees C during July 5-8 to 17.2 degrees C during July 12-14. Similarly, water temperatures on the West Fork during the afternoon increased from an average of 14.9 degrees C on July 5-8 to 16.9 degrees C on July 12-14. Average water temperatures for all sample sites and times of the day are presented in Table 3. Afternoon water temperatures on August 7 and 8, 1995 on the West Fork of the Jarbidge were slightly lower (about 1 degree C) than they were a month earlier in the year in 1994, showing the significant effect of low flows on water temperatures during summer 1994. Average stream flows in the Bruneau-Jarbidge River drainage in 1995 were more than double 1994 stream flows (Fig. 5).

Table 3. Lengths of streams inventoried for bull trout and average water temperatures during the surveys conducted by snorkeling, Jarbidge River drainage, July 5-12, 1994.

Stream	Total Length Sampled (m)	Mean Water Temperature (Celsius)
Jarbidge River	199	13.8
East Fork Jarbidge	334	13.8
West Fork Jarbidge	555	13.8
Dave Creek	65	9.2
Jack Creek	5	13.9[1]

[1]Temperature of Jack Creek was measured only on July 12, 1994 at 6:18 pm, when the water temperature in the W. Fork Jarbidge River was 18.3 degrees C.

In 1994, we observed bull trout at two sites: a single bull trout (approximately 175 mm in length) at site 6 on the West Fork of the Jarbidge River, approximately 2.4 km downstream of the Idaho-Nevada border at an elevation of 1591 m, and 5 bull trout (size range approximately 175 to 225 mm) in Jack Creek at its confluence with the West Fork of the Jarbidge approximately 9.6 km upstream of the Idaho-Nevada border at an elevation of 1792 m (Table 4, Fig. 4). The fish in Jack Creek were in the plunge pool formed by the lower end of the culvert for the road to the town of Jarbidge that parallels the West Fork of the Jarbidge. In 1995, we did not observe any bull trout in Deer Creek, but we did observe one bull trout (about 225 mm in length) again in the plunge pool below the culvert on Jack Creek. We did not observe any bull trout in 111.5 m of stream surveyed in 1994 on the upper West Fork of the Jarbidge River at the Jarbidge Mountain Wilderness boundary (bull trout at the elevation of the Wilderness boundary would presumably be resident fish).

Species composition of fish observed during the night and during the day did not differ. Native redband trout were by far the most commonly observed fish. Every pool surveyed, except for one in Dave Creek, had redbands present (Tables 4 and 5). All 12 sites surveyed in

Figure 5. Average annual stream flows for the Bruneau River from 1986 to 1995, compared to the long term average flow. The Jarbidge River is a major tributary to the Bruneau River.



Table 4. Fish species observed during snorkel surveys of the West Fork Jarbidge River drainage, 1994.

Site Number	Fish Species Observed at each Sample Site								
	BT[1]	RBT	RT	MW	BS	SD	LD	RSS	MS
West Fork Jarbidge River									
WF1		x		x					
WF2		x						x	x
WF2A		x	x	x				x	
WF3		x	x						
WF4		x	x	x					x
WF5		x	x	x	x				
WF5A		x	x	x	x	x		x	
WF6A		x	x	x				x	
WF6B		x		x				x	
WF6C	x	x		x					x
WF7A		x		x					
WF7B		x						x	
WF7C		x	x	x	x	x		x	x
WF8		x	x			x		x	x
WF9		x	x	x					
WF10A		x	x	x		x		x	
WF10B		x	x	x		x		x	
WF10C		x	x	x				x	
WF10D		x	x	x					
WF11		x		x				x	x
WF12A		x	x	x				x	
WF12B		x	x	x				x	
WF13		x	x	x		x		x	
WF14A		x	x					x	x
WF14B		x	x	x				x	
WF15		x	x	x					x
Jack Creek									
JC1	x	x		x					

[1]Species codes: BT - bull trout, RBT - redband trout, RT - rainbow trout, MW - mountain whitefish, BS - bridgelip sucker, SD - speckled dace, LD - longnose dace, RSS - red-sided shiner, MS - mottled sculpin

Table 5. Fish species observed during snorkel surveys of the Jarbidge and East Fork Jarbidge River drainage, 1994.

