A person wearing a red jacket and blue pants is standing on a rocky stream bank in a forest. The stream flows over large rocks, creating white water rapids. The background is filled with trees and foliage.

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

**Maryland Stream Survey:
Bankfull Discharge and Channel
Characteristics of Streams in the
Allegheny Plateau and the Valley
and Ridge Hydrologic Regions**

*CBFO-S03-01
May 2003*

MARYLAND STREAM SURVEY: BANKFULL DISCHARGE AND CHANNEL CHARACTERISTICS OF STREAMS IN THE ALLEGHENY PLATEAU AND THE VALLEY AND RIDGE HYDROLOGIC REGIONS

By: Tamara L. McCandless

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Chesapeake Bay Field Office

CBFO-S03-01



Prepared in cooperation with:

Maryland State Highway Administration and

U.S. Geological Survey

Copies of this report are available at www.fws.gov/r5cbfo

Annapolis, MD
2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	viii
INTRODUCTION	1
METHODS	1
<u>Selection of Gage Sites</u>	1
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
<u>Summary of General Site Characteristics</u>	4
<u>Rosgen Stream Types</u>	4
<u>Bankfull Discharge</u>	10
Indicators	10
By Drainage Area	12
Recurrence Interval	17
<u>Cross-section Relationships</u>	19
By Drainage Area	20
By Bankfull Discharge	23
<u>Resistance Relationships</u>	26
<u>Shear Stress</u>	28
CONCLUSIONS	30
APPLICATIONS	
<u>Use of Regression Relationships for Design Purposes</u>	31
LITERATURE CITED	32
APPENDIX A	
<i>Site characteristics for selected USGS gage station survey sites in the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge physiographic provinces</i>	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

As public demand increases for restoring the physical, biological, and aesthetic characteristics of degraded rivers, engineers and environmental managers are attempting to design in accordance with the natural tendencies of rivers in flood protection, channel stabilization, stream crossing, channel realignment, and watershed management projects. For these endeavors, designers need basic information to evaluate and predict the dimension, pattern, and profile of natural rivers.

Empirical relationships between dimensions of bankfull channel geometry (i.e., width, mean depth, cross-sectional area) and water discharge or drainage area have long been found useful as a first step in stream assessment and development of preliminary design concepts. The applicability of the derived predictive equations is limited to rivers similar to those providing the data. Thus, empirical relationships for channel geometry are applicable only for rivers in specific hydro-physiographic regions with relatively homogeneous climate, geology, and vegetation.

This report is the second in a series examining the relationship of stream dimensions versus drainage areas for several physiographic provinces within Maryland. The Maryland Stream Survey is a cooperative effort between the U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA), and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) with the short-term goal to determine appropriate relationships of stream characteristics on a statewide basis. The long-term goal is to provide the SHA and others with background information on stream geometry that may be used in developing hydraulic designs for culverts and small bridges that maintain, as much as possible, the natural bankfull channel dimensions.

For this report, we surveyed gaged streams in the Allegheny Plateau and the Valley and Ridge hydro-physiographic provinces to examine for relationships between:

- 1) Drainage area and bankfull discharge (the discharge that fills the active channel to bankfull stage),
- 2) Drainage area and bankfull stream dimensions (active channel dimensions at bankfull stage),
- 3) Bankfull discharge and stream dimensions, and
- 4) Relative roughness and total channel hydraulic resistance.

We also classified each reach according to the Rosgen classification system for natural rivers and considered the utility of the classification system in the development of explanations for the observed trends in the above relationships.

The database of stream characteristics will serve as a source of information on basic channel characteristics at the time of the surveys, for anyone involved with work affecting bankfull discharge and channel characteristics of streams in the Allegheny Plateau/Valley and Ridge (AP/VR) hydrologic region streams. The analyses from the stream surveys will provide regional channel geometry relationships useful for watershed management, emergency watershed protection, and other stream restoration and protection efforts.

FINDINGS

Bankfull Discharge

Bankfull discharge is significantly related to drainage area, with 99 percent of the variability in discharge explained by drainage area.

Bankfull Indicators

Physical features of streams may indicate certain discharge events, most notably the bankfull discharge. Bankfull indicators include geomorphic features developed by the channel as well as distribution limits for vegetation. We found several indicators of bankfull stage in the AP/VR streams, and observed that the floodplain break was the dominant indicator associated with the bankfull discharge.

Bankfull Discharge Recurrence Interval

The recurrence intervals for the bankfull discharge associated with the dominant indicators range from 1.05 - 1.8 years, and average 1.48 years.

Cross-section Relationships by Drainage Area

Width, mean depth, and cross-sectional area are all significantly related to drainage area and bankfull discharge. Of the three parameters, cross-sectional area has the greatest percent of the variability in size explained by drainage area, followed by depth and width.

Resistance Relationships

There is a negative but significant relationship between relative roughness (R/D_{84}), and resistance expressed as Manning's "n".

Rosgen Classification

The results of this survey support the applicability of the Rosgen classification system to AP/VR streams. However, the limited number of stream types observed prevents the examination of stream type to explain some of the observed variability in stream characteristics in this region.

CONCLUSIONS

- Allegheny Plateau/Valley and Ridge streams can be classified using the Rosgen stream classification system.
- There is a well-defined relationship between drainage area and bankfull discharge in the main AP/VR region.
- The AP/VR drainage area and bankfull discharge relationship is significantly different from the MD Piedmont region.
- There are well-defined relationships for AP/VR streams between drainage area and bankfull channel dimensions.
- The AP/VR streams have less bankfull cross-sectional area and less mean bankfull depth per unit drainage area than MD Piedmont streams.
- The AP/VR streams have significantly higher width/depth ratios compared with the MD Piedmont streams.
- Variability in the average flow resistance in the AP/VR as represented by the Manning "n" is fairly well explained by variability in relative roughness, expressed as R/D_{84} .

APPLICATIONS

Use of Regression Relationships for Design Purposes

Several caveats exist for these relationships, and argue strongly against their use for detailed design specifications.

- Relationships are representative of a restricted range of basin and reach characteristics (e.g., drainage area, geology, land use, etc.) and must be used with caution when applying to streams across the Allegheny Plateau/Valley and Ridge.
- While we do not consider any of the reaches represented here to be in a state of rapid adjustment, we have no information about the relative rates of lateral or down-valley meander migration.
- Relationships are not necessarily representative of “reference reach conditions”. These relationships provide no information about ecological parameters, and may not represent “good” habitat conditions.
- The range of Rosgen stream types represented by the data is low, with the majority represented as C and B streams.

Given these caveats, the relationships presented here are recommended for use in stream assessments and are particularly useful in planning studies. The information can provide preliminary conceptual design parameters for streams with a similar range of discharge, sediment, slope, and entrenchment conditions. However, channel designers need to identify discrete project goals and objectives, with respect to both physical and biological desired conditions, and determine the appropriate design parameters for achieving those conditions. Additional field information is needed to determine appropriate design values for shear stress, critical shear stress and sediment transport relationships. In most cases the best guidance for finer scale aspects of channel design will come from carefully selected reference reaches that closely match the controlling conditions at the project reach, and exhibit those characteristics specifically identified as design objectives. The results of this study may best serve as a guide to the expected range of dimensions for bankfull channels at ungaged reaches.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Maryland Stream Survey is a cooperative effort between the U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA), and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS).

Many people contributed to the effort represented by this report, however any errors are strictly the responsibility of the author. This survey and report would not be possible without the work of the Service's Stream Habitat Assessment and Restoration Program personnel who spent many hours in all weather conditions surveying streams in the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge rivers, particularly Christopher Eng, Raymond Li, Mark Secrist, and Richard Starr. Eng and Secrist contributed greatly to the development of survey information, processing field data, and produced all plan view maps.

The Service, Environmental Protection Agency, USGS, Federal Highway Administration, and SHA provided funding for this work.

Advisory Panel

The Service and SHA have obtained the cooperation of the state and federal agencies involved in the review of stream projects through a formal Partnering Agreement and formation of a Maryland Stream Survey Advisory Panel. It is important that all interested agencies agree to the approach and objectives of the survey. The agencies agreed that, upon review and acceptance by the Advisory Panel, the information would provide a useful tool for evaluating the effects of proposed projects in channel, wetlands, and flood plains.

Maryland Department of the Environment, Water Management Administration
Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Chesapeake and Coastal Watershed Service
Maryland State Highway Administration
Natural Resources Conservation Service
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District, Regulatory Branch
U.S. Federal Highway Administration, Maryland Division
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Chesapeake Bay Field Office
U.S. Forest Service

LIST OF FIGURES

1. Approximate survey site locations (represented as closed circles) in the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge hydro-physiographic provinces.
2. AP/VR survey sites compared with Rosgen classification criteria (Rosgen 1996).
3. AP/VR meander width ratios compared to Rosgen stream types (Rosgen 1996).
4. AP/VR compared with Maryland Piedmont average values of Rosgen stream type delineative criteria (Rosgen 1996).
5. Typical bankfull indicators.
6. Percent of sites exhibiting primary bankfull indicators.
7. Percent of sites exhibiting geomorphic indicators of bankfull stage.
8. Bankfull discharge as a function of drainage area for AP/VR survey sites.
9. Two-year recurrence interval discharge as a function of drainage area, partitioned by AP/VR survey sites.
10. Comparison of bankfull discharge as a function of drainage area partitioned by AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont survey sites.
11. Frequency of recurrence interval for field-estimated bankfull discharge.
12. Drainage area versus discharge: AP/VR field-determined bankfull and Bulletin 17-B 1.5-year recurrence interval.
13. Bankfull channel dimensions as a function of drainage area for AP/VR survey sites ($n = 14$).
14. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull cross-sectional area by drainage area.
15. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull mean depth by drainage area.
16. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull width/depth ratio by drainage area.
17. Bankfull channel dimensions as a function of bankfull discharge for AP/VR survey sites ($n = 14$).
18. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull cross-sectional area by bankfull discharge.
19. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull width/depth ratio by bankfull discharge.
20. Manning's "n" as a function of relative roughness (R/D_{84}) for AP/VR survey sites.
21. Resistance as a function of relative roughness. AP/VR survey sites compared with Limerinos (1970). Samples outside range of Limerinos are labeled as solid triangles and not included in regression analysis.
22. Comparison of estimated average boundary shear stress and median particle size for the AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont (McCandless and Everett 2002) survey sites.

LIST OF TABLES

1. USGS Gage Stations.
2. Summary of location and basin characteristics for study reaches.
3. Allegheny Plateau/Valley and Ridge survey sites – Rosgen stream classification delineative values.
4. Summary data for AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont study sites.
5. Bankfull discharge versus drainage area.
6. Comparison of bankfull discharge to drainage area relationships for the AP/VR and other nearby regions.
7. Cross-section dimensions vs. drainage area regression equations.
8. Cross-section dimensions vs. bankfull discharge.
9. Comparison of relationships between bankfull discharge and channel dimensions.
10. Flow resistance as a function of relative roughness.

SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Symbol	Definition
AP	Allegheny Plateau
cfs	Cubic feet per second
d	Mean bankfull depth
D ₅₀	Median particle size
D ₈₄	Particle size at which 84 percent of sample is smaller
DA	Drainage area
g	Gravitational acceleration
MWR	Meander width ratio
“n”	Manning’s roughness coefficient
p	Probability
Q _{bkf}	Bankfull discharge
R	Hydraulic radius
RI	Riffle interval
s	Second
S	Slope
sd	Standard deviation
VR	Valley and Ridge
XS-Area	Bankfull cross-sectional area
W	Bankfull width
W _{blt}	Beltwidth
ρ _s	Density of particle
ρ _w	Density of water
τ _{cr}	Critical shear stress
τ _o	Average boundary shear stress
θ _c	Dimensionless shear stress

INTRODUCTION

This report is the second in a series examining the relationship of stream dimensions versus drainage areas for several physiographic provinces within Maryland. The Maryland Stream Survey is a cooperative effort between the U.S. Department of Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA), and the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) with the short-term goal to determine appropriate relationships of stream characteristics on a statewide basis. The long-term goal is to provide the SHA and others with the information needed to develop hydraulic designs for culverts and small bridges that maintain, as much as possible, the natural bankfull channel dimensions.

For this report, we surveyed gaged streams in the Allegheny Plateau and the Valley and Ridge hydro-physiographic provinces to examine for relationships between:

1. Drainage area and bankfull discharge (the discharge that fills the active channel to bankfull stage),
2. Drainage area and bankfull stream dimensions (active channel dimensions at bankfull stage),
3. Bankfull discharge and stream dimensions, and
4. Relative roughness and total channel hydraulic resistance.

We also classified each reach according to the Rosgen classification system for natural rivers and considered the utility of the classification system in the development of explanations for the observed trends in the above relationships.

