

**Draft
Environmental Assessment
for Federal Agency Participation
in the Virgin River Resource Management
and Recovery Program**

Prepared for:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Utah Field Office
145 East 1300 South
Suite 404
Salt Lake City, UT 84115

and

Cooperating Agencies:

Bureau of Land Management
St. George Field Office
345 E. Riverside Drive
St. George, UT 84790

and

National Park Service
Zion National Park
Springdale, UT 84767

Prepared by:

The Conservation Association
11439 Lakeshore North
Auburn, CA 95602-8323

and

Jones & Stokes
2600 V Street
Sacramento, CA 95818-1914
Contact: Leo Lentsch
Phone: 916/737-3000

September 2000

This document should be cited as:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2000. Draft environmental assessment for federal agency participation in the Virgin River Resource Management and Recovery Program. September. Prepared by The Conservation Association, Auburn, CA, and Jones & Stokes, Sacramento, CA.

Table of Contents

	Page
Chapter 1. Introduction, Purpose, and Need	1-1
INTRODUCTION	1-1
PURPOSE OF THE ACTION	1-2
NEED FOR THE ACTION	1-2
BACKGROUND	1-3
Federal Actions	1-4
Recovery Action Planning	1-4
Designation of Critical Habitat	1-8
Growth of the Human Population in the Basin	1-9
Species Status	1-10
ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES	1-10
Operation of the Quail Creek Project	1-11
Other Concerns	1-12
RELATIONSHIP TO STATUTES, REGULATIONS, OR OTHER PLANS	1-13
Chapter 2. Proposed Action and Alternatives	2-1
INTRODUCTION	2-1
ALTERNATIVES UNDER CONSIDERATION	2-1
Alternative A: No Action	2-1
Alternative B: The Preferred Alternative—the Virgin River Resource Management and Recovery Program	2-4
Alternative C: Basinwide Recovery Program	2-11
COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES A, B, AND C	2-13
Similarities between the Alternatives	2-13
Major Differences between the Alternatives	2-14
ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED, BUT NOT EVALUATED IN DEPTH	2-15
Single-Strategy Alternatives	2-15
Federal Action Only	2-16
Multistrategy Alternatives	2-17
Chapter 3. Affected Environment	3-1
WATER RESOURCES	3-3
Hydrology	3-3
Channel Morphology	3-4
Water Rights and Depletions	3-7
Water Quality	3-7
NATIVE AQUATIC SPECIES	3-7

Sensitive Aquatic Species	3-8
Endangered Fish Species	3-10
Non-Native Aquatic Species	3-12
Riparian Resources	3-13
Other Threatened and Endangered Species	3-15
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS	3-16
Municipalities and Industry	3-17
Agriculture and Mining	3-17
Recreation	3-18
CULTURAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS	3-20
Chapter 4. Environmental Consequences	4-1
WATER RESOURCES	4-1
NATIVE AQUATIC SPECIES	4-2
SENSITIVE AQUATIC SPECIES	4-2
ENDANGERED FISH	4-3
NON-NATIVE AQUATIC SPECIES	4-3
RIPARIAN RESOURCES	4-4
OTHER THREATENED/ENDANGERED SPECIES	4-5
RECREATION	4-5
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL CONSIDERATIONS	4-6
EXISTING ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT COMPLIANCE	4-6
CUMULATIVE IMPACTS	4-6
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE	4-8
Chapter 5. Consultation and Coordination	5-1
Chapter 6. Citations	6-1
PRINTED REFERENCES	6-1
PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS	6-4
Appendix A. Native Wildlife of the Virgin River Basin	A-1
Appendix B. Federally Protected and Proposed Sensitive Plant Species in the Virgin River Basin	B-1
Appendix C. Native Invertebrates of the Virgin River Basin	C-1
Appendix D. U.S. Geological Survey Gaging Stations in the Virgin River Basin	D-1

List of Tables

Table		Follows Page
2-1	Alternatives for Participation in Recovery Activities in the Virgin River Basin	2-18
3-1	Peak Flows for the Virgin River at Virgin Gage: 1910–1971 and 1979–1996	3-20
3-2	Flood Frequency for the Virgin River near Virgin Gage, 1910–1971 and 1979–1997	3-20
3-3	Monthly Streamflow Probabilities of Occurrence, Virgin River at Virgin Gage, Utah, 1910–1971 and 1979–1997	3-20
3-4	Summary of Effective Discharge Calculations and Their Respective Sediment Loads Including Effective Discharge Duration Results in the Virgin River	3-20
4-1	Summary of the Environmental Consequences of the Proposed Action on the Affected Environment	4-8

List of Figures

Figure	Follows Page
1-1 Location of Proposed Action	1-14
1-2 Designated Critical Habitat	1-14
1-3 Woundfin Captured, 1986-1999 Fall Monitoring	1-14
3-1 Total Annual Discharge of the Virgin River at the Virgin Gage	3-20
3-2 Mean Monthly Flows for the Virgin River at the Virgin Gage	3-20
3-3 Monthly Streamflow Probabilities, Virgin River at Virgin, Utah	3-20
3-4 Virgin River Daily Simulation Model Diagram	3-20
3-5 Relationship Between Sediment Transport and Streamflow at Quail Creek	3-20
3-6 Relationship Between Sediment Transport and Streamflow at Washington Fields	3-20
3-7 Virgin River System, Streamflow, and Depletion Chart (1941-1990 Base Period)	3-20
3-8 Total Number of Spinedace Captured, 1994–1998 Fall Monitoring	3-20

List of Acronyms

af/yr	acre-feet per year
ATV	all-terrain vehicles
Basin	Virgin River Basin
BLM	U.S. Bureau of Land Management
cfs	cubic feet per second
DOI	U.S. Department of Interior
EA	environmental assessment
EIS	environmental impact statement
ESA	Endangered Species Act
mg/l	milligrams per liter
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NPS	National Park Service
Program Document	Program Document for the Proposed Virgin River Resource Management and Recovery Program
Reclamation	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
RPA	reasonable and prudent alternative
Secretary	Secretary of the Interior
SUWA	Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance
UDNR	Utah Department of Natural Resources
URP	Utah Recovery Program
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
VRFRP	Virgin River Fishes Recovery Plan
VRFRT	Virgin River Fishes Recovery Team
VRMP	Virgin River Management Plan
VRRMRP	Virgin River Resource Management and Recovery Program
VSCA	Virgin Spinedace Conservation Agreement
WCWCD	Washington County Water Conservancy District
WFCO	“with fish” conservation scenario
WFST	“with fish” structural scenario