Site Number	Fish Species Observed at each Sample Site								
	BT[1]	RBT	RT	MW	BS	SD	LD	RSS	MS
Jarbidge River									
JR1		x		x	x	x		x	x
JR2		x		x	x	x	x	x	
JR3		x		x	x	x	x	x	
JR4		x		x		x		x	
JR5		x		x	x	x		x	
East Fork Jarbidge River									
EF1		x		x		x			x
EF2		x		x	x				x
EF3		x		x	x	x			x
EF4		x		x	x			x	x
EF4C		x		x			x		
EF4B		x		x					x
EF4A		x		x			x		
EF5		x		x		x	x		
EF6		x		x					
EF7		x							
EF8		x							
EF9		x		x					x
EF10A		x		x					x
EF10B		x		x					
Dave Creek									
DC1A		x							
DC1B		x							
DC1C		x							
DC1D		x							
DC2A		x							
DC2B		x							
DC2C		x							
DC2D									
DC2E		x							
DC2F		x							

[1]Species codes: BT - bull trout, RBT - redband trout, RT - rainbow trout, MW - mountain whitefish, BS - bridgelip sucker, SD - speckled dace, LD - longnose dace, RSS - red-sided shiner, MS - mottled sculpin

Deer Creek in 1995, also had redband trout present. At least four age classes of redband trout were observed in the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River.

The West Fork of the Jarbidge had 250-275 mm long rainbow trout in many of the pools surveyed, which we assumed to be of hatchery origin due to tattered fins, similar size, and lack of white leading edges on the posterior fins. The Nevada Division of Wildlife (NDOW) plants 'catchable' hatchery rainbow trout in the West Fork of the Jarbidge River (Gary Johnson, NDOW, pers. comm.). Hatchery rainbow trout were observed 4 km into Idaho downstream of the Nevada state line.

Mountain whitefish were present in over one-half of the pools surveyed. Other species observed included speckled dace, longnose dace (*Rhinichthys cataractae*), redsided shiner (*Richardsonius balteatus*), bridgelip sucker, and sculpins (probably mottled sculpins) (Tables 4 and 5). No fish species other than redband trout were observed in Deer Creek in 1995.

In 1994-95, we estimated redband trout densities on two segments of Deer Creek and two segments on the West Fork of the Jarbidge River near the Jarbidge Mountain Wilderness boundary while surveying for bull trout by snorkeling (Table 6). Redband trout densities in Deer Creek and the upper West Fork were at the upper range of redband densities observed in the lower portions of the drainage on the mainstem and East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River (Warren and Partridge 1993). Stream widths of the segments we snorkeled to estimate redband density were significantly narrower than those sampled further downstream in the drainage (Table 6).

Table 6. Redband trout densities in Deer Creek on lands managed by U.S. Bureau of Land Management and in the West Fork Jarbidge River at the Jarbidge Mountain Wilderness Area boundary, 1994-95.

Stream	Habitat Type	Length Sampled (m)	Stream Width (m)	Population Estimate	Density (fish/100 m^2)
Deer Creek	Riffle/Pool	40.9	3.4	21	15.5
	Pool	61.3	2.9	40	19.8
W. Fork Jarbidge	Riffle/Pool	74.4	4.1	53	17.4
	Pool	37.1	4.0	35	23.6

Pool Measurements.-- Maximum depths of pools surveyed on the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River averaged 0.9 and 1.0 m, respectively (Table 7). Generally, suitable cover such as large boulders, woody debris, undercut banks was present in pools in these streams providing good habitat for bull trout. Maximum pool depths in Deer and Dave Creeks were significantly

Table 7. Average width, length, and depths of pools of streams surveyed for the presence of bull trout in the middle Jarbidge River drainage, 1994-95.

Stream	Pool Width (m)		Pool Length (m)		Maximum Depth (m)		Residual Depth (m)		n
	$\bar{x}$	SE	$\bar{x}$	SE	$\bar{x}$	SE	$\bar{x}$	SE	
Jarbidge River	12.9	0.63	39.7	5.02	-		-		5
W. Fork Jarbidge	7.6	0.27	17.0	1.33	1.0	0.09	0.7	0.09	26
E. Fork Jarbidge	8.7	0.59	22.5	2.54	0.9	0.06	0.6	0.07	14
Dave Creek	2.2	0.24	6.5	1.80	0.3	0.03	0.2	0.03	10
Deer Creek	2.9	0.28	6.8	1.54	0.5	0.03	0.2	0.04	9

less than in the East and West Forks. Because of the small size of the pools in these two tributary streams (Table 7), these drainages are likely migratory corridors rather than seasonal habitats for the migratory population of bull trout in the Jarbidge drainage. Resident bull trout were present in the headwaters of Dave Creek, but were not found during surveys of 5 sample sites in Deer Creek on the Humboldt National Forest in 1992 (NDOW, unpublished data).