METHODS

Detailed discussions on site selection, analysis of gage records, bankfull definition and indicators, and data analysis are provided in the first report of the Maryland Stream Survey: Bankfull Discharge and Channel Characteristics in the Piedmont Hydrologic Region (McCandless and Everett 2002). Appendix B, *Protocols for Field Surveys at Gage Stations*, in the aforementioned report, provides detailed field survey methods used to collect data for these studies. The regional relationships are developed using multiple basins in the same physiographic region. The continuity equation ($Q = XS\text{-Area} * u$) is used to estimate velocity. The regional relationships are presented here using simple power functions and do not include information on additional boundary conditions which may influence and maintain stream shape, such as, sediment discharge, basin relief, and vegetation.

Selection of Gage Sites

We surveyed fourteen gage sites in the network of stations operated by the Maryland-Delaware-D.C. District of the USGS in the Allegheny Plateau (AP) and Valley and Ridge (VR) physiographic provinces (Figure 1). The westernmost site was Youghiogheny River tributary near Friendsville, MD, and the easternmost site was Ditch Run near Hancock, MD. The survey did not extend across the Great Valley or into the Blue Ridge. The results presented here would require verification at USGS gage stations in the Great Valley and Blue Ridge prior to use in those regions.

The Allegheny Plateau is the western-most province in Maryland with a high plateau and deep stream valleys and some ridges of resistant rock. The predominant rock type is sedimentary

(Schmidt 1993). The VR physiographic province has long parallel mountain ridges on resistant rocks running roughly northeast to southwest and alternating with linear valleys cut in nonresistant, predominantly sedimentary rocks (Schmidt 1993). We surveyed nine streams in the AP region that generally have steep gradients with average water surface slopes of 0.02 ft/ft and a range from 0.003 to 0.05 ft/ft. We surveyed five streams in the VR with average water surface slopes of 0.01 ft/ft and a range from 0.004 to 0.013 ft/ft. For purposes of analysis, we have combined the two provinces (AP/VR). The average annual precipitation for the majority of sites is 36 to 42 inches per year. One site, Toliver Run tributary near Hoyes Run, MD, has an average annual precipitation amount of 50 to 52 inches per year (NOAA 1961-1990).




Figure 1. Approximate survey site locations (represented as closed circles) in the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge hydro-physiographic provinces.

The fourteen sites selected for analysis include five active stations, and nine inactive stations, three of which were operated as crest gage stations only. The crest gage stations were part of previous work by SHA and USGS to obtain a measure of the streamflow characteristics on

basins less than ten square miles. At the inactive stations, the USGS collected contemporary discharge measurements and prepared revised stage-discharge ratings. Table 1 provides names and basic information for sites included in the analysis. Appendix A, *Site characteristics for selected USGS gage station survey sites in the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge provinces*, provides a complete description of each site.

We used a total station to survey cross-section dimensions, meander patterns, and longitudinal profiles. For sites that were up- or downstream of a gage station, we continued the longitudinal profile surveys through the gages where possible. At eight sites (Numbers 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11) the gage and study reaches are contiguous. For five sites (Numbers 2, 6, 10, 13, 14), the study reach starts upstream of the gage, usually due to a bridge or culvert located immediately downstream of the gage. One site (Number 12) had a study reach which started just downstream of the gage. For these six sites, we selected separate study reaches with sufficient length of homogenous channel upstream or downstream of the gage reach.

Prior to conducting the total station survey, we conducted a reconnaissance inspection at each site to determine whether the present bankfull conditions are representative of a stable, dynamic channel, and therefore appropriate for inclusion in the study. We did not determine the rate of change of channel morphology in the present day. The gaged sites do not necessarily represent reference reach sites. The relationships provide no information about chemical or ecological parameters, and do not necessarily represent “good” habitat conditions.

Table 1. USGS Gage Stations

Site Number	USGS Gage Station Name	Station Number	Drainage Area (mi ²)	PROVINCE*
1	Bear Creek at Forest Park, MD	1610150	10.4	VR
2	Bear Creek at Friendsville, MD	3076600	48.9	AP
3	Big Piney Run near Salisbury, PA	3078500	24.5	AP
4	Casselman River at Grantsville, MD	3078000	62.5	AP
5	Crabtree Creek near Swanton, MD	1597000	16.7	AP
6	Ditch Run near Hancock, MD	1613150	4.8	VR
7	Evitts Creek near Centerville, PA	1603500	30.2	VR
8	NB Potomac River at Steyer, MD	1595000	73.1	AP
9	Savage River near Barton, MD	1596500	49.1	AP
10	Savage River near Frostburg, MD	1596005	1.5	AP
11	Sawpit Run near Oldtown, MD	1609500	5.1	VR
12	Sideling Hill Creek near Bellegrove, MD	1610155	102	VR
13	Toliver Run trib. near Hoyes Run, MD	3075600	0.5	AP
14	Youghiogheny River trib. near Friendsville, MD	3076505	0.2	AP

* AP - Allegheny Plateau, VR - Valley and Ridge

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Summary of General Site Characteristics

Detailed summaries of surveyed characteristics for each study reach are in Appendix A. The fourteen study reaches are located throughout the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge hydro-physiographic provinces with all but two sites located in Maryland (Figure 1). Drainage basin sizes range from 0.22 mi² - 102 mi² (Table 1). The sites are located in four major river basins and five counties. Shreve stream magnitudes (1967) range from 1 - 121, and the percent imperviousness of the watersheds draining to the study reaches range from 0 - 1.7 (Table 2).

Table 2. Summary of site location and basin characteristics for study reaches.

River Basin	No. Sites	County	No. Sites	Drainage Area (mi ²)	No. Sites	Percent Impervious	No. Sites	Shreve Mag.	No. Sites
Monongahela	3	Allegheny, MD	1	<10	5	0 - 1.7	10	0 - 20	7
N. Branch of the Potomac	1	Bedford, PA	1	10 - 40	4	Not available	4	20 - 40	3
Potomac	9	Garrett, MD	8	40 - 60	2			40 - 60	3
Ohio	1	Somerset, PA	1	60 - 90	2			60 - 121	1
		Washington, MD	3	90 - 102	1				

Past channelization or realignment may have altered many gage reaches at road crossings. We took precautions to survey the study reach and cross-section measurement locations away from the influence of these structures. While we do not consider any of the represented survey sites in a state of rapid adjustment, we have no information about the relative rates of vertical or lateral change.

Rosgen Stream Types

One of the principle objectives of the survey required using the Rosgen stream classification system to classify the streams (Rosgen 1994). This system uses measured physical process-based attributes to delineate streams. We classified each reach according to the Rosgen classification system and examined the utility of the classification for explaining the observed variability in the regional relationships.

The survey sites partition into three Rosgen Level I type streams (Table 3). There are six C, six B, and two F type streams. The bed material, characterized using a modified Wolman pebble count, consists of bedrock, cobble, and gravel and combinations thereof. Eight (57 percent) sites had some bedrock present in the study reach. Seven (50 percent) had non-uniform reach-average pebble count distributions. In the case of non-uniform distributions, Rosgen (1996) recommends using the dominant size class sampled, rather than the reach average D₅₀, for classification. For the seven sites with non-uniform distributions, we used the dominant size class sampled or the D₅₀ from the riffle sample for classification. Further information is provided for each site in Appendix A, *Site characteristics for selected USGS gage station survey sites in the Allegheny Plateau/Valley and Ridge physiographic provinces*.

Rosgen C type streams in the AP/VR have lower average entrenchment and width/depth values than the average values reported by Rosgen, with no overlap in entrenchment ranges for C4 type

streams (Figure 2). The B type streams, on the other hand, have similar average entrenchment with the B3 type streams having higher average width/depth values than the same stream types in Rosgen’s data set (Figure 2). The F3 AP/VR type streams had a similar entrenchment value but lower average width/depth ratio than that reported by Rosgen, although still within the range of reported values. With the exception of the F3 stream, all other stream types in the AP/VR had sinuosities within the range reported by Rosgen although the C streams tend to have lower averages than those reported for Rosgen. With the exception of the B3 type streams, all other stream types in the AP/VR have higher average slopes than averages reported by Rosgen (Figure 2).

Rosgen (1994, 1996) has published average and range values for dimensionless meander belt widths (W_{blt}/W_{bkf}), also termed meander width ratios (MWR), by major stream type categories. The average MWR values for C and F type streams in the AP/VR are lower than those presented by Rosgen (Figure 3). The difference is particularly large for F type streams, where the average MWR is almost one fifth of that reported by Rosgen, and for which there is no overlap in the ranges of MWR. One F stream (No. 9), has confining bedrock in the study reach and the other F stream (No. 2) has very large boulders through the study reach. The average MWR for AP/VR C type streams is a little less than half that reported by Rosgen, although there is some overlap between the MWR data. As in the Maryland Piedmont, the existence of bedrock outcrops in the AP/VR (found at nearly 60 percent of the study reaches) may be the dominant confinement factor at the study reaches.




Figure 3. AP/VR meander width ratios compared to Rosgen (1996) (columns indicate average values and vertical lines represent ranges).

Discussion

Like the Maryland Piedmont, all the streams we surveyed classified to a specific Rosgen type stream, supporting the applicability of the Rosgen stream classification system in the AP/VR region. Because the majority of sites surveyed were C and B type streams, there were insufficient numbers of different type streams to allow examination of regional relationships partitioned by major Rosgen stream types. The streams ranged from entrenched to slightly entrenched and all the streams had moderate to high width/depth ratios. The entrenchment values distinguish the major type streams despite the low degree of variability in width/depth ratios.

Comparing AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont


While the number of stream types surveyed in each physiographic region does not allow for a statistical comparison, an examination of the data provides some general information (Figure 4). Entrenchment ratios are lower (indicating greater entrenchment) for C type streams in the AP/VR (n = 6) compared to the Maryland Piedmont (n = 19) whereas width/depth ratios are higher. As expected, the slopes at the mountainous AP/VR survey sites are steeper compared to the streams in the foothills of the Maryland Piedmont. The lower entrenchment ratios and steeper slopes at the AP/VR streams is indicative of the steeper more confined landscape compared to the majority of Piedmont streams found in broader valleys with less slope.

Sinuosity appears slightly higher in the AP/VR survey sites than in the Maryland Piedmont. Two factors may influence this: most lowland streams have suffered from some type of alteration in the past, and/or this may be an artifact of slightly different measurement methods between the AP/VR and the Maryland Piedmont survey sites. We measured the Maryland Piedmont sinuosities on plan view maps derived from total station surveys over reach lengths of 20 - 40 bankfull widths, per Rosgen (1996). For six (43 percent) of the AP/VR sites, we extended the length of stream for the sinuosity measurement beyond the 20 - 40 widths using aerial photos. In the field, we found that parameters of the Rosgen classification system (entrenchment, width/depth, etc.) often change beyond the study reach, suggesting that measurements of planform characteristics from aerial photographs may include different stream types. The 20 - 40 bankfull-widths may be too short to examine planform characteristics such as sinuosity. A comparison of the study reach sinuosities from total station surveys over 20 - 40 widths with the stream sinuosities taken from aerial photographs of longer but encompassing reaches would be helpful in examining relationships between reach and overall stream pattern. The results would require field validation of stream types within the aerial photographed reaches.

Table 3. Allegheny Plateau/Valley and Ridge survey sites – Rosgen stream classification delineative values.

USGS Gage Site	Entrenchment Ratio	Width/Depth Ratio	Sinuosity	Water Surface Slope	Meander Width Ratio	D50 (mm)	Reach Average Particle	Rosgen Stream Type
Bear Creek at Forest Park, MD	2.3	15.6	1.8	0.013	4.0	44	very coarse gravel	C4/1
Bear Creek at Friendsville, MD	1.2	23.0	1.2	0.021	1.2	152	large cobble	F3/1
Big Piney Run near Salisbury, PA	2.2	23.0	1.6	0.004	15.4	119	small cobble	C3/1
Casselman River at Grantsville, MD	2.1	39.3	1.4	0.004	3.5	86	small cobble	B3c
Crabtree Creek near Swanton, MD	1.7	17.8	1.1	0.028	2.7	113	small cobble	B3/1
Ditch Run near Hancock, MD	2.7	17.1	1.4	0.011	12.8	22	coarse gravel	C4
Evitts Creek near Centerville, PA	5.5	18.6	1.2	0.006	6.1	114	small cobble	C1
NB Potomac River at Steyer, MD	2.7	22.4	1.2	0.003	3.6	84	small cobble	C3
Savage River near Barton, MD	1.1	22.2	1.5	0.003	1.1	222	large cobble	F1
Savage River near Frostburg, MD	2.9	12.5	1.2	0.013	6.0	111	small cobble	C3
Sawpit Run near Oldtown, MD	1.7	30.1	1.2	0.012	2.4	n/a	bedrock	B1c
Sideling Hill Creek near Bellegrove, MD	1.6	23.9	1.9	0.004	1.3	51	very coarse gravel	B4/1c
Toliver Run Trib. near Hoyes Run, MD	1.6	12.8	1.1	0.023	3.6	2	very fine gravel	B4
Youghiogheny River Trib. near Friendsville, MD	2.0	13.0	1.3	0.047	8.4	29	coarse gravel	B4

Figure 4. AP/VR compared with Maryland Piedmont average values of Rosgen stream type delineative criteria (Rosgen 1996)(columns represent average values).



