

**WIND TURBINE GUIDELINES ADVISORY COMMITTEE
FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING #2**

DRAFT AGENDA

**HOLIDAY INN ARLINGTON
4601 NORTH FAIRFAX DRIVE
ARLINGTON, VA 22203**

APRIL 23-24, 2008

SECOND WIND TURBINE GUIDELINES ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

- Discuss and finalize groundrules for the FAC
- Review Subcommittee progress and discuss next steps
 - Guiding Principles for FAC
 - Legal
 - Uncertainty/Other Models
 - Landscape/Habitat
 - Existing Guidelines
- Hear presentations on Migratory Bird Treaty Act, Implementation of Voluntary Guidelines from Regions II and III, and Structured Decision Making: implications for FAC deliberations
- Review and discuss additional important FAC items
- Discuss timelines and process steps to address additional items

Comments Protocol for FAC Meeting

If you are a member of the public and want to make a comment to the FAC, please sign up on the “Comment Sign-Up Sheet” at the registration desk. Comments will be taken at the designated time on the agenda. Comments may need to be held to 3 minutes, depending on the number of parties who request time to comment. If time does not allow for all comments, then members of the public will be asked to write their comments down and submit them to the FWS staff at the registration desk. All comments will be made part of the public record and will be electronically distributed to all FAC members after the FAC meeting.

Day One, April 23, 2008

8:00 – 8:15	<u>Welcome & Overview of Agenda</u> <i>D.Stout, DFO/USFWS / A.Arnold, facilitator</i> Introductions of all FAC members <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Review and agree on agenda for the day
8:15 – 8:45	<u>Groundrules for Wind Turbine Guidelines FAC</u> <i>A.Arnold, facilitator</i> <i>(Objectives: Review and approve the revised draft proposed groundrules for the Wind/Wildlife FAC and identify any suggested changes needed to ensure a productive process.)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Review and discuss edits to groundrules based on discussion at Meeting 1

	<p>(February 28, 2008)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Adopt groundrules by consensus (if possible)
<p>8:45- 10:00 (including break)</p>	<p><u>Subcommittee Reports</u></p> <p><i>(Each Subcommittee give a short update(10 min) so all parties have full picture of subcommittee activities; then later in the morning/afternoon return to each report and review and discuss reports, recommendations, and offer advice on next steps – next steps might carry on to Day 2).</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Guiding Principles (tbd) ➤ Legal (tbd) ➤ Uncertainty/Other Models (tbd) ➤ Landscape/Habitat (tbd) ➤ Existing Guidelines (tbd)
<p>10:00-11:15</p>	<p><u>Structured Decision Making</u> <i>Dr. Robin Gregory, Value Scope Research</i> <i>(Learn about structured decision making and its application to the FAC Charge and committee business)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Presentation on Structured Decision Making ➤ How can the Committee use this tool? <p><i>Example of how to use the tool applied to Subcommittee work/list of items/issues/questions the Committee Listed in last meeting that they wanted to discuss</i></p>
<p>11:15 – 3:30</p>	<p><u>Discuss and Review Subcommittee Reports</u></p>
<p>11:15- 12:00</p>	<p><u>Guiding Principles</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Discuss Suggested Principles for Developing Recommendations ➤ Does the full Committee want to adopt the principles to guide its discussions? ➤ Next steps; does the Subcommittee need to continue; if yes, what is the focus?
<p>12:00– 1:15</p>	<p><u>Lunch</u> <i>(on your own)</i></p>
<p>1:15 – 1:45</p>	<p><u>Legal</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Discuss legal report ➤ In light of the structured decision making tool, are there changes we want to make to our committee’s work? ➤ Next steps; direction to the Subcommittee.
<p>1:45-2:30</p>	<p><u>Uncertainty/Other Models</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Discuss uncertainty report ➤ In light of the structured decision making tool, are there changes we want to make to our committee’s work? ➤ Next steps; direction to the Subcommittee
<p>2:30 – 3:00</p>	<p><u>Break</u></p>

3:00 – 4:00	<p><u>Report on Subcommittee Reports, <i>continued</i></u> <u>Landscape/Habitat</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Discuss landscape habitat report ➤ In light of the structured decision making tool, are there changes we want to make to our committee’s work? ➤ Next steps; direction to the Subcommittee?
4:00 – 5:00	<p><u>Existing Guidelines</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Discuss existing models report ➤ In light of the structured decision making tool, are there changes we want to make to our committee’s work? ➤ Next steps; direction from FAC to the Subcommittee?
5:00-5:15	<p><u>Public Comment</u> <i>(may be earlier, depending on FAC schedule)</i> <i>(Members of the public are invited to speak to the FAC; Please sign up on the Public Comment Form; time permitting each party will be asked to keep their comments to 3 minutes each. Written comments will be accepted by the Committee.</i></p>
5:15-6:15	<p><u>Reports/Presentations</u> <i>Paul Schmidt and Benito Perez, FWS</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Migratory Bird Treaty Act & Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Overview of the Act, implications for wind power ○ When does wind/wildlife interaction become a law enforcement issue, ○ What is prosecutorial discretion?
6:15-6:30	<p><u>Wrap Up and Review Next Steps</u> <i>A.Arnold, facilitator</i> Review agenda for Day II in light of progress made on Day I</p>
6:30	<p><u>Adjourn for evening</u></p>

Day 2 April 24, 2008

8:00-8:15	<p><u>Review Day’s Agenda</u></p>
8:15 – 9:00	<p><u>Report From USFWS Regions II and III on Use of Existing Interim Guidelines</u></p>
9:00 – 12:00	<p><u>Return to Discussion of Subcommittee Activities</u> <i>(Depending on meeting progress Day 1’s discussion, time is allotted to return to discussion of respective subcommittees, or discussion of subcommittee discussion)</i> <i>Stepping back</i></p> <p>Considering the discussion yesterday on structured decision making: is the Committee focused on the right issues. Are there issues that the Committee wants to address that require additional work? Can these issues be directed at an active Subcommittee? Do we need to create a new subcommittee?</p> <p><i>Drilling into the Subcommittees:</i> Additional discussion of subcommittee activities: Do we have the right mix of expertise and representation on each of the existing subcommittees? Should any of the existing subcommittees be split or merged?</p>
12:00-1:15	<p><u>Lunch on your own</u></p>
1:15-2:45	<p><u>Return to Discussion of Subcommittee Activities, <i>continued</i></u></p>

2:30-2:45	<u>Reflections from Chairman/DFO</u>
2:45-2:55	<u>Review of Next Steps</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Review next steps, activities between now and July➤ Agenda items for July
2:55-3:15	<u>Public Comment</u>
3:15	<u>Adjourn Public FAC Meeting</u>
3:30-4:30	<u>Convene Administrative Session</u>