In summer 1994, we observed that the road culvert at Jack Creek was replaced during the fall of 1993, and was probably impassable to fish. Average water velocity (measured with an orange) in the culvert on July 7, 1994 was approximately 2.4 m per second. The culvert was 18.3 m long and 1.8 m in diameter and had a 0.69 m drop from the downstream end of the culvert to the plunge pool below. By August 1995, the culvert was a complete barrier to fish passage. The drop from the end of the culvert to the pool had increased to 1.0 m with 1.2 m of streambed of large cobbles and small boulders between the culvert outflow and the edge of the plunge pool.

Discussion

Migratory bull trout are still present in the Jarbidge River drainage. Warren and Partridge (1993) likely did not observe any bull trout in the Idaho portion of the Jarbidge River in 1992 because the fish had already moved to higher elevations (and more suitable water temperatures) in the drainage at the time of their surveys. In fact, one bull trout was observed moving upstream in the East Fork below Murphy Hot Springs in early summer 1992 (Mark Vinson, BLM, pers. comm.) before the IDFG surveys were initiated. Our July 1994 surveys were conducted when water temperatures in the lower to mid-portions of the Jarbidge drainage were quickly increasing to unsuitable levels for bull trout. Bull trout are thought to not tolerate water temperatures much beyond 16 to 17 degrees C (Russ Thurow, USFS, pers. comm.). The largest bull trout that has been caught in the Jarbidge River in Nevada was 550 mm long (Gary Johnson, NDOW, pers.

comm.), which only could have reached that size utilizing a migratory life history. In addition to the bull trout observed during this inventory, IDFG observed a bull trout at the confluence of the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River in October 1994, while retrieving a thermograph (Fred Partridge, IDFG, pers. comm.).

From the 1994 surveys, we detected 5 bull trout/km of stream for the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge. This is probably a minimum population estimate for the migratory portion of the bull trout population in the Jarbidge drainage, because most fish probably had moved upstream of the portions of the drainage we sampled with water temperatures of 16-17 degrees C at the time of the surveys. The majority of the bull trout we observed were below a barrier on Jack Creek that at least delayed fish movement and possibly completely blocked passage. We did not detect any fish in the East Fork of the Jarbidge River and they probably had already moved upstream of the reach we sampled. If this had occurred, then the 1994 sampling would give a minimum population estimate for the migratory population of bull trout of 10.6 fish/km of stream. This is considerably smaller than population estimates for resident bull trout populations in the upper portions of the East and West Forks, but similar to the estimates for the middle portions of the East and West Fork Jarbidge River drainages (Table 8).

Table 8. Population estimates for bull trout in the middle and upper headwater areas of the West and East Forks of the Jarbidge River in Nevada, 1954-1993[1].

Drainage	Stream	Elevation (m)	Population Estimate		
			Fish/km	SE	n
W. Fork Jarbidge	W. Fork Jarbidge	1792-1975	20.9	2.8	4
	Jack Creek	1883-1926	51.5	1.5	2
	W. Fork Headwaters	2146-2252	125.8	39.3	4
E. Fork Jarbidge	E. Fork Jarbidge	1801-1935	12.8	3.5	2
	Dave Creek	2164-2304	82.5	16.3	2
	Slide Creek	2082-2252	143.1	58.2	3
	E. Fork Headwaters	2188-2303	52.7	23.1	3

[1]Data from Johnson (1990) and Gary Johnson, NDOW (unpubl. data).

The 1994 sampling was conducted after 6 out of 8 years of significantly below average river flows (Fig. 5), including the lowest flows on record for the Bruneau-Jarbidge drainage in 1992. With drought flows, stream temperatures increase more quickly than usual in spring, causing bull trout to move upstream sooner. Thus, less habitat was available seasonally to the migratory population of bull trout. Therefore, bull trout numbers at the time of the surveys may have been lower than during more typical periods of river flows.