Bankfull Discharge

Indicators

The bankfull discharge indicators are represented as a linear collection of distinct and consistent geomorphic indicators running relatively parallel to the trend in water surface elevation at the time of survey for all sites. We used the following indicators to identify potential bankfull discharge elevations (Figure 5):

Floodplain break: a discrete transition from near vertical to near horizontal; used on straight reaches or on bends lacking point bars. In some cases, (where the stream is not entrenched or incised) the floodplain break may also be the top of bank.

Inflection point: where the transition from near vertical bank to near horizontal floodplain is not relatively discrete, but instead occurs over a transitional zone often composed of one or more obtuse slope breaks over a vertical distance of several tenths of a foot, the inflection point is the lowest identifiable break in slope.

Scour line: a wear mark on a vertical bank, or a discrete break in slope (acute or obtuse) of the channel bank, distinguished from an inflection point by being further down from the top of bank.

Depositional bench: the flat surface, or highest elevation, of a lateral depositional surface other than a point bar. This may also be referred to as the active channel.

Point bar: the transition point from inclining point bar surface to horizontal floodplain surface.




Figure 5. Typical bankfull indicators.

For a detailed discussion on bankfull indicators, refer to the report *Maryland Stream Survey: Bankfull Discharge and Channel Characteristics in the Piedmont Hydrologic Region* (McCandless and Everett 2002).

One objective in developing regional relationships was to interpret bankfull indicators at the survey sites and identify the dominant feature at the elevation of the bankfull discharge. We were also curious at to other features found at this elevation. The majority of sites we surveyed in the AP/VR had the top of bank/floodplain break as the primary bankfull indicator (Figure 6). Other indicators, depending on site-specific characteristics of the stream, were present at the corresponding height above water surface. The primary bankfull indicator was the top of bank/floodplain break at 64 percent of the sites, the toe of slope or a slope break at 29 percent of the sites, and the inflection point at 7 percent of the sites. We also observed several other secondary indicators at most sites. Overall, we consistently observed six distinct geomorphic indicators of bankfull stage (Figure 7). At 93 percent of the sites, both the elevation of the floodplain break and slope breaks on the bank indicated, at some points along the reach, the bankfull stage. Both the toe of slope and the inflection point indicated bankfull stage at 71 percent of the sites, the top of bench or a scour line indicated bankfull at 36 and 21 percent of the sites, respectively.




Figure 6. Percent of sites exhibiting primary bankfull indicators.

Discussion

As in the Maryland Piedmont physiographic region, our survey of geomorphic indicators strongly suggests a physical feature; in particular, the floodplain break, is associated with bankfull discharge. While a number of other indicators were present at the same relative elevation as the primary indicators, these other indicators were not consistently seen as representing the bankfull stage throughout the study reach. For example, the scour line is often discussed as an indicator of the elevation of the bankfull discharge. We found that this feature is

a poor indicator in both the Maryland Piedmont (McCandless and Everett 2002) and AP/VR physiographic regions. At areas of significant confinement in the surveyed streams in the AP/VR, we often found that the toe of the slope represented bankfull discharge. While not as consistent a feature, some vegetation species, such as mountain laurel (*sp.*) or hemlock (*sp.*), tended to correspond with the elevation of the bankfull stage, although neither of these species is especially water intolerant with the roots of the hemlock often growing in the stream and mountain laurel found in wetlands (Peattie 1950).




Figure 7. Percent of sites exhibiting geomorphic indicators of bankfull stage.

Bankfull Discharge **By Drainage Area**

Summaries of bankfull dimensions from field measurements and hydraulic geometry data for the AP/VR streams and the Maryland Piedmont data from McCandless and Everett (2002) are provided in Table 4. Bankfull discharge is significantly related to drainage area, with about 99 percent of the variability in discharge explained by drainage area (Figure 8, Table 5). Partitioning the sites between Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge shows no significant effect of physiographic region on bankfull discharge as a function of drainage area ($p > 0.05$). Two-year recurrence interval discharges from the USGS log-Pearson flood frequency distribution, which provide a measure of runoff magnitude independent of this survey, also show no statistical difference between the Allegheny Plateau and the Valley and Ridge survey sites ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 9).

Table 4. Summary data for AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont survey sites.

USGS Gage Site	Drainage Area (mi²)	Cross Sectional Area (ft²)	Width (ft)	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft)	Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	Return Interval	Rosgen Stream Type
Bear Creek at Forest Park, MD	10.4	42.3	25.7	1.7	256	1.40	C4/1
Bear Creek at Friendsville, MD	48.9	208.0	69.2	3.0	1132	1.40	F3/1
Big Piney Run near Salisbury, PA	24.5	176.4	63.7	2.8	766	1.49	C3/1
Casselman River at Grantsville, MD	62.5	402.3	125.8	3.2	1689	1.55	B3c
Crabtree Creek near Swanton, MD	16.7	74.0	36.3	2.0	443	1.80	B3/1
Ditch Run near Hancock, MD	4.8	51.6	29.7	1.7	183	1.45	C4
Evitts Creek near Centerville, PA	30.2	88.9	40.7	2.2	598	1.33	C1
NB Potomac River at Steyer, MD	73.1	597.7	115.7	5.2	2714	1.55	C3
Savage River near Barton, MD	49.1	253.5	75.0	3.4	1276	1.55	F1
Savage River near Frostburg, MD	1.5	15.7	14.0	1.1	39	1.53	C3
Sawpit Run near Oldtown, MD	5.1	39.9	34.6	1.2	220	1.47	B1c
Sideling Hill Creek near Bellegrove, MD	102.0	574.3	117.3	4.9	2726	1.53	B4/1c
Toliver Run trib. near Hoyes Run, MD	0.5	7.2	9.6	0.8	24	1.60	B4
Youghiogheny River trib. near Friendsville, MD	0.2	7.3	9.7	0.8	7	1.05	B4
Maryland Piedmont							
Baisman Run at Broadmoor	1.5	31.3	18.9	1.7	115	1.55	C4
Basin Run at Liberty Grove	5.3	96.8	51.1	1.9	614	1.55	C4
Beaver Run near Finksburg	14.0	105.7	40.4	2.6	627	1.73	C4/1
Beaverdam Run at Cockeysville	20.9	162.0	43.2	3.8	663	1.26	C5/1c-
Bennett Creek at Park Mills	62.8	398.0	83.2	4.8	1867	1.55	C4/1
Big Elk Creek at Elk Mills	52.6	341.7	77.5	4.4	2099	1.45	C4/1
Big Pipe Creek at Bruceville	102.0	518.7	86.2	6.0	2658	1.55	C4/1
Cattail Creek near Glenwood	23.0	210.7	45.2	4.7	1499	1.43	E4
Cranberry Branch near Westminster	3.4	31.1	19.1	1.6	162	1.57	C4
Deer Creek at Rocks	94.4	473.7	101.0	4.7	2614	1.37	B4/1c
Hawlings River near Sandy Spring	27.0	179.3	44.8	4.0	1030	1.60	C5
Jones Falls at Sorrento	25.2	185.5	54.0	3.4	915	1.57	C4
Little Falls at Blue Mount	52.9	335.3	68.0	4.9	1674	1.45	C4
Little Patuxent River at Guilford	38.0	251.1	49.8	5.0	1024	1.48	E5

Table 4. Summary data for AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont survey sites.

USGS Gage Site	Drainage Area (mi²)	Cross Sectional Area (ft²)	Width (ft)	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft)	Bankfull Discharge (cfs)	Return Interval	Rosgen Stream Type
Maryland Piedmont							
Long Green Creek at Glen Arm	9.4	82.9	43.6	1.9	365	1.32	C2/1
Morgan Run at Louisville	28.0	165.6	52.0	3.2	1024	1.75	C4/1
Northeast Creek at Leslie	24.3	197.6	58.0	3.4	1336	1.67	C2/1
NW Branch Anacostia River near Colesville	21.1	200.7	40.9	4.9	907	1.43	E5/1
Patuxent River near Unity	34.8	202.2	52.0	3.9	1045	1.50	C4
Piney Creek at Taneytown	31.3	248.9	65.8	3.8	1389	1.40	C4/1
Piney Run at Dover	12.3	90.4	36.2	2.5	481	1.47	C4/1
Seneca Creek at Dawsonville	101.0	401.4	66.8	6.0	2562	1.33	C4
Slade Run near Glyndon	2.1	19.2	13.2	1.5	115	1.40	E4
Western Run at Western Run	59.8	313.8	75.4	4.2	1531	1.47	C4/1
Winters Run near Benson	34.8	295.5	67.0	4.4	1961	1.65	C4/1

Table 5. Bankfull discharge vs. drainage area. Bankfull discharge (cfs) regressed against drainage area (mi²) for study reaches at USGS gage stations in the AP/VR provinces. Calculated test statistics (F, se), significance (p), and coefficient of determination (R²) for least-squares linear regression.

N	Regression Equation	R ²	se (%)	F	p
14	Bankfull discharge = 34.02DA ^{0.94}	0.99	9.7	847.5	<.0001

Discussion

The AP/VR drainage area and bankfull discharge relationship compares fairly well with previous studies in the East for drainage areas greater than 50 mi² (Table 6). Table 6 is corrected from what was reported in McCandless and Everett (2002), two studies (Wolman and Leopold 1957 and Brush 1961) were recalculated with different sample sizes. With the exception of the Kilpatrick and Barnes relationship (1964), the difference between the predicted value for bankfull discharge and the value from the bankfull survey range from 2 - 28 percent for the drainage areas 50 - 100 mi². Only one relationship, Wolman and Leopold (1957), compares fairly well for drainage areas 5 - 25 mi², with a 3 - 28 percent difference. The Brush (1961) relationship, also conducted in the AP/VR but in central Pennsylvania, has similar intercept and slope with a 28 percent difference in predicted versus surveyed bankfull discharge.




Figure 8. Bankfull discharge as a function of drainage area for AP/VR survey sites.




Figure 9. Two-year recurrence interval discharge as a function of drainage area, partitioned by AP and VR survey sites.

Table 6. Comparison of bankfull discharge to drainage area relationships for the AP/VR and other nearby regions. The relationships are all expressed as power functions of the form $Q_{bkf} = aDA^b$, where Q_{bkf} is bankfull discharge in cubic feet per second and DA is drainage area in square miles. R^2 is the regression coefficient of determination, n = number of observations.					
Source	a	b	n	R^2	Geographic Area
This Study	34.02	0.94	14	.99	Allegheny Plateau/Valley & Ridge
USFWS 2002	84.56	0.76	23	0.93	Maryland Piedmont
Wolman 1955	61	0.82	8	?	SE PA Piedmont
Wolman & Leopold 1957	53.71	0.82	15	0.78	SC, NC, MD, PA, NY, CT
Brush 1961	24.64	0.95	4	0.99	Central PA Allegheny Plateau/Valley & Ridge
Kilpatrick & Barnes 1964	285	0.50	34	0.63	NC & SC, GA, AL Piedmont

Comparing AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont

Comparison of the two regressions reveals that they are significantly different ($p > 0.05$), and that the AP/VR has less bankfull discharge per unit drainage area than the Maryland Piedmont (Figure 10). This is consistent with previous work by USGS (Dillow 1996) which developed regression relationships between the Maryland physiographic regions for the 2-year through 500-year discharge events. Using the AP/VR and the Maryland Piedmont regression equations to calculate the bankfull discharge for drainage areas between 1 - 100 mi² results in a difference of 3 - 148 percent. The percent difference decreases with increasing drainage area (Figure 10).




Figure 10. Comparison of bankfull discharge as a function of drainage area partitioned by AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont survey sites.

Bankfull Discharge

Recurrence Interval

Recurrence intervals for field-estimated bankfull discharges, calculated from the annual maximum discharge series following the *Guidelines for Determining Flood Flow Frequency* (Interagency Advisory Committee 1982), range from 1.05 - 1.8 years, and average 1.48 years (Figure 11). For some of the surveyed sites, USGS used a high-outlier adjustment (Interagency Advisory Committee 1982) and developed weighted peak estimates using regional regression equations (Dillow 1996) in the log-Pearson flood frequency analysis. The regression equations are used for comparing data from a particular station with stations in the region. Weighting the estimates between the station data and regression equation data is useful for stations with relatively short periods of record (discontinued crest gage sites), because it builds a regional component into the frequency estimate that often includes stations with longer periods of record. Appendix A provides the period of record and information on the log-Pearson analysis for each site.




Figure 11. Frequency of recurrence interval for field-estimated bankfull discharge.