The culvert at Jack Creek is now a complete barrier to fish passage. Before the new culvert was placed in 1993, older versions had blocked fish passage. The culvert was identified as a passage barrier in July 1981 when the stream was inventoried by BLM personnel. The Nevada Division of Wildlife resurveyed the plunge pool below the culvert on September 28, 1994 and did not find any bull trout (Gary Johnson, NDOW, pers. comm.). The stream temperature was 9.4 degrees C at 1250 hours when they resampled the pool.

At the Jarbidge River Bull Trout Task Force Meeting in February 1994, a local resident reported a large shift in species composition of fish caught in Jack Creek after the culvert was installed from bull trout dominating in the creel to one bull trout now caught for every nine rainbow (redband) trout (Phil Joyal, pers. comm.). He also reported large bull trout were often caught in the pool below the culvert after it was installed.

Management Implications

The 1994 surveys for bull trout identified the fish passage problem at Jack Creek. The Bull Trout Task Force is working on short-term and long-term solutions (replacing the culvert with a bridge) to allow migratory bull trout to move up and down Jack Creek. Participants in the Bull Trout Task Force include the BLM, Humboldt National Forest, NDOW, USFWS, Elko County in Nevada, and interested local citizens. The goal of the Task Force is to improve habitat conditions and remove threats to bull trout in the Jarbidge River. The Task Force plans to replace the Jack Creek culvert in 1997. This passage barrier is the greatest problem identified currently for the maintenance of bull trout populations in the Jarbidge drainage. For the short term, NDOW is planning on moving fish found below the culvert to upstream of this fish barrier.

The snorkel surveys were successful in determining that the migratory segment of the bull trout population was still present in the Jarbidge drainage. However, additional information on bull trout distribution is needed to be able to effectively monitor and manage bull trout in the drainage (Rieman and McIntyre 1993). Snorkel surveys should be conducted to determine the lower distribution of resident bull trout in the Jarbidge drainage. Rieman and McIntyre (1985) thought bull trout were resident in streams in the Boise River drainage in Idaho down to an elevation of 1600 m. Resident bull trout do not appear to be distributed below 1800 m in the Jarbidge drainage (Table 8), but surveys should be conducted when migratory bull trout are not at lower elevations than those inhabited by resident fish to establish the distributional limits of resident fish in the drainage. Additionally, snorkel surveys should be conducted in tributary streams to the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge River to determine the number of streams in the drainage currently supporting bull trout populations. The advantage of snorkel surveys is that a significantly greater amount of stream can be surveyed for presence/absence of bull trout per unit of time compared to electrofishing sampling. These snorkel surveys would complement electrofishing sampling conducted by NDOW to estimate population sizes.

Rieman and McIntyre (1993) recommended developing estimates of the relative abundance of bull trout in a drainage basin after determining their distribution. Establishing standardized inventories and monitoring of bull trout populations was also recommended by IDFG in their bull trout management plan (IDFG 1993). The migratory population of bull trout in the Jarbidge River should be monitored by placing a temporary weir at the confluence of the East and West Forks of the Jarbidge to count migratory bull trout as they move downstream in the fall after spawning in late August and September. If possible the weir should be located to count fish moving down both the East and West Forks, especially during initial monitoring periods, because snorkel surveys did not confirm migratory bull trout were in the East Fork in 1994. Population monitoring should be repeated over a period of years to determine population trends. The migratory population should particularly be monitored to determine responses to the planned removal of the fish passage barrier on Jack Creek and to examine if bull trout numbers declined during drought flows from 1987-1992.

Acknowledgments

We thank Russ Thurow for training us in snorkel techniques to survey for bull trout and assisting with the initial surveys. Trish Klaar helped us conduct surveys in 1995. Matt McCoy prepared the figures and created GIS databases.

Literature Cited

Behnke, R. J. 1992. Native trout of western North America. American Fisheries Society Monograph 6.

IDFG. 1993. Bull trout management plan (Draft). Idaho Dept. of Fish and Game. 11pp.

Johnson, G.L. 1990. Bull trout species management plan. State of Nevada, Department of Wildlife, Statewide Fisheries Program, Federal Aid Project No. F-20-26, Job No. 207.4. 17 pp.

Rieman, B.E. and J.D. McIntyre. 1995. Occurrence of bull trout in naturally fragmented habitat patches of varied size. Trans. American Fisheries Soc. 124:285-296.

Rieman, B.E. and J.D. McIntyre. 1993. Demographic and habitat requirements for conservation of bull trout. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-302. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 38 pp.

Rode, M. 1988. Bull trout, *Salvelinus confluentus*, (Suckley), in the McCloud River, status and recovery recommendations. Inland Fish. Admin. Rep. Sacramento, CA: California Department of Fish and Game. 43 pp.

Thurow, R.F. 1994. Underwater methods for study of salmonids in the Intermountain West. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-GTR-307. Ogden, UT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Intermountain Research Station. 28 pp.

Warren, C.D. and F.E. Partridge. 1993. Evaluation of the status of bull trout in the Jarbidge River drainage, Idaho. Idaho BLM Technical Bulletin No. 93-1, Idaho State Office, BLM, Boise, Idaho. 20 pp.

Zippin, C. 1958. The removal method of population estimation. J. Wildl. Manage. 22:82-90.

Appendix A. Universal Transverse Mecator grid coordinates for snorkel survey sites in the Jarbidge River Drainage.

Site	X-UTM	Y-UTM	COMMENTS
JR1	632105	4658310	1.3 mi downstream of confluence of 2 forks
JR2	632220	4658155	pool just below large woody debris jam
JR3	632660	4657720	first large pool downstream of old footbridge foundation
JR4	633040	4656865	about 0.25 mi downstream of confluence of the 2 forks
JR5	633090	4656680	just above stream mile 25
WF1	632900	4655570	0.6 mi upstream of bridge at the confluence of the 2 forks
WF2	632440	4654780	pool complex 1.1 mi up from bridge
WF2A	632205	4654340	1.6 mi up from bridge
WF3	632030	4653960	1.8 mi up from bridge
WF4	631950	4653850	1.9 mi up from bridge
WF5	631920	4653780	200 feet upstream of site 4
WF5A	631740	4653450	2.1 mi up from bridge
WF6	631360	4652530	complex of 3 pools about 0.45 mi downstream of Buck Creek
WF7	631520	4651170	complex of 3 pools 0.45 mi upstream of Buck Creek bridge
WF8	631605	4650670	first large pool downstream of ID-NV border
WF9	631620	4649710	0.45 mi into Nevada at the first wide road turnout
WF10	631560	4648950	pool-riffle complex with 3 pools, 0.85 mi into Nevada
WF11	631560	4648620	wood debris and bedrock scour pool, 1.1 mi into Nevada
WF12	631590	4647770	2 pool complex, pool B- mid-channel boulder scour pool
WF13	631600	4646900	scour/plunge pool associated with a log jam, 2.25 mi into NV
WF14	631440	4646420	2 step pools at rock face along road, 2.75 mi into Nevada
WF15	630640	4641045	100 yards downstream of Jack Creek confluence
JC1	630710	4641010	plunge pool below culvert
DRC1	629910	4642150	riffle-pool complex
DRC12	629780	4641970	single pool
EF1	634130	4655430	0.85 mi upstream of forks confluence at a room-sized boulder
EF2	634310	4655265	0.95 mi upstream of recreation site at confluence of 2 forks
EF3	635120	4654530	outside meander pool against road bend, 1.75 mi upstream
EF4	635450	4654030	2.175 mi upstream of recreation site at forks confluence
EF4A	636700	4651930	wood jam pool about 150 m downstream of powerlines
EF4B	636780	4651670	lateral wood (juniper snag) scour pool, 200 m above powerline
EF4C	636640	4651180	mid-channel boulder scour pool, about 0.5 mi above powerline
EF5	636620	4650985	near 2nd major draw on east side of canyon above Murphy
EF6	636530	4650720	about 0.8 mi upstream of powerlines
EF7	636530	4650430	200 feet below Dave Creek confluence
EF8	636530	4650380	20 feet below Dave Creek confluence
EF9	639010	4646685	downstream of Cougar Point Creek about 100 feet
EF10	639040	4646600	150 feet upstream of Cougar Point Creek confluence

Appendix A (cont.)

Site	X-UTM	Y-UTM	COMMENTS
DC1	635090	4648360	4 pools just upstream of drainage off Wilkins Island
DC2	635210	4647000	6 pools 2.2 to 2.45 mi upstream of Nevada border