Comparison of the field-estimated bankfull discharges with the Bulletin 17-B 1.5-year recurrence intervals shows very close correspondence (Figure 12). The average ratios of bankfull discharge to the Bulletin 17-B, 1.5 and 2-year recurrence interval discharges are 0.99 (sd = 0.11) and 0.77 (sd = 0.09), respectively. Comparison of the regression relationships by drainage area for the field-estimated bankfull and Bulletin 17-B estimated 1.5-year recurrence interval discharges (Figure 12), reveals no difference in either the intercepts ($t = 0.62$, $n = 24$, $p > 0.05$) or slopes ($t = 0.21$, $n = 25$, $p > 0.05$). This indicates that the overall relationships between drainage area and the field estimated bankfull and 1.5-year recurrence interval discharges are essentially the same.

Discussion

Wolman and Miller (1960) developed the concept of effective discharge and showed that frequent, rather than rare, events were responsible for forming channels:

“...most of the work of moving sediment from the drainage basin is done by frequent flows of moderate magnitude. As used here, a “frequent” event recurs at least once each year or two and in many cases several or more times per year.”

Later, Leopold, Wolman and Miller (1964) characterized 1.5 years as a “good average” recurrence interval for bankfull discharge. Other field studies (Dury 1973, Woodyer 1968, Hey 1975) reached similar conclusions that the bankfull discharge has an average return period of about 1.5 years (indicating an average occurrence of about once a year). There is an assumed relationship between the annual hydrologic cycle and the bankfull discharge (Richards 1982) and the 1.5-year return period paradigm holds true for humid and semi-arid regions. Higher return periods for bankfull discharges, however, are found in streams that experience long periods of low or ephemeral flow with less-frequent, sharp flood peaks (Gregory and Walling 1973).

While the range of bankfull discharge recurrence intervals is slightly greater for the AP/VR compared to the Maryland Piedmont (1.26 - 1.75), the average for each is essentially the same, 1.48 versus 1.5. In both regions, the bankfull discharge is also closely approximated by the Bulletin 17-B 1.5-year recurrence interval. One site in the AP/VR, has a recurrence interval of 1.05. This site, Youghiogheny River tributary near Friendsville, MD, is a discontinued crest gage with the smallest drainage area surveyed (0.22 mi^2) at which the previous rating was approximated to the more recent discharge measurements by determining the elevation when the discharge would break out of the channel. The Bulletin 17-B 1.5-year discharge is 10 cfs, about a 30 percent difference from the survey. Sixty-one percent of the drainage is forest/brush cover with the remainder in agriculture/grassland, one of the highest in the data set for agriculture/grassland cover. Removing this from the analysis gives a recurrence interval range of 1.33 – 1.8 with an average of 1.51 (sd = 0.12).




Figure 12. Drainage area versus discharge: AP/VR field-determined bankfull and Bulletin 17-B 1.5-year recurrence interval.

Cross-section Relationships

We used data from the cross-section surveys to test for predictive relationships between the independent variables of drainage area and bankfull discharge and the dependent variables of width, mean depth, and cross-sectional area. We also tested for relationships between relative roughness (R/D_{84}) and flow resistance calculated from the Manning equation (Manning's "n").

Cross-section Relationships **By Drainage Area**

We used data from the bankfull cross-section surveys to examine for relationships between drainage area and width, mean depth, and cross-sectional area. Width, mean depth, and cross-sectional area are all significantly related to drainage area (Figure 13, Table 7). Of the three parameters, cross-sectional area has the greatest percent of the variability in size explained by drainage area, followed closely by width and mean depth, as indicated by the regression coefficients of determination (R^2 values).

N	Regression Equation	R^2	se (%)	F	p
14	Cross-sectional Area = $13.17DA^{0.75}$	0.93	17.3	169.4	<.0001
14	Width = $13.87DA^{0.44}$	0.92	11.5	129.7	<.0001
14	Mean Depth = $0.95DA^{0.31}$	0.91	8.4	123.7	<.0001




Figure 13. Bankfull channel dimensions as a function of drainage area for AP/VR survey sites ($n = 14$).

Discussion

The results of this survey document significant relationships between all three bankfull cross-section parameters (area, width, and mean depth) and drainage area in streams of the AP/VR. As

the drainage area decreases, the difference between width and cross-sectional area decreases (Figure 12). The width/depth ratio for all streams are greater than 12 (the delineative cutoff in the Rosgen stream classification) with the smaller drainages having lower width/depth ratios.

Comparing AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont

As one would expect, given a smaller bankfull discharge per unit drainage area, the streams in the AP/VR have less bankfull cross-sectional area per unit drainage area compared to the Maryland Piedmont streams (Figure 14). The two regression lines have the same slopes but different intercepts ($t = -5.17$, $n = 34$, $p > 0.05$), indicating that the increase in area with increasing drainage area follows the same relationship in both regions. As with cross-sectional area, the regression lines for depth have the same slopes but different intercepts ($t = -5.17$, $n = 34$, $p > 0.05$), while there is no statistical difference between the regions with respect to bankfull width (Figure 15). Thus, for a given drainage area, measured AP/VR streams have larger width/depth ratios, since the widths are similar, but depths are less than in the Piedmont ($t = 3.84$, $n = 34$, $p > 0.05$) (Figure 16). These results are also paralleled by the results from the Rosgen stream type classification criteria. Removing the two smaller drainage basins (Site Numbers 13 and 14) outside the range of the Maryland Piedmont sample from the AP/VR data set does not change the results above.




Figure 14. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont (McCandless and Everett 2002) survey sites for bankfull cross-sectional area by drainage area.




Figure 15. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull mean depth by drainage area.




Figure 16. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull width/depth ratio by drainage area.

Cross-section Relationships

By Bankfull Discharge

Width, mean depth, and cross-sectional area in the AP/VR streams are all significantly related to bankfull discharge (Figure 17). Comparison of the coefficients of determination (R^2) show that, as with drainage area, discharge best explains the variability in cross-sectional area, followed in order by width and mean depth (Table 8).

Table 8. Cross-section dimensions vs. bankfull discharge. Bankfull width (ft), mean depth (ft), and cross-sectional area (ft^2) regressed against bankfull discharge (cfs) for study reaches at USGS gage stations in the AP/VR. Calculated test statistics (F, se), significance (p), and coefficient of determination (R^2) for least-squares linear regression.

N	Regression Equation	R^2	se (%)	F	p
14	Cross-sectional Area = $0.79Q_{\text{bkf}}^{0.8}$	0.95	15	230.3	<.001
14	Width = $2.65Q_{\text{bkf}}^{0.47}$	0.94	9.6	191.3	<.001
14	Mean Depth = $0.3Q_{\text{bkf}}^{0.33}$	0.91	8.3	128.7	<.001




Figure 17. Bankfull channel dimensions as a function of bankfull discharge for AP/VR survey sites ($n = 14$).

Discussion

The power function equations determined in this study compare favorably with equations developed by other workers (Table 9). As in the Maryland Piedmont (McCandless and Everett 2002), this is particularly true for the exponents or slopes of the regression analyses; which indicate the degree of change in a dimensional parameter for every unit change in bankfull discharge. As noted previously, cross-sectional area varies the least, indicating a more conservative parameter than either width or depth. Neither Leopold and Maddock (1953) nor Wolman (1955) provided coefficients for the relationships determined in their studies. The equations of this study and that of Nixon (1959) have dimensional units in feet, while that of Hey and Thorne (1986) is for metric units. One may compare the slope functions expressed by the dimensionless exponents directly; however, the coefficients, which represent the y-intercepts, must be converted for comparison. The converted (to feet) coefficient ranges provided by Hey and Thorne for width (7.12 - 13.06) and depth (0.52 - 0.65) suggest their study streams have greater width/depth ratios.

Table 9. Comparison of relationships between bankfull discharge and channel dimensions. Coefficients and exponents of power functions describing relationships between bankfull discharge and channel dimensions from selected channel geometry studies. Power functions have the form $W = aQ_{bkf}^b$, $d = cQ_{bkf}^f$ and $XS\text{-Area} = gQ_{bkf}^h$. Superscripts: for Study 1 = units in feet, 2 = metric; for Area + = determined from mathematical relationship of hydraulic geometry equations wherein $h=b+f$. Hey & Thorne provide separate equations for different bank vegetation conditions, hence the range of coefficients.

Study	Width (W_{bkf})		Depth (d)		Area (A)	
	a	b	c	f	g	h
This Study ¹	2.65	0.47	0.3	0.33	0.79	0.8
USFWS 2002 ¹	1.46	0.52	0.19	0.42	0.28	0.94
Leopold & Maddock 1953 ¹		0.50		0.40		0.90 ⁺
Hey & Thorne 1986 ²	2.17-3.98	0.52	0.16-0.20	0.39		0.91 ⁺
Nixon 1959 ¹	1.65	0.50	0.55	0.33	0.9	0.83
Wolman 1955 ¹		0.42		0.45		0.87 ⁺

Comparing AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont

The streams in the AP/VR have similar cross-sectional area per unit bankfull discharge as the Maryland Piedmont streams (Figure 18). The two regression lines have similar slopes and elevations. Three sites (Site Numbers 10, 13, 14) from the AP/VR data set were outside the range of discharges found in the Maryland Piedmont and were excluded from this analysis.

Following the results from the bankfull dimensions by unit drainage area, the width and depth by unit bankfull discharge are significantly different between the AP/VR and the Maryland Piedmont. As one would expect, the streams in the AP/VR are wider ($t = 4.24$, $n = 31$, $p > 0.05$) and have less mean depth ($t = -3.27$, $n = 31$, $p > 0.05$) than the Maryland Piedmont streams.

Consistent with the results from the Rosgen classification criteria, the width/depth ratios per unit bankfull discharge in the AP/VR are higher compared to the Maryland Piedmont ($t = 4.44$, $v = 314$, $p > 0.05$) (Figure 19). The results are the same when comparing the full AP/VR data set with the Maryland Piedmont data.

Given the steepness of streams in the AP/VR (avg. = 0.014, range = 0.003 - 0.05 ft/ft) compared to the Maryland Piedmont (avg. 0.004, range = 0.0008 - 0.016 ft/ft), one would expect less cross-sectional area per unit bankfull discharge. However, other factors such as increased bed roughness and complexity in the AP/VR may combine to equalize the cross-sectional area per unit bankfull discharge relationship in both regions.




Figure 18. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull cross-sectional area by bankfull discharge.




Figure 19. Comparison of AP/VR with Maryland Piedmont survey sites for bankfull width/depth ratio by bankfull discharge.

Resistance Relationships

There is a significant relationship between relative roughness (R/D_{84}), and resistance expressed as Manning’s “n”, with about 31 percent of the variability in “n” values explained by the relative roughness of the bed material (Table 10, Figure 20). However, the derivation of both the dependent and independent variable includes the hydraulic radius. Youghioghny River tributary near Friendsville, MD, is not included in this analysis. The Youghioghny River Tributary gage is an outlier in the data set in many respects. It possesses the smallest drainage area (0.22 square miles), the highest average channel slope (4.7%), and the highest value of Manning’s “n” (0.25). Except for the value of Manning’s “n”, we find that there are not significant differences between relations developed that include the Youghioghny River Tributary gage and those that do not.

Table 10. Flow resistance as a function of relative roughness. Average flow resistance expressed as Manning’s “n”, regressed against relative roughness, or R/D_{84} . Calculated test statistics (F, se), significance (p), and coefficient of determination (R^2) for least-squares linear regression.

N	Regression Equation	R^2	se (%)	F	p
13	“n” = $0.06(R/D_{84})^{-0.22}$	0.31	1.3	6.1	<.001




Figure 20. Manning's "n" as a function of relative roughness (R/D_{84}) for AP/VR survey sites.

Discussion

Comparison of the AP/VR data with the data of Limerinos (1970) from California (Figure 21) indicates a close correspondence. For ease of comparison, we have converted the Maryland "n" values to match Limerinos, who expresses resistance as $n/R^{1/6}$. To make the comparisons as meaningful as possible, only those sites that fell within the Limerinos range of values of R/D_{84} and $n/R^{1/6}$ were used in the regression analysis; the remaining sites that fell outside these ranges are shown for comparison.




Figure 21. Resistance as a function of relative roughness. AP/VR survey sites compared with Limerinos (1970). Samples outside range of Limerinos are labeled as a solid triangle and are not included in regression analysis.

Shear Stress

Bankfull shear stress (τ_b) is plotted versus median sediment grain size in Figure 22 for both the AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont (McCandless and Everett 2002) sites. The bankfull shear stress may be used to evaluate the sediment mobility at the bankfull discharge. The critical shear stress required to initiate movement is typically calculated using the Shield's equation which takes the form (Buffington and Montgomery 1997):

$$\tau_{cr} = \theta_c g D (\rho_s - \rho_w)$$

where

- τ_{cr} is the critical shear stress required to initiate movement;
- g is gravitational acceleration;
- D is a representative particle size (e.g., median particle size – D_{50});
- ρ_s, ρ_w are the particle and water densities respectively; and
- θ_c is a dimensionless value that relates particle shape, bed surface conditions, and fluid properties, and is known as the dimensionless shear stress.

Selection of an appropriate value of dimensionless shear stress is subject to some debate. Buffington and Montgomery (1997) found that there is a wide scatter in the value of dimensionless critical shear stress in natural rivers (0.030 to 0.086). Andrews (1994) argued that partial movement of bed materials began at lower shear stresses and that full movement of the bed only occurs at higher discharges. He describes marginal transport as the transport of relatively few particles of nearly all bed particle sizes. Marginal transport, Andrews (1994)

argued, occurs for dimensionless shear stress in the range of 0.030 to 0.047. General transport, when the bed is fully mobilized, occurs for a dimensionless shear stress in excess of 0.047.

To evaluate the sediment mobility of the survey sites at bankfull discharges while avoiding selection of a particular value of the dimensionless critical shear stress, Figure 22 shows the bankfull shear stress versus median grain diameter. We have also included the limits for Andrews (1994) threshold for the initiation of marginal bed load transport ($\chi_c = 0.020$) and the threshold for significant bed load transport ($\chi_c = 0.060$).

The figure shows that bankfull discharge in the AP/VR streams is capable (or very close to capable) of generating marginal bed load transport in all streams. Because of finer grain sizes, bankfull discharges in the Maryland Piedmont streams are generally capable of mobilizing the entire bed. The point of the exercise is simply to develop a coarse estimate of the degree to which the bed materials are likely subject to movement at or near the estimated bankfull stage. With these caveats, the comparison suggests that, for those sites plotting above the line of initiation of movement, bed materials are likely mobile at or near the bankfull stage.




Figure 22. Comparison of estimated average boundary shear stress and median particle size for the AP/VR and Maryland Piedmont (McCandless and Everett 2002) survey sites.

Discussion

Most of the study sites had calculated shear stress at the bankfull stage greater than the boundary of marginal bed load transport. Three sites plot close to the line where marginal transport starts to occur. During the survey, there was nothing to suggest anything unusual about these sites.

Savage River near Frostburg, MD, has about 1.7 percent impervious surface with the predominant land use/land cover of forest brush at about 65 percent. This site has a high percent of the watershed in the water/wetland land use/land cover category with 12 percent occupied by a large wetland complex upstream. Big Piney Run near Salisbury, PA, has less than 1 percent of its watershed in impervious surface with the dominant land use/land cover in forest/brush at 71 percent. Savage River near Barton, MD, is relatively isolated with less than 1 percent impervious surface and about 75 percent of the watershed in forest/brush. Bedrock sills throughout the study reach control the stream grade.

The low τ_0 for the observed particle size distribution does not strongly suggest that there may be an incipient shift in sediment transport dynamics at these sites. The two sites plotting with the greatest τ_0 are Bear Creek at Friendsville, MD and Crabtree Creek near Swanton, MD. Both of these sites have steep gradients, 2 and 3 percent respectively, and large bed material. The median particle diameter, or D_{50} , for each site is 0.5 and 0.6 feet respectively, while the D_{84} and D_{95} are 1.9 and 1.8, and 3.2 and 4.6 feet, respectively. Carling (1988) has shown that steep channels with large, armored bed material are susceptible to general mobilization of bed clasts only at flows that significantly exceed bankfull.

CONCLUSIONS

- AP/VR survey sites can be classified using the Rosgen stream classification system, however, the limited number of independent observations of different stream types prevents us from examining the use of the classification in helping explain some of the observed variability in stream characteristics in these regions.
- There is a well-defined relationship between drainage area and bankfull discharge in the AP/VR region.
- The AP/VR relationship between drainage area and bankfull discharge is significantly different from the Maryland Piedmont region, with less bankfull discharge per unit drainage area for the former.
- There are well-defined relationships for AP/VR streams between drainage area and bankfull channel dimensions. The most conservative relationship with drainage area is for cross-sectional area.
- The AP/VR streams have less bankfull cross-sectional area and less mean bankfull depth per unit drainage area than MD Piedmont streams.
- The AP/VR streams have significantly higher width/depth ratios compared with the MD Piedmont streams.

- Variability in the average flow resistance in the AP/VR as represented by the Manning “n” is fairly well explained by variability in relative roughness, expressed as R/D_{84} .

APPLICATIONS

Use of Regression Relationships for Design Purposes

Several caveats exist for these relationships, and argue strongly against their use for detailed design specifications.

- Relationships are representative of a restricted range of basin and reach characteristics (e.g., drainage area, geology, land use, etc.) and must be used with caution when applying to streams across the Allegheny Plateau/Valley and Ridge.
- While we do not consider any of the reaches represented here to be in a state of rapid adjustment, we have no information about the relative rates of lateral or down-valley meander migration.
- Relationships are not necessarily representative of “reference reach conditions”. These relationships provide no information about ecological parameters, and may not represent “good” habitat conditions.
- The range of Rosgen stream types represented by the data is low, with the majority represented as C and B streams.

Given these caveats, the relationships presented here are recommended for use in stream assessments and are particularly useful in planning studies. The information can provide preliminary conceptual design parameters for streams with a similar range of discharge, sediment, slope, and entrenchment conditions. However, channel designers need to identify discrete project goals and objectives, with respect to both physical and biological desired conditions, and determine the appropriate design parameters for achieving those conditions. Additional field information is needed to determine appropriate design values for shear stress, critical shear stress and sediment transport relationships. In most cases the best guidance for finer scale aspects of channel design will come from carefully selected reference reaches that closely match the controlling conditions at the project reach, and exhibit those characteristics specifically identified as design objectives.

The intent for developing regional bankfull discharge and channel characteristics is to provide a database for refining estimates of bankfull channel dimensions in ungaged streams. As such, the data is intended primarily for use in stream assessments and for guidance in identifying expected (average), natural channel dimensions in ungaged streams.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Andrews, E.B. 1994. Marginal bed load transport in a gravel bed stream, Sagehen Creek, California. *Water Resources Research*, Vol. 30, No. 7, p. 2241-2250.
2. Brush, L.M., Jr. 1961. Drainage basins, channels, and flow characteristics of selected streams in central Pennsylvania. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 282-F. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. 181 pp.
3. Buffington, J.M. and D.R. Montgomery. 1997. A systematic analysis of eight decades of incipient motion studies, with special reference to gravel-bedded rivers. *Water Resources Research*, Vol. 33, No. 8, pp. 1993 - 2029.
4. Carling, P. 1988. The concept of dominant discharge applied to two gravel-bed streams in relation to channel stability thresholds. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 13: 355-367.
5. Dillow, J.A. 1996. Technique for estimating magnitude and frequency of peak flows in Maryland. USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 95-4154, pp. 55.
6. Dury, G.H. 1973. Magnitude-frequency analysis and channel morphology, in Morisawa, M. (ed.) *Fluvial Geomorphology*, SUNY Binghamton, *Publications in Geomorphology*, pp. 91-121.
7. Gregory, K.J. and D.E. Walling. 1973. *Drainage Basin Form and Process*. New York: Halsted Press.
8. Hey, R.D. 1975. Design discharges for natural channels, in Hey, R.D. and J.D. Davies (eds), *Science and Technology in Environmental Management*, Farnborough Saxon House, pp. 73-88.
9. Hey, R.D. and C.R. Thorne. 1986. Stable channels with mobile gravel beds. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 112: 671-689.
10. Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data. 1982. Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency. *Water Resources Council Bulletin* 17B, 28 pp.
11. Kilpatrick, F.A. and H.H. Barnes, Jr. 1964. Channel geometry of Piedmont streams as related to frequency of floods. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 422-E. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. 10 pp.
12. Leopold, L.B. and T. Maddock, Jr. 1953. The hydraulic geometry of stream channels and some physiographic implications. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper No. 252. 57 pp.
13. Leopold, L.B., M.G. Wolman, and J.P. Miller. 1964. *Fluvial Processes in Geomorphology*. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco, CA. 522 pp.

14. Limerinos, J.T. 1970. Determination of the Manning coefficient from measured bed roughness in natural channels. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 1898-B. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. 47 pp.
15. McCandless, T.L. and R.A. Everett. 2002. Maryland stream survey: Bankfull discharge and channel characteristics in the Piedmont hydrologic region. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Annapolis, MD. CBFO-S02-02. 41 pp.
16. Nixon, M.A. 1959. A study of the bankfull discharges of rivers in England and Wales. Institute of Civil Engineers Proceedings Paper No. 6322, pp. 157-174.
17. NOAA Cooperative (1961-1990) Station Normals. NRCS SNOTEL Station Normals, from PRISM Map on NRCS Web Site.
18. Peattie, D.C. 1950. A natural history of trees of eastern and central North America. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston. 606 pp.
19. Richards, K.S. 1982. Rivers, Form and Processes in Alluvial Channels, Methuen, London. 358 pp.
20. Rosgen, D.L. 1994. A classification of natural rivers. *Catena*, 22, 169-199.
21. ----- . 1996. Applied river morphology. Wildland Hydrology. Pagosa Springs, Colorado.
22. Schmidt, M.F. 1993. Maryland's geology. Tidewater Publishers. Centreville, MD. 164 pp.
23. Shreve, R.L. 1967. Infinite topologically random channel networks. *Journal of Geology*, 75: 178-86.
24. Wolman, M.G. 1955. The natural channel of Brandywine Creek, Pennsylvania. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 271. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. 56 pp.
25. Wolman, M.G. and L.B. Leopold. 1957. River flood plains: Some observations on their formation. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 282-C. U.S. Government Printing Office. Washington, D.C. Pp. 87-109.
26. Wolman, M.G. and J.P. Miller. 1960. Magnitude and frequency of forces in geomorphic processes. *The Journal of Geology*, Vol. 68, No. 1., pp. 54-74.
27. Woodyer, K. D. 1968. Bankfull frequency in rivers. *Journal of Hydrology*, Vol. 6, pp. 114-142.

APPENDIX A

Site Characteristics for Selected USGS Gage Stations in the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge Physiographic Provinces

This Appendix provides summaries of field data collected by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) at fourteen U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) gage station monitored stream sites in the Allegheny Plateau and Valley and Ridge hydro-physiographic region of Maryland. For each site, information and survey data is summarized on four pages. The first page for each site contains general information on the drainage basin, gage station, and the study reach. The Maryland State Highway Administration provided land use/land cover information using the software program *GIS Hydro* (Ragan 1991) and 1994 Landsat and Spot coverage information. The land use/land cover information may be incomplete for drainage basins that extend beyond the state of Maryland boundaries, this is noted in the *General Study Reach Description* for the pertinent sites. Stream order and magnitude are based on Strahler (1964) and Shreve (1967), respectively. The reported discharge recurrence intervals are from the log-Pearson type III flood frequency distribution for the annual maximum series calculated by USGS according to the Bulletin 17B procedures. The second page provides information on the study reach including cross-section plots and particle size distributions in the riffle and reach. The third page presents photographic views of the surveyed cross-section in the study reach and the fourth page provides a scale plan form diagram of the study reach mapped using a total station survey instrument and generated with the graphic and survey reduction software *Terra Model*.

Interagency Advisory Committee on Water Data. 1982. Guidelines for determining flood flow frequency. *Water Resources Council Bulletin* 17B, 28 pp.

Ragan, R.M. 1991. A geographic information system to support state-wide hydrologic and nonpoint pollution modeling. Department of Civil Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD.

Shreve, R.L. 1967. Infinite topologically random channel networks. *Journal of Geology*, 75: 178-86.

Strahler, A.N. 1964. Geology. Part II. Quantitative geomorphology of drainage basins and channel networks, in *Handbook of Applied Hydrology* (Ed. V.T. Chow), pp. 439-476, McGraw-Hill, New York.

Table of Contents

Site Name	Station Number	Page
Bear Creek at Forest Park, MD	#1610150	A-4
Bear Creek at Friendsville, MD	#3076600	A-8
Big Piney Run near Salisbury, PA	#3078500	A-12
Casselman River at Grantsville, MD	#3078000	A-16
Crabtree Creek near Swanton, MD	#1597000	A-20
Ditch Run near Hancock, MD	#1613150	A-24
Evitts Creek near Centerville, PA	#1603500	A-28
North Branch Potomac River at Steyer, MD	#1595000	A-32
Savage River near Barton, MD	#1596500	A-36
Savage River near Frostburg, MD	#1596005	A-40
Sawpit Run near Oldtown, MD	#1609500	A-44
Sideling Hill Creek near Bellegrove, MD	#1610155	A-48
Toliver Run tributary near Hoyes, MD	#3075600	A-52
Youghiogheny River tributary near Friendsville, MD	#3076505	A-56

**BEAR CREEK AT FOREST PARK, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1610150**

Latitude:	39° 42' 07"	Gage Period of Record:	1964 – 1969
Longitude:	78° 19' 02"		1971 – 1983
ADC Map Coordinates:	Washington/2000	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	N/A
	Map 1/F7	Rosgen Stream Type:	C4/1
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	10.40	Survey Date:	Aug. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	4/30		Sept. 2000
Percent Imperviousness:	1.52		

Land Use (%): Residential: 0.00 Agricultural: 45.16 Forest: 53.33 Commercial: 0.00

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): Q_{1.005}: 76.10 Q_{1.5}: 280.00 Q_{2.0}: 378.30
(Log-Pearson Period: 1965 – 1983)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach starts 21 feet downstream of a discontinued crest gage station. The channel has pool/riffle features and a regular meander pattern controlled by bedrock. The median particle size in the reach is very coarse gravel with bedrock, few point bars or woody debris, and little lateral scour. The floodplain vegetation is moderately dense with mature sycamore, green ash, black cherry, redbud, red maple, hemlock, white oak, black locust, black gum, white pine, cucumber tree, and black walnut. The understory is sparse to moderate with serviceberry, speckled alder, mountain laurel, and ninebark.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q _{bkf} cfs):	255.70	Q _{bkf} / Q _{1.5} :	0.91
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.40	Q _{bkf} / Q _{2.0} :	0.68
Gage Height (ft):	4.54		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0130	Flood-prone Width (ft):	58.00
Manning's "n":	0.039	Entrenchment Ratio:	2.26
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	6.04	Width/Depth Ratio:	15.58
u/u*:	7.28	Channel Sinuosity:	1.82
R/D ₈₄ :	4.05	Beltwidth (ft):	103
Froude Number:	0.85	Meander Width Ratio:	4.0

BEAR CREEK AT FOREST PARK, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.15	0.11
D ₃₅	12.00	9.70
D ₅₀	43.86	40.20
D ₈₄	160.34	123.77
D ₉₅	384.00	174.37

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	25.71	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	1.65
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	42.30	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	2.67
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	1.57	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	26.97

Bear Creek at Forest Park, Maryland




Upstream view of classification cross-section



Left bank of classification cross-section

BEAR CREEK
 at Forest Park, MD
 U.S.G.S. Gage:01610150



LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Toe of Slope:	
Earth :	
Bedrock :	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Fallen Trees :	
Limit of Study Reach:	



Survey Date: 9/20/00 & 9/21/00
 Study Reach Length: 635'

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:
 Left Monument (+/-54'):
 39° 42' 08.87" N
 78° 19' 00.38" W
 Elevation: 638'

Right Monument (+/- 54'):
 39° 42' 09.64" N
 78° 19' 00.13" W
 Elevation: 633'

**BEAR CREEK AT FRIENDSVILLE, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 3076600**

Latitude:	39° 39' 22"	Gage Period of Record:	1964 - Present
Longitude:	79° 23' 41"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	90.6
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett. Map 65/B6	Rosgen Stream Type:	F3/1
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	48.90	Survey Date:	Sept. 1999 Oct. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	4/41		
Percent Imperviousness:	1.24		

Land Use (%): Residential: 3.19 Agricultural: 37.42 Forest: 58.82 Commercial: 0.52

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 430.20 $Q_{1.5}$: 1200.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 1493.00
(Log-Pearson Period: 1965 – 1997)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach starts 60 feet upstream of the gage. The stream is a series of transitional reaches with a slightly changing floodplain width and steeper slopes. The stream has pool/riffle and step/pool features with a regular meander pattern controlled by bedrock and large boulders with sidebar deposition. The median particle size is large cobble with boulders, woody debris, and little lateral scour. The canopy is moderately dense with ironwood, white oak, black cherry, hemlock, American sycamore, black locust, northern red oak, red maple, yellow birch and cucumber tree. The understory is dense to sparse with mountain laurel and witch hazel.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	1132.00	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	0.94
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.40	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.76
Gage Height (ft):	4.52		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0210	Flood-prone Width (ft):	82.50
Manning's "n":	0.079	Entrenchment Ratio:	1.19
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	5.44	Width/Depth Ratio:	22.90
u/u^* :	3.94	Channel Sinuosity:	1.21
R/D_{84} :	1.52	Beltwidth (ft):	83.0
Froude Number:	0.57	Meander Width Ratio:	1.20

BEAR CREEK AT FRIENDSVILLE, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION




Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	22.43	0.66
D ₃₅	96.00	71.86
D ₅₀	152.29	144.56
D ₈₄	1505.01	565.29
D ₉₅	BEDROCK	974.54

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	69.20	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	3.01
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	208.02	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	4.22
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	2.82	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	73.89

Bear Creek at Friendsville, Maryland




Downstream view of classification cross-section




Left bank of classification cross-section

BEAR CREEK
 at Friendsville, MD
 U.S.G.S. Gage: 03076600



LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	--- (green dashed line)
Bankfull :	— (red solid line)
Edge of Water :	- - - (purple dashed line)
Thalweg :	- · - · - (cyan dashed line)
Terrace :	- - - - (blue dashed line)
Gravel/Cobble :	· · · · · (green dotted pattern)
Earth :	(red hatched pattern)
Bedrock :	//// (blue hatched pattern)
Fallen Trees :	✂ (brown arrow symbols)
Boulders :	○ (blue ovals)
Study Reach End Point:	◀ ▶ (blue arrows)



Survey Dates: 10/26/99 - 10/28/99
 Study Reach Length: 849'
 Study Reach 173' U/S of U.S.G.S. Gage

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:

Left Monument (+/- 48') :

N 39° 39' 20.11"

W 79° 23' 31.41"

Elev. 1648'

Right Monument (+/- 32') :

N 39° 39' 20.30"

W 79° 23' 32.34"

Elev. 1646'

BIG PINEY RUN NEAR SALISBURY, PA
USGS STATION NUMBER: 3078500

Latitude:	39° 43' 32"	Gage Period of Record:	1932 – 1984
Longitude:	79° 02' 57"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	37.1
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett. Map 66/A3	Rosgen Stream Type:	C3/1
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	24.50	Survey Date:	Aug. 1999 Sept. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	3/24		
Percent Imperviousness:	0.62		

Land Use (%): Residential: 0.04 Agricultural: 26.73 Forest: 70.90 Commercial: 0.22

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 245.40 $Q_{1.5}$: 760.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 999.90
(Log-Pearson Period: 1933 - 1979)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach and gage reach are the same. The channel has pool/riffle features with some bedrock outcrops and few depositional features. The channel appears vertically stable with little scour. The particle distribution is bi-modal, sand and cobble with the cobble making up the largest sample size class. The overstory is moderate to dense with red maple, hemlock, yellow birch and northern red oak. The understory is sparse to moderate mountain laurel, young hemlock, northern red oak, and red maple.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	765.90	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	1.01
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.49	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.77
Gage Height (ft):	3.84		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0040	Flood-prone Width (ft):	138.00
Manning's "n":	0.041	Entrenchment Ratio:	2.17
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	4.34	Width/Depth Ratio:	22.99
u/u^* :	7.48	Channel Sinuosity:	1.58
R/D_{84} :	3.46	Beltwidth (ft):	978
Froude Number:	0.47	Meander Width Ratio:	15.36

BIG PINEY RUN NEAR SALISBURY, PA PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.34	19.60
D ₃₅	32.00	66.20
D ₅₀	119.12	107.71
D ₈₄	372.21	232.59
D ₉₅	912.28	368.74

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	63.69	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	2.77
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	176.41	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	3.33
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	2.64	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	66.74

Big Piney Run near Salisbury, Pennsylvania




Upstream view of classification cross-section



Left bank of classification cross-section

BIG PINEY RUN
 near Salisbury, PA
 U.S.G.S. Gage: 03078500



LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	--- (dashed green)
Bankfull :	— (solid red)
Edge of Water :	- - - (dashed purple)
Thalweg :	- - - (dashed cyan)
Terrace :	- - - (dashed blue)
Gravel/Cobble :	••••• (dotted green)
Earth :	(hatched red)
Bedrock :	(hatched grey)
Fallen Trees :	✂ (orange)
Gage House :	■ (black square)
Limit of Study Reach:	↔ (double-headed arrow)

Scale (ft): 0 50 100

Survey Date: 10/25/99 & 10/26/99
 Study Beach Length: 595'

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:

Left Monument (+/- 28') :

39° 43' 33.96" N

79° 02' 51.73" W

Elevation: 2265'

Right Monument (+/- 25') :

39° 43' 33.52" N

79° 02' 51.93" W

Elevation: 2261'

CASSELMAN RIVER AT GRANTSVILLE, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 3078000

Latitude:	39° 42' 08"	Gage Period of Record:	1947 - Present
Longitude:	79° 08' 12"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	120
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett.	Rosgen Stream Type:	B3c
	Map 66/A2	Survey Date:	Oct. 1999
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	62.50		Nov. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	3/22		
Percent Imperviousness:	0.30		

Land Use (%): Residential: 00.29 Agricultural: 24.13 Forest: 73.65 Commercial: 0.22

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 818.70 $Q_{1.5}$: 1630.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 1977.00
(Log-Pearson Period: 1948 – 1998)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach and gage reach are the same. The channel has pool/riffle features and side bar depositions. The stream appears vertically stable with little lateral scour. The study reach has a bi-modal distribution of sand and cobble with cobble the largest sample size. The canopy is moderately dense to sparse (right bank upstream of gage is cleared) with black cherry, beech, hemlock, red maple, shagbark hickory, pin and white oak. The understory is moderately dense with black willow, red osier dogwood and witch hazel.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	1689.00	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	1.04
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.55	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.85
Gage Height (ft):	4.44		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0040	Flood-prone Width (ft):	262.00
Manning's "n":	0.0480	Entrenchment Ratio:	2.08
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	4.20	Width/Depth Ratio:	39.32
u/u^* :	6.67	Channel Sinuosity:	1.44
R/D_{84} :	4.24	Beltwidth (ft):	435
Froude Number:	0.42	Meander Width Ratio:	3.46

CASSELMAN RIVER AT GRANTSVILLE, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.13	0.14
D ₃₅	19.60	2.52
D ₅₀	69.41	30.71
D ₈₄	406.74	225.27
D ₉₅	877.82	521.00

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	125.82	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	3.20
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	402.32	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	4.49
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	3.14	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	128.27

Casselman River at Grantsville, Maryland




Downstream view of classification cross-section



Right bank of classification cross-section

CASSELMAN RIVER at Grantsville, MD U.S.G.S. Gage: 3078000




LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Toe of Slope:	
Earth :	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Fallen Trees:	
Boulders:	
Limit of Study Reach:	

Scale (ft):

Survey Dates: 11/18 -11/19/99,
& 11/22-11/23/99
Study Reach Length: 1865'

Classification Cross-Section
Monument Locations:
Left Monument (+/- 28'):
39° 42' 01.51" N
79° 08' 16.52" E
Elevation: 2123'

Right Monument (+/- 27'):
39° 42' 01.51" N
79° 08' 14.72" E
Elevation: 2088'



CRABTREE CREEK NEAR SWANTON, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1597000

Latitude:	39° 30' 00"	Gage Period of Record:	1948 – 1981
Longitude:	79° 09' 35"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	29.0
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett.	Rosgen Stream Type:	B3/1
	Map 66/D2	Survey Date:	Sept. 1999
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	16.70		Nov. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	3/15		
Percent Imperviousness:	0.36		

Land Use (%): Residential: 0.69 Agricultural: 14.28 Forest: 84.82 Commercial: 0.22

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 154.40 $Q_{1.5}$: 375.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 483.60
(Log-Pearson Period: 1949 – 1981)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach and gage reach are the same. The channel has pool/riffle and cascade features with bedrock and boulder vertical control. The channel appears vertically stable with little lateral scour and some side bar deposition. The overstory is moderately dense with hemlock, red maple, tulip poplar, green ash, and yellow birch. The understory ranges from dense to sparse with Japanese knotweed the dominant understory vegetation.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	443.40	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	1.18
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.80	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.92
Gage Height (ft):	2.56		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0280	Flood-prone Width (ft):	62.00
Manning's "n":	0.0650	Entrenchment Ratio:	1.71
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	5.99	Width/Depth Ratio:	17.78
u/u^* :	4.50	Channel Sinuosity:	1.10
R/D_{84} :	1.09	Beltwidth (ft):	99
Froude Number:	0.75	Meander Width Ratio:	2.73

CRABTREE CREEK NEAR SWANTON, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	12.00	23.42
D ₃₅	58.15	104.15
D ₅₀	113.15	179.45
D ₈₄	608.87	553.33
D ₉₅	BEDROCK	1398.83

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	36.28	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	2.04
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	74.01	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	3.26
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	1.97	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	37.51

Crabtree Creek near Swanton, Maryland




Upstream view of classification cross-section



Right bank of classification cross-section

LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Toe of Slope:	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Earth :	
Bedrock :	
Fallen Trees :	
Boulders :	
Study Reach End Point:	


Crabtree Creek
near Swanton, MD
U.S.G.S. Gage:01597000



Study Reach Length: 563'
Survey Dates: 11/3/99 & 11/4/99

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:
Left Monument (+/- 37')
39°30'04.93" N
79°09'32.63" W
Elevation: 1563'

Right Monument (+/- 37')
39°30'04.32" N
79°09'31.92" W
Elevation: 1563'



DITCH RUN NEAR HANCOCK, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1613150

Latitude:	39° 41' 32"	Gage Period of Record:	1964 – 1986
Longitude:	78° 07' 56"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	N/A
ADC Map Coordinates:	Wash./2000	Rosgen Stream Type:	C4
	Map 4/C9	Survey Date:	Sept. 2000
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	4.80		
Stream Order / Magnitude:	3/17		
Percent Imperviousness:	N/A		

Land Use (%): Residential: 0.21 Agricultural: 62.44 Forest: 36.86 Commercial: 0.00

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 72.70 $Q_{1.5}$: 185.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 236.80
(Log-Pearson Period: 1965 – 1986)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach starts 30 feet upstream from the discontinued crest stage gage. The channel has pool/riffle and plane bed features with some side and point bar depositional features. The channel appears vertically stable with some lateral scour. The floodplain vegetation is moderate to dense with white and red oak, tulip poplar, green ash, and black gum. The understory is sparse to moderately dense with flowering dogwood, witch hazel, and river birch. There is a maintained powerline right-of-way on the left bank at the upstream end of the study reach. Land use data is for the Maryland portion of drainage only (3.41 mi²). No percent impervious surface information was available.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	182.90	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	0.99
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.45	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.77
Gage Height (ft):	5.36		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0110	Flood-prone Width (ft):	80.00
Manning's "n":	0.061	Entrenchment Ratio:	2.69
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	3.54	Width/Depth Ratio:	17.07
u/u^* :	4.66	Channel Sinuosity:	1.44
R/D_{84} :	3.27	Beltwidth (ft):	380
Froude Number:	0.49	Meander Width Ratio:	12.79

DITCH RUN NEAR HANCOCK, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.10	0.09
D ₃₅	0.25	37.10
D ₅₀	21.69	67.93
D ₈₄	140.07	153.00
D ₉₅	256.00	239.09

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	29.70	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	1.74
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	51.61	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	2.57
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	1.64	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	31.40

Ditch Run near Hancock, Maryland




Downstream view of classification cross-section



Right bank of classification cross-section

DITCH RUN

near Hancock, MD
U.S.G.S. Gage: 1613150



Survey Date: 9/5/00 & 9/6/00
Study Reach Length: 761'

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:
C/S 1 Left Monument (+/- 28)
39°41'35.41" N
78°07'56.79" W
Elevation: 442'

C/S 1 Right Monument (+/- 29')
39°41'34.23" N
78°07'57.75" W
Elevation: 42

LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Earth :	
Bedrock :	
Fallen Trees :	
Boulders :	
Study Reach End Point :	

**EVITTS CREEK NEAR CENTERVILLE, PA
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1603500**

Latitude:	39° 47' 23"	Gage Period of Record:	1932 – 1982
Longitude:	78° 38' 48"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	37.1
Map Coordinates:	PA Gazett. Map 88/B2	Rosgen Stream Type:	C1
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	30.20	Survey Date:	Aug. 1999 Oct. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	3/21		
Percent Imperviousness:	N/A		

Land Use (%): Residential: N/A Agricultural: N/A Forest: N/A Commercial: N/A

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 210.50 $Q_{1.5}$: 700.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 919.50
(Log-Pearson Period: 1933 – 1982)

General Study Reach Description: The gage reach and study reach are the same at a discontinued gage station. The stream channel has a regular meander pattern with pool/riffle features and bedrock outcrops throughout. Woody debris and bedrock cause some side bar deposition with little lateral scour. The floodplain has moderately dense overstory with hemlock, slippery elm, red maple, sycamore, and beech. The understory is sparse with mountain laurel. Land use data is not provided due to gage location in Pennsylvania.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	598.30	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	0.85
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.33	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.65
Gage Height (ft):	3.08		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0057	Flood-prone Width (ft):	224.00
Manning's "n":	0.027	Entrenchment Ratio:	5.51
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	6.73	Width/Depth Ratio:	18.56
u/u^* :	10.85	Channel Sinuosity:	1.21
R/D_{84} :	2.15	Beltwidth (ft):	246
Froude Number:	0.82	Meander Width Ratio:	6.05

EVITTS CREEK NEAR CENTERVILLE, PA PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.38	8.47
D ₃₅	27.71	20.30
D ₅₀	114.09	86.75
D ₈₄	BEDROCK	296.23
D ₉₅	BEDROCK	462.96

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	40.65	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	2.19
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	88.92	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	3.10
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	2.09	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	42.51

Evitts Creek near Centerville, Pennsylvania




Upstream view of classification cross-section



Left bank of classification cross-section

Evitts Creek near Centerville, PA USGS Number : 01603500



Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:
 Left Monument #1 (+/- 62') (Nearest Stream) :
 39° 47' 21.86" N
 78° 38' 49.46" W
 Elevation: 1170'

Left Monument #2 (+/- 56') :
 39° 47' 21.58" N
 78° 38' 49.08" W
 Elevation: 1055'

Scale (ft): 0 50 100 200

Survey Dates : 10/21/99 & 10/22/99
 Study Reach Length: 1098'

Right End Point of Cross-Section (+/- 50') (Bedrock) :
 39° 47' 22.04" N
 78° 38' 50.04" W
 Elevation: 1027'

LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	--- --
Bankfull :	— — — —
Edge of Water :	- - - - -
Thalweg :	- · - · -
Toe of Slope	— · — · —
Gravel/Cobble :	· · · · ·
Earth :	▨ ▨ ▨ ▨ ▨
Bedrock :	▨ ▨ ▨ ▨ ▨
Fallen Trees :	↘ ↙
Boulders :	⊙ ⊙
Gage House :	■
Study Reach End Point:	◀ ▶

NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC RIVER AT STEYER, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1595000

Latitude:	39° 18' 07"	Gage Period of Record:	1956 - Present
Longitude:	79° 18' 26"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	173.00
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett.	Rosgen Stream Type:	C3
	Map 64/B2	Survey Date:	Nov. 1999
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	73.10		
Stream Order / Magnitude:	4/51		
Percent Imperviousness:	N/A		

Land Use (%): Residential: 00.20 Agricultural: 16.64 Forest: 40.74 Commercial: 4.85

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 1044.00 $Q_{1.5}$: 2650.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 3343.00
(Log-Pearson Period: 1955 – 1997)

General Study Reach Description: The gage reach and study reach are the same. The river has pool/riffle features, appears vertically stable and has little lateral scour. The channel has a regular meander pattern with point and side bar deposition. The floodplain vegetation is moderately dense with black locust, red and mountain maple, northern red oak, beech, yellow birch, and hemlock. The understory is moderately dense to sparse with ninebark and mountain laurel. Land use/land cover information is for the Maryland portion (40.94 mi² of the 73.1 mi² drainage area – the rest of drainage is in West Virginia). GIS Hydro did not provide percent impervious cover.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	2714.00	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	1.02
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.55	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.81
Gage Height (ft):	6.73		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0030	Flood-prone Width (ft):	316.00
Manning's "n":	0.052	Entrenchment Ratio:	2.73
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	4.54	Width/Depth Ratio:	22.37
u/u^* :	6.58	Channel Sinuosity:	1.21
R/D_{84} :	4.79	Beltwidth (ft):	412
Froude Number:	0.36	Meander Width Ratio:	3.56

NORTH BRANCH POTOMAC AT STEYER, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.28	0.19
D ₃₅	1.00	16.00
D ₅₀	37.25	83.86
D ₈₄	221.70	313.53
D ₉₅	430.83	663.98

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	115.67	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	5.17
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	597.70	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	7.24
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	4.93	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	121.19

North Branch Potomac at Steyer, Maryland




Downstream view of classification cross-section



Left bank of classification cross-section

NORTH BRANCH OF THE POTOMAC at Steyer, MD USGS Number : 01595000



LEGEND

Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Toe of Slope :	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Earth :	
Wetland :	
Fallen Trees :	
Boulders :	
Gage House :	
Utility Lines :	
Limit of Study Reach :	

Scale (ft):

Survey Date: 11/1/99, 11/5/99, 11/8/99, & 11/9/99
Study Reach Length: 2687'

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:
Left Monument (+/- 71') :
39° 18' 09.66" N
79° 18' 32.20" W
Elevation: 2244'

Right Monument (+/- 71') :
39° 18' 07.71" N
79° 18' 32.69" W
Elevation: 2301'

SAVAGE RIVER NEAR BARTON, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1596500

Latitude:	39° 34' 05"	Gage Period of Record:	1948 - Present
Longitude:	79° 06' 10"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	75.50
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett. Map 66/C2	Rosgen Stream Type:	F1
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	49.10	Survey Date:	Sept. 1999 Nov. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	4/55		
Percent Imperviousness:	0.36		

Land Use (%): Residential: 1.20 Agricultural: 23.26 Forest: 75.03 Commercial: 0.30

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): (Log-Pearson Period: 1949 – 1999)	Q _{1.005} : 532.00 (high-outlier adjusted values)	Q _{1.5} : 1179.00 (high-outlier adjusted values)	Q _{2.0} : 1461.00 (high-outlier adjusted values)
---	--	---	---

General Study Reach Description: The gage reach and study reach are the same. The channel has pool/riffle features, controlled by bedrock sills through reach. The channel is vertically stable and shows little lateral scour with some side bar deposition. The floodplain vegetation is moderately dense with yellow birch, American sycamore, green ash, hemlock, ironwood, shag bark hickory, and red maple. The understory is sparse with mountain laurel.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q _{bkf} cfs):	1276.00	Q _{bkf} / Q _{1.5} :	1.08
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.55	Q _{bkf} / Q _{2.0} :	0.87
Gage Height (ft):	3.95		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0030	Flood-prone Width (ft):	85.70
Manning's "n":	0.035	Entrenchment Ratio:	1.14
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	5.03	Width/Depth Ratio:	22.19
u/u*:	8.98	Channel Sinuosity:	1.48
R/D ₈₄ :	3.74	Beltwidth (ft):	86.0
Froude Number:	0.49	Meander Width Ratio:	1.14

SAVAGE RIVER NEAR BARTON, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	9.80	0.17
D ₃₅	89.73	17.71
D ₅₀	221.70	92.19
D ₈₄	BEDROCK	263.01
D ₉₅	BEDROCK	683.44

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	74.99	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	3.38
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	253.52	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	5.08
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	3.22	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	78.64

Savage River near Barton, Maryland




Downstream view of classification cross-section



Left bank of classification cross-section

SAVAGE RIVER
 near Barton, MD
 U.S.G.S. Gage: 01596500



Survey Date: 11/17/99 & 11/18/99
 Study Reach Length: 769'

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:

Left Monument (+/- 30') :
 39° 34' 12.55" N
 79° 05' 59.94" W
 Elevation: 1612'

Right Monument (+/- 36') :
 39° 34' 12.98" N
 79° 05' 59.23" W
 Elevation: 1564'

LEGEND

Top of Bank :	-----
Bankfull :	_____
Edge of Water :	- - - - -
Thalweg :	- - - - -
Gravel/Cobble :
Earth :	
Bedrock :	//////
Fallen Trees :	
Gage House :	■
Study Reach End Point:	◄-----►

**SAVAGE RIVER NEAR FROSTBURG, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1596005**

Latitude:	39° 40' 56"	Gage Period of Record:	1971 – 1986
Longitude:	78° 57' 54"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	N/A
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett. Map 67/A4	Rosgen Stream Type:	C3
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	1.50	Survey Date:	Aug. 1999 Sept. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	1/1		
Percent Imperviousness:	1.67		

Land Use (%): Residential: 2.71 Agricultural: 17.44 Forest: 65.12 Commercial: 2.71

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: N/A $Q_{1.5}$: 38.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 52.00
(Log-Pearson Period: 1972 – 1985)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach starts 250 feet upstream of the inactive crest gage. The channel has pool/riffle and cascade features and appears vertically stable with little lateral scour. The channel has a regular meander pattern with few depositional features and some woody debris. The floodplain overstory is dense with beech, hemlock, yellow birch, black cherry, and red oak species. The understory is sparse to moderately dense with witch hazel and mountain laurel. Upstream of the study reach is a large wetland complex occupying approximately 12 percent of the drainage area.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	39.41	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	1.04
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.53	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.76
Gage Height (ft):	19.35		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0130	Flood-prone Width (ft):	41.00
Manning's "n":	0.070	Entrenchment Ratio:	2.93
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	2.52	Width/Depth Ratio:	12.49
u/u^* :	3.71	Channel Sinuosity:	1.20
R/D_{84} :	0.70	Beltwidth (ft):	84
Froude Number:	0.47	Meander Width Ratio:	6.00

SAVAGE RIVER NEAR FROSTBURG, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.13	0.15
D ₃₅	0.25	0.41
D ₅₀	2.00	110.85
D ₈₄	241.69	384.00
D ₉₅	384.00	776.05

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	13.99	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	1.12
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	15.66	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	1.49
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	0.88	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	17.75

Savage River near Frostburg, Maryland




Downstream view of classification cross-section



Left bank of classification cross-section

SAVAGE RIVER
near Frostburg, MD
U.S.G.S. Gage: 01596005



LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	--- (green dashed line)
Bankfull :	— (red solid line)
Edge of Water :	- - - (magenta dashed line)
Thalweg :	- · - · - (cyan dashed line)
Gravel/Cobble :	· · · · · (green dotted line)
Earth :	(red hatched pattern)
Boulders :	○ ○ (two circles)
Study Reach End Point:	◄ — ► (blue line with arrowheads)

Scale (ft): 0 25 50 100

Survey Dates: 9/14/00, 9/15/00, & 9/19/00

Study Reach Length: 528'

Study Reach 250' U/S of U.S.G.S. Gage

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations

Left Monument (+/- 30') :

N 39° 40' 59.36"

W 78° 57' 49.53"

Elev. 2654'

Right Monument (+/- 54') :

N 39° 40' 00.00"

W 78° 57' 49.25"

Elev. 2786'

SAWPIT RUN NEAR OLDTOWN, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1609500

Latitude:	39° 32' 50"	Gage Period of Record:	1948 – 1958
Longitude:	78° 33' 20"		1963 – 1976
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett. Map 67/C2	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	4.11
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	5.08	Rosgen Stream Type:	B1c
Stream Order / Magnitude:	3/11	Survey Date:	Sept. 1999
Percent Imperviousness:	0.40		Sept. 2000

Land Use (%): Residential: 1.16 Agricultural: 10.41 Forest: 87.02 Commercial: 0.25

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 42.00 $Q_{1.5}$: 220.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 267.00
(Log-Pearson Period: 1948 – 1976)

General Study Reach Description: The gage reach and study reach are the same. The channel has step/pool features with shale/sandstone bedrock control perpendicular to the channel. The channel is vertically stable with little lateral scour and few side bar depositional features. The floodplain vegetation on the left bank is maintained as highway right-of-way and is mowed. The right bank has moderately dense forest cover with red maple and white pine. The understory is silky dogwood and smooth alder. While the rating for this site has been validated by USGS, there has been considerable change to the site since it was last active, including the washing out of fill between the gage house and toe of slope.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	219.70	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	1.00
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.47	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.82
Gage Height (ft):	2.89		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0120	Flood-prone Width (ft):	59.00
Manning's "n":	0.032	Entrenchment Ratio:	1.71
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	5.51	Width/Depth Ratio:	30.09
u/u^* :	8.35	Channel Sinuosity:	1.22
R/D_{84} :	14.48	Beltwidth (ft):	82
Froude Number:	0.91	Meander Width Ratio:	2.37

SAWPIT RUN NEAR OLDTOWN, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.08	0.06
D ₃₅	2.52	0.10
D ₅₀	13.86	0.23
D ₈₄	BEDROCK	23.87
D ₉₅	BEDROCK	63.09

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	34.60	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	1.15
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	39.85	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	2.22
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	1.13	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	35.14

Sawpit Run near Oldtown, Maryland




Downstream view of classification cross-section




Left bank of classification cross-section

SAWPIT RUN
near Oldtown, MD
U.S.G.S. Gage: 1609500



LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	-----
Bankfull :	-----
Edge of Water :	- - - - -
Thalweg :	-----
Toe of Slope:	-----
Earth :	[Red brick pattern]
Gravel/Cobble :	[Green dotted pattern]
Bedrock:	[Blue diagonal lines]
Gage House:	[Black square]
Limit of Study Reach:	[Blue double-headed arrow]



Survey Dates: 9/22/00, 10/3/00, & 10/4/00
Study Reach Length: 485'

Classification Cross-Section Monument Locations:
Left Monument (+/- 22'):
39° 32' 48.84" N
78° 33' 17.81" W
Elevation: 521'

Right Monument (+/- 23'):
39° 32' 48.85" N
78° 33' 18.01" W
Elevation: 592'

SIDELING HILL CREEK NEAR BELLEGROVE, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 1610155

Latitude:	39° 38' 58"	Gage Period of Record:	1967 – 1977
Longitude:	78° 20' 40"		1999 - Present
ADC Map Coordinates:	Wash./2000	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	114.00
	Map 14/B3	Rosgen Stream Type:	B4/1c
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	102.00	Survey Date:	Sept. 1999
Stream Order / Magnitude:	4/121		Oct. 2000
Percent Imperviousness:	N/A		

Land Use (%): Residential: 0.55 Agricultural: 22.31 Forest: 76.70 Commercial: 0.03

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 683.60 $Q_{1.5}$: 2650.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 3658.00
(Log-Pearson Period: 1968 – 1977, 1999 - 2001)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach starts 84 feet downstream of the gage intake. The channel has pool/riffle features and point and side bar depositional features. The channel appears vertically stable with little scour - there is some bedrock control in the study reach. The floodplain vegetation is moderately dense to dense with red maple, sycamore, tulip poplar, river birch, and basswood. Land use/land cover data provided for MD portion of drainage area only, which represents 72 percent of the drainage.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	2726.00	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	1.03
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.53	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.75
Gage Height (ft):	5.93		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0040	Flood-prone Width (ft):	188.00
Manning's "n":	0.053	Entrenchment Ratio:	1.60
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	4.75	Width/Depth Ratio:	23.93
u/u^* :	6.33	Channel Sinuosity:	1.93
R/D_{84} :	5.64	Beltwidth (ft):	157
Froude Number:	0.40	Meander Width Ratio:	1.34

SIDELING HILL CREEK NEAR BELLEGROVE, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.14	12.00
D ₃₅	24.00	55.43
D ₅₀	50.84	98.33
D ₈₄	283.31	238.23
D ₉₅	BEDROCK	512.00

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	117.27	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	4.90
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	574.32	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	6.79
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	4.40	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	130.38

Sideling Hill Creek near Bellegrove, Maryland




Upstream view of classification cross-section



Left bank of classification cross-section

SIDELING HILL CREEK

near Bellegrove, MD
U.S.G.S. Gage: 1610155



LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Earth :	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Bedrock :	
Fallen Trees :	
Study Reach End Point:	

Scale (ft):

Survey Dates: 10/4/00, 10/5/00,
10/11/00, & 10/12/00
Study Reach Length: 3260'

Classification Cross-Section
Monument Locations:
Left Monument (+/- 37):
39° 38' 51.72" N
78° 20' 40.94" W
Elevation: 437'

Right Monument (+/- 35):
39° 38' 51.17" N
78° 20' 42.94" W
Elevation: 458'

TOLIVER RUN TRIBUTARY NEAR HOYES, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 3075600

Latitude:	39° 29' 39"	Gage Period of Record:	1965 – 1986
Longitude:	79° 25' 14"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	N/A
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett. Map 65/D5	Rosgen Stream Type:	B4
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	0.53	Survey Date:	Sept. 1999 Sept. 2000
Stream Order / Magnitude:	1/1		
Percent Imperviousness:	0.00		

Land Use (%): Residential: N/A Agricultural: 32.53 Forest: 67.47 Commercial: N/A

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): (Log-Pearson Period: 1965 – 1986)	Q _{1.005} : 7.47 (high-outlier adjusted values)	Q _{1.5} : 22.33 (high-outlier adjusted values)	Q _{2.0} : 29.51 (high-outlier adjusted values)
---	--	---	---

General Study Reach Description: The study reach is 45 feet upstream from the discontinued crest gage. The channel has pool/riffle features with point and side bar deposition with woody debris and vegetation influencing side bar locations. There are large boulders up and downstream of the cross-section location. The floodplain vegetation is dense with green ash, black cherry, white pine, and hemlock. The understory is dense with witch hazel, ninebark, and spice bush. The large amount of woody debris, vegetation, and boulders influence channel velocities.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q _{bkf} cfs):	23.66	Q _{bkf} / Q _{1.5} :	1.06
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.60	Q _{bkf} / Q _{2.0} :	0.80
Gage Height (ft):	4.59		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0225	Flood-prone Width (ft):	15.00
Manning's "n":	0.052	Entrenchment Ratio:	1.56
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	3.30	Width/Depth Ratio:	12.81
u/u*:	4.71	Channel Sinuosity:	1.08
R/D ₈₄ :	1.07	Beltwidth (ft):	35.0
Froude Number:	0.71	Meander Width Ratio:	3.59

TOLIVER RUN TRIBUTARY NEAR HOYES, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D_{16}	0.09	5.00
D_{35}	0.19	27.71
D_{50}	0.35	60.42
D_{84}	48.00	192.00
D_{95}	384.00	320.68

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	9.61	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	0.75
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	7.18	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	1.00
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	0.67	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	10.64

Toliver Run Tributary near Hoyes, Maryland




Upstream view of classification cross-section



Right bank of classification cross-section

TOLIVER RUN TRIBUTARY

near Hoyes Run, MD
U.S.G.S. Gage: 3075600



LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Earth :	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Fallen Trees :	
Limit of Study Reach:	

Scale (ft):

Survey Dates: 9/13/00 & 9/14/00
Study Reach Length: 259'

Classification Cross-Section
Monument Locations:
Left Monument (+/- 31'):
39° 29' 37.25" N
79° 25' 13.56" W
Elevation: 2548'

Right Monument (+/- 23'):
39° 29' 37.58" N
79° 25' 13.31" W
Elevation: 2326'

YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER TRIBUTARY NEAR FRIENDSVILLE, MD
USGS STATION NUMBER: 3076505

Latitude:	39° 39' 48"	Gage Period of Record:	1965 – 1976
Longitude:	79° 25' 42"	Mean Annual Discharge (cfs):	N/A
Map Coordinates:	MD&DE Gazett.	Rosgen Stream Type:	B4
	Map 65/B5	Survey Date:	Sept. 1999
Drainage Area (sq. mi.):	0.22		Sept. 2000
Stream Order / Magnitude:	1/1		
Percent Imperviousness:	0.00		

Land Use (%): Residential: N/A Agricultural: 38.89 Forest: 61.11 Commercial: N/A

Log-Pearson Flood Frequency Discharge (cfs): $Q_{1.005}$: 5.00 $Q_{1.5}$: 10.00 $Q_{2.0}$: 11.80
(Log-Pearson Period: 1965 – 1976)

General Study Reach Description: The study reach is 100 feet upstream of the discontinued crest gage. The channel has pool/riffle features with point and side bar deposition with woody debris and vegetation influencing side bar locations. The floodplain vegetation is moderately dense with beech, tulip poplar, shag bark hickory, basswood, black cherry, and white oak. The understory is moderately dense witch hazel and spice bush.


DISCHARGE BASED ON SURVEY OF GEOMORPHIC FEATURES

Bankfull Discharge (Q_{bkf} cfs):	7.00	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{1.5}$:	0.70
Bankfull Return Interval (R.I.):	1.05	$Q_{bkf} / Q_{2.0}$:	0.59
Gage Height (ft):	8.96		

STUDY REACH SURVEY INFORMATION


Average Water Surface Slope (ft/ft):	0.0468	Flood-prone Width (ft):	19.50
Manning's "n":	0.254	Entrenchment Ratio:	2.00
Mean Bankfull Velocity (ft/sec):	0.97	Width/Depth Ratio:	12.97
u/u^* :	0.97	Channel Sinuosity:	1.31
R/D_{84} :	1.20	Beltwidth (ft):	82
Froude Number:	0.21	Meander Width Ratio:	8.43

YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER NEAR FRIENDSVILLE, MD PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



Particle Size (m m)		
Finer Than	Reach	Riffle
D ₁₆	0.35	4.58
D ₃₅	12.00	13.21
D ₅₀	29.07	41.23
D ₈₄	184.37	169.48
D ₉₅	512.00	313.53

STUDY REACH CROSS SECTION



Bankfull Width (ft):	9.73	Mean Bankfull Depth (ft):	0.75
Bankfull Cross-sectional Area (ft ²):	7.25	Maximum Bankfull Depth (ft):	1.19
Hydraulic Radius (ft):	0.67	Wetted Perimeter (ft):	10.88

Youghiogheny River Tributary near Friendsville, Maryland




Upstream view of classification cross-section



Right bank of classification cross-section

YOUGHIOGHENY RIVER TRIBUTARY near Friendsville, MD U.S.G.S. Gage: 3076505

LEGEND	
Top of Bank :	
Bankfull :	
Edge of Water :	
Thalweg :	
Toe of Slope :	
Earth :	
Gravel/Cobble :	
Bedrock :	
Boulders :	
Fallen Trees :	
Study Reach End Point :	



Scale (ft): 

Survey Dates: 9/12/00 & 9/13/00
Study Reach Length: 377

Classification Cross-Section
Monument Locations:
Left Monument (+/- 47°):
39° 39' 50.72" N
79° 25' 43.37" W
Elevation: 1681'

Right Monument (+/- 49°):
39° 39' 50.42" N
79° 25' 43.13" W
Elevation: 